

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly report pursuant to sections 13 or 15(d)

Filing Date: **2006-05-08** | Period of Report: **2006-03-31**
SEC Accession No. **0001001288-06-000085**

([HTML Version](#) on secdatabase.com)

FILER

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL INC /KY/

CIK: **1001288** | IRS No.: **061308215** | State of Incorporation: **DE** | Fiscal Year End: **1231**
Type: **10-Q** | Act: **34** | File No.: **001-14050** | Film No.: **06817694**
SIC: **3570** Computer & office equipment

Mailing Address
740 WEST NEW CIRCLE
ROAD
LEXINGTON KY 40550

Business Address
ONE LEXMARK CENTRE DR
LEXINGTON KY 40550
8592322000

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

- Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2006

OR

- Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File No. 1-14050

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

06-1308215

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**One Lexmark Centre Drive
740 West New Circle Road**

Lexington, Kentucky

(Address of principal executive offices)

40550

(Zip Code)

(859) 232-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The registrant had 105,317,032 shares outstanding (excluding shares held in treasury) of Class A common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, as of the close of business on April 30, 2006.

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

	<u>Page of Form 10-Q</u>
PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005	2
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Financial Position As of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005	3
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005	4
Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements	5-16
Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	17-30
Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	30
Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	31
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	31
Item 1A. RISK FACTORS	31
Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	32
Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	32
Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	33
Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION	33
Item 6. EXHIBITS	33

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are made based upon information that is currently available or management's current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effects upon the company, speak only as of the date hereof, and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties. We assume no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements contained or incorporated by reference herein to reflect any change in events, conditions or circumstances, or expectations with regard thereto, on which any such forward-looking statement is based, in whole or in part. There can be no assurance that future developments affecting the company will be those anticipated by management, and there are a number of factors that could adversely affect the company's future operating results or cause the company's actual results to differ materially from the estimates or expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, the factors set forth under the title "Factors That May Affect Future Results and Information Concerning Forward-Looking Statements" in Part I, Item 2 of this report. The information referred to above should be considered by investors when reviewing any forward-looking statements contained in this report, in any of the company's public filings or press releases or in any oral statements made by the company or any of its officers or other persons acting on its behalf. The important factors that could affect forward-looking statements are subject to change, and the company does not intend to update the factors set forth in the "Factors That May Affect Future Results and Information Concerning Forward-Looking Statements" section of this report. By means of this cautionary note, the company intends to avail itself of the safe harbor from liability with respect to forward-looking statements that is provided by Section 27A and Section 21E referred to above.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS
(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2006	2005
Revenue	\$1,275.3	\$1,357.6
Cost of revenue	871.5	910.3
Gross profit	403.8	447.3
Research and development	87.4	82.6
Selling, general and administrative	174.8	203.0
Restructuring and other, net	21.1	-
Operating expense	283.3	285.6
Operating income	120.5	161.7
Interest (income) expense, net	(6.3)	(6.4)
Other expense (income), net	0.8	2.7
Earnings before income taxes	126.0	165.4
Provision for income taxes	39.8	41.5
Net earnings	\$86.2	\$123.9
Net earnings per share:		
Basic	\$0.79	\$0.97
Diluted	\$0.78	\$0.96
Shares used in per share calculation:		
Basic	109.8	127.3
Diluted	110.2	129.5

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION
(In Millions, Except Par Value)
(Unaudited)

	March 31	December
	2006	31
	2006	2006
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$207.2	\$168.3
Marketable securities	558.7	720.5
Trade receivables, net of allowances of \$36.1 in 2006 and \$37.4 in 2005	584.0	650.9
Inventories	399.0	409.2
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	218.6	220.7
Total current assets	1,967.5	2,169.6
Property, plant and equipment, net	810.7	832.2
Other assets	334.6	328.3
Total assets	\$3,112.8	\$3,330.1
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$553.4	\$572.8
Accrued liabilities	669.6	660.9
Total current liabilities	1,223.0	1,233.7
Long-term debt	149.7	149.6
Other liabilities	507.0	518.1
Total liabilities	1,879.7	1,901.4
Contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 1.6 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$.01 par value:		
Class A, 900.0 shares authorized; 105.8 and 111.9 outstanding in 2006 and 2005, respectively	1.2	1.2
Class B, 10.0 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	-	-
Capital in excess of par	848.5	832.5
Retained earnings	1,075.0	988.8
Treasury stock, net; at cost; 16.8 and 10.5 shares in 2006 and 2005, respectively	(530.5)	(230.5)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(161.1)	(163.3)
Total stockholders' equity	1,233.1	1,428.7

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity

\$3,112.8

\$3,330.1

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In Millions)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net earnings	\$86.2	\$123.9
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	61.5	35.6
Deferred taxes	(1.5)	-
Tax benefits from employee stock plans	-	5.5
Stock options expense	9.1	-
Other	(6.0)	14.9
	149.3	179.9
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Trade receivables	66.9	44.9
Inventories	10.2	6.1
Accounts payable	(19.4)	(102.8)
Accrued liabilities	15.5	(68.4)
Other assets and liabilities	(3.0)	17.5
Net cash flows from operating activities	219.5	77.2
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(46.8)	(52.5)
Purchases of marketable securities	(475.8)	(512.8)
Proceeds from marketable securities	637.7	571.4
Other	(1.2)	0.1
Net cash flows from investing activities	113.9	6.2
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Decrease in short-term debt	-	(1.5)
Issuance of treasury stock	-	0.1
Purchase of treasury stock	(300.0)	(226.6)
Proceeds from employee stock plans	4.3	14.9
Excess tax benefits from employee stock plans	1.1	-
Other	(0.8)	(0.7)
Net cash flows from financing activities	(295.4)	(213.8)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	0.9	(1.4)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	38.9	(131.8)
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period	168.3	626.2
Cash and cash equivalents - end of period	\$207.2	\$494.4

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In millions, Except per Share Amounts)

(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying interim Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements are unaudited; however, in the opinion of management of Lexmark International, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, the “company” or “Lexmark”), all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the interim financial results have been included. The results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the entire year. The Condensed Consolidated Statement of Financial Position data as of December 31, 2005, was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted (“GAAP”) in the United States of America (“U.S.”). The company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005, on Form 10-K, which included all information and notes necessary for such presentation. Accordingly, these financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the company's audited annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005.

2. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The company has various stock incentive plans to encourage employees and nonemployee directors to remain with the company and to more closely align their interests with those of the company’s stockholders. As of March 31, 2006, awards under the programs consisted of stock options, restricted stock units (“RSUs”) and deferred stock units (“DSUs”). The company currently issues the majority of shares related to its stock incentive plans from the company’s authorized and unissued shares of Class A common stock. Approximately 47.9 million shares of Class A common stock have been authorized for these stock incentive plans.

On January 1, 2006, the company implemented the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payments* (“SFAS 123R”) and related interpretations. SFAS 123R requires that all share-based payments to employees, including grants of stock options, be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair value. The company selected the modified prospective transition method for implementing SFAS 123R and began recognizing compensation expense for stock-based awards granted on or after January 1, 2006, plus any unvested awards granted prior to January 1, 2006. Under this transition method, prior periods have not been restated.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, the company incurred stock-based compensation expense under SFAS 123R of \$10.3 million (\$6.4 million after tax) in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings. The fair value of the company’s stock-based awards, less estimated forfeitures, is amortized over the awards’ vesting periods on a straight-line basis.

The following table presents a breakout of the stock-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS 123R:

	Three Months Ended March 31 2006
Cost of revenue	\$1.2
Research and development	2.1
Selling, general and administrative	7.0
Stock-based compensation expense before income taxes	10.3
Income tax benefit	(3.9)
Stock-based compensation expense after income taxes	\$6.4

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, the company's pre-tax stock-based compensation expense included \$0.6 million related to restricted stock and deferred stock units that were granted prior to the company's adoption of SFAS 123R and would have been recognized as expense under the provisions of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. As a result of adopting SFAS 123R, the company's earnings before taxes and net earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2006, were reduced \$9.7 million and \$6.0 million, respectively. Basic and diluted earnings per share were reduced \$0.05 for the three months ended March 31, 2006, as a result of adopting SFAS 123R. The adoption of SFAS 123R did not have a material impact on the company's cash flows from operations or financing activities.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, the company accounted for its stock-based employee compensation plans under APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations. Accordingly, no compensation cost was reflected in net earnings as all options granted had an exercise price at least equal to the fair market value of the underlying common stock on the grant date.

Stock Options

The exercise price of options awarded under stock option plans is at least equal to the fair market value of the underlying common stock on the grant date. Generally, options expire ten years from the date of grant. Options granted during 2004, 2005 and the three months ended March 31, 2006, vest over a three-year period based upon continued employment or the completion of three years of service on the board of directors. Prior to 2004, options granted generally became fully vested at the end of five years.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, the weighted average fair value of options granted were \$13.89 and \$17.37, respectively. The fair value of each option award on the grant date was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Three Months Ended March 31		
	2006	2005	
Expected dividend yield	-	-	
Expected stock price volatility	32	%24	%
Weighted average risk-free interest rate	4.7	%3.4	%
Weighted average expected life of options (years)	3.3	3.0	

Under SFAS 123R, the company's expected volatility assumption used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model was based exclusively on historical volatility and the expected life assumption was established based upon an analysis of historical option exercise behavior. The risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes model was based on the implied yield currently available on U.S Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equal to the company's expected term assumption.

A summary of the status of the company's stock-based compensation plans as of December 31, 2005, and changes during the three months ended March 31, 2006, is presented below:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	12.8	\$ 66.30	6.1	\$ 23.6
Granted	0.7	48.05		
Exercised	(0.1)	28.73		
Forfeited or canceled	(0.2)	75.35		
Outstanding at March 31, 2006	13.2	\$ 65.31	6.1	\$ 23.7
Exercisable at March 31, 2006	10.6	\$ 65.97	5.7	\$ 23.4

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, the total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$0.8 million. As of March 31, 2006, the company had \$41.2 million of total unrecognized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, related to unvested stock options that will be recognized over the weighted average period of 1.7 years.

Restricted Stock and Deferred Stock Units

The company has granted RSUs with various vesting periods and generally these awards vest based upon continued employment with the company. As of March 31, 2006, the company has issued DSUs to certain members of management who elected to defer all or a portion of their annual bonus into such units and to certain nonemployee directors who elected to defer all or a portion of their annual retainer, chair retainer and/or meeting fees into such units. These DSUs are 100% vested when issued. The company has also issued supplemental DSUs upon the election to defer all or a portion of an annual bonus into DSUs. These supplemental DSUs vest at the end of five years based upon continued employment with the company. The cost of the RSUs and supplemental DSUs, generally determined to be the fair market value of the shares at the date of grant, is charged to compensation expense ratably over the vesting period of the award.

A summary of the status of the company's RSU and DSU plans as of December 31, 2005, and changes during the three months ended March 31, 2006, is presented below:

	Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
RSUs and DSUs at December 31, 2005	0.4	\$ 54.55	4.2	\$ 18.4
Granted	0.6	48.06		
Vested	(0.1)	28.70		
Forfeited or canceled	-	68.29		
RSUs and DSUs at March 31, 2006	0.9	\$ 52.83	4.1	\$ 38.7

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, the total fair value of RSUs and DSUs that vested was \$3.8 million. As of March 31, 2006, the company had \$30.8 million of total unrecognized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, related to RSUs and DSUs that will be recognized over the weighted average period of 4.2 years.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The company also has an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") which enables substantially all regular employees to purchase full or fractional shares of Lexmark Class A common stock through payroll deductions of up to 10% of eligible compensation. Effective January 1, 2006, the ESPP was amended whereby the share price paid by an employee will be 85% of the closing market price on the last business day of the respective offering period. Prior to January 1, 2006, the share price paid by an employee was 85% of the lesser of the closing market price on (i) the last business day immediately preceding the first day of the respective offering period and (ii) the last business day of the respective offering period. The current plan provides semi-annual offering periods beginning each January 1 and July 1. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, employees paid the company \$3.2 million to purchase approximately 0.1 million shares and the company recognized approximately \$1.4 million of compensation expense related to this ESPP activity. Compensation expense was calculated using the fair value of the employees' purchase rights under the Black-Scholes model.

Pro Forma Information for Periods Prior to Adopting SFAS 123R

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, the company accounted for its stock-based employee compensation plans under APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations. Accordingly, no compensation cost was reflected in net earnings as all options granted had an exercise price at least equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The following table illustrates the effect on net earnings and earnings per share if the company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, to stock-based employee compensation.

	Three Months Ended March 31 2005
Net earnings, as reported	\$123.9
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(9.7)
Pro forma net income	\$114.2
Net earnings per share:	
Basic - as reported	\$0.97
Basic - pro forma	\$0.90
Diluted - as reported	\$0.96
Diluted - pro forma	\$0.88

3. RESTRUCTURING RELATED CHARGES AND OTHER

During the first quarter of 2006, the company approved a plan to restructure its workforce, consolidate some manufacturing capacity, including the closure of one of its European facilities, and make certain changes to its U.S. retirement plans (collectively referred to as the “2006 actions”).

The workforce restructuring is expected to eliminate or transfer approximately 1,350 positions from various business functions and job classes, with about 825 positions being eliminated, and approximately 525 positions being transferred from various locations primarily to low cost countries. The company plans to consolidate its manufacturing capacity to reduce manufacturing costs, including the closure of its Rosyth, Scotland inkjet cartridge manufacturing facility, and expects to reduce its operating expenses, particularly in the areas of supply chain, general and administrative and marketing and sales (collectively referred to as “restructuring related activities”).

The company has also frozen benefit accruals in its defined benefit pension plan for U.S. employees, effective April 3, 2006, and at the same time changed from a maximum company matching contribution of three percent to an automatic company contribution of one percent and a maximum company matching contribution of five percent to the company’s existing 401(k) plan. The company plans to make a maximum company matching contribution of six percent to a nonqualified deferred compensation plan on compensation amounts in excess of IRS qualified plan limits.

The company expects the restructuring related activities will result in pre-tax charges of approximately \$130 million, of which \$80 million will require cash. The company expects the restructuring related activities to be substantially complete by the end of 2006.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, the company incurred net charges of \$39.8 million for the 2006 actions as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31 2006
Accelerated depreciation charges	\$18.7
Employee termination benefit charges	30.9
Subtotal restructuring related charges	49.6
Defined benefit pension plan freeze	(9.8)
Total restructuring related charges and other, net	\$39.8

The accelerated depreciation charges were determined in accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, and resulted from the company's decision to close certain manufacturing facilities in Europe. The accelerated depreciation charges are included on the cost of revenue line in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings.

Employee termination benefit charges were accrued in accordance with SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*, and SFAS No. 112, *Employers' Accounting for Postemployment Benefits*, as appropriate. Employee termination benefit charges include severance, medical and other benefits. Employee termination benefit charges and the defined benefit pension plan freeze are included on the restructuring and other, net, line in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings. The following table presents a rollforward of the liability incurred for employee termination benefit charges in connection with the restructuring related activities. The liability is included on the accrued liabilities line in the company's Consolidated Condensed Statements of Financial Position.

Balance at January 1, 2006	\$-
Costs incurred	30.9
Payments	(2.2)
Other	(1.3)
Balance at March 31, 2006	\$27.4

Other consists primarily of special termination benefits that will be paid out of the U.S. pension plan.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, the company incurred charges of \$7.9 million in its Business segment, \$31.3 million in its Consumer segment and \$10.4 million in its All Other segment relating to the restructuring related activities. Operating income in the All Other segment also included a \$9.8 million pension plan freeze benefit. The company expects to incur total charges related to the restructuring related activities of approximately \$20 million in its Business segment, a total of approximately \$62 million in its Consumer segment and a total of approximately \$48 million in its All Other segment.

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following:

	December	
	March 31	31
	2006	2005
Work in process	\$ 117.7	\$ 116.4
Finished goods	281.3	292.8
Inventories	\$ 399.0	\$ 409.2

5. AGGREGATE WARRANTY LIABILITY

Changes in the company's aggregate warranty liability, which includes both warranty and extended warranty (deferred revenue), are presented below.

	Three Months	
	Ended	
	March 31	
	2006	2005
Balance at January 1	\$195.0	\$176.8
Accruals for warranties issued	50.2	56.6
Accruals related to pre-existing warranties (including amortization of deferred revenue for extended warranties and changes in estimates)	(8.8)	(13.9)
Settlements made (in cash or in kind)	(43.7)	(41.2)
Balance at March 31	\$192.7	\$178.3

Both warranty and the short-term portion of extended warranty are included on the accrued liabilities line in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Financial Position. The long-term portion of extended warranty is included on the other liabilities line in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Financial Position.

6. INCOME TAXES

The effective income tax rate was 31.56% for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to 25.1% for the first quarter of 2005. The difference in the two rates is due to the expiration of the U.S. Research and Experimentation credit on December 31, 2005, the change in geographic mix of earnings primarily due to the 2006 restructuring charges, and the retroactive extension of a favorable non- U.S. tax rate (a \$3.1 million benefit) in the first quarter of 2005.

On November 10, 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") No. FAS 123R-3, *Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards* ("FSP 123R-3"). The company has elected to adopt the alternative transition method provided in FSP 123R-3 for calculating the tax effects of stock-based compensation pursuant to SFAS 123R. The alternative transition method includes simplified methods to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool ("APIC pool") related to the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation, and to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC pool and Consolidated Condensed Statement of Cash Flows of the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon the adoption of SFAS 123R.

7. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

In January 2006, the company received authorization from the board of directors to repurchase an additional \$1.0 billion of its Class A common stock for a total repurchase authority of \$3.9 billion. As of March 31, 2006, there was approximately \$1.0 billion of share repurchase authority remaining. This repurchase authority allows the company, at management's discretion, to selectively repurchase its stock from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions depending upon market price and other factors. During the first quarter of 2006, the company repurchased approximately 6.4 million shares at a cost of approximately \$300 million. As of March 31, 2006, since the inception of the program in April 1996, the company had repurchased approximately 61.3 million shares for an aggregate cost of approximately \$2.9 billion. As of March 31, 2006, the company had reissued .5 million shares of previously repurchased shares in connection with certain of its employee benefit programs. As a result of these issuances as well as the retirement of 44.0 million shares of treasury stock in December 2005, the net treasury shares outstanding at March 31, 2006, were 16.8 million.

8. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE EARNINGS (LOSS)

Comprehensive earnings (loss), net of taxes, consist of the following:

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2006	2005
Net earnings	\$86.2	\$123.9
Other comprehensive earnings (loss):		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	4.5	(7.8)
Cash flow hedging, net of reclassifications	(6.2)	19.9
Minimum pension liability adjustment	3.7	0.4
Net unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities	0.2	(0.6)
Comprehensive earnings	\$88.4	\$135.8

Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) earnings consists of the following:

	Translation Adjustment	Cash Flow Hedges	Minimum Pension Liability	Net Unrealized (Loss) Gain on Marketable Securities	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Earnings
Balance at 12/31/05	\$(15.2)	\$ 7.1	\$ (154.6)	\$(0.6)	\$(163.3)
1st Qtr 2006 change	4.5	(6.2)	3.7	0.2	2.2
Balance at 3/31/06	\$(10.7)	\$ 0.9	\$ (150.9)	\$(0.4)	\$(161.1)

9. EARNINGS PER SHARE (“EPS”)

The following table presents a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS calculations:

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2006	2005
Numerator:		
Net earnings	\$86.2	\$123.9
Denominator:		
Weighted average shares used to compute basic EPS	109.8	127.3
Effect of dilutive securities -		
Employee stock plans	0.4	2.2
Weighted average shares used to compute diluted EPS	110.2	129.5
Basic net EPS	\$0.79	\$ 0.97
Diluted net EPS	\$0.78	\$0.96

Options to purchase an additional 11.8 million and 3.4 million shares of Class A common stock for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would have been antidilutive.

10. EMPLOYEE PENSION AND POSTRETIREMENT PLANS

The components of the net periodic benefit cost for both the pension and postretirement plans for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, were as follows:

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Service cost	\$ 1.9	\$ 4.2	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.5
Interest cost	10.4	10.5	0.6	0.7
Expected return on plan assets	(12.3)	(12.8)	-	-
Amortization of prior service (benefit) cost	-	(0.3)	(0.9)	(0.4)
Amortization of net loss	4.3	4.2	0.2	0.1
Curtailment gain and special termination benefit charges, net	(8.4)	-	(0.1)	-
Net periodic benefit (credit) cost	\$ (4.1)	\$ 5.8	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.9

The \$8.5 million curtailment gain and special termination benefit charges, net, recognized in the first quarter of 2006 consisted of a \$9.8 million pension curtailment gain due to the freezing of plan benefit accruals in the U.S. and \$1.3 million of special termination benefit charges related to restructuring activities. See Note 3 for further discussion. The company currently expects to contribute approximately \$6 million to its pension and other postretirement plans in 2006. As of March 31, 2006, \$2.5 million of contributions have been made.

11. SEGMENT DATA

The company manufactures and sells a variety of printing and multifunction products and related supplies and services and is primarily managed along business and consumer market segments. The company evaluates the performance of its segments based on revenue and operating income, and does not include segment assets or other income and expense items for management reporting purposes. Segment operating income includes selling, general and administrative, research and development and other expenses, certain of which are allocated to the respective segments based on internal measures and may not be indicative of amounts that would be incurred on a stand alone basis or may not be indicative of results of other enterprises in similar businesses. Additionally, segment operating income excludes significant expenses that are managed outside of the reporting segments. These unallocated costs include such items as information technology expenses, occupancy costs, stock-based compensation and certain other corporate and regional general and administrative expenses such as finance, legal, and human resources.

The following table includes information about the company's reportable segments:

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2006	2005
Revenue:		
Business	\$ 688.4	\$ 727.1
Consumer	586.9	630.5
All Other	-	-
Total revenue	\$ 1,275.3	\$ 1,357.6
Operating income (loss):		
Business	\$ 147.5	\$ 177.5
Consumer	64.8	78.9
All Other	(91.8)	(94.7)
Total operating income (loss)	\$ 120.5	\$ 161.7

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, operating income (loss) noted above included restructuring related charges and other of \$7.9 million in its Business segment, \$31.3 million in its Consumer segment and \$10.4 million in its All Other segment relating to the restructuring related activities. Operating income in the All Other segment also included a \$9.8 million pension plan freeze benefit. The company expects to incur total charges related to the restructuring related activities of approximately \$20 million in its Business segment, a total of approximately \$62 million in its Consumer segment and a total of approximately \$48 million in its All Other segment. See Note 3 for further discussion.

12. CONTINGENCIES

Legal proceedings

On December 30, 2002 (“02 action”) and March 16, 2004 (“04 action”), the company filed claims against Static Control Components, Inc. (“SCC”) in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky (the “District Court”) alleging violation of the company’s intellectual property and state law rights. Pendl Companies, Inc. (“Pendl”) and Wazana Brothers International, Inc. (“Wazana”) were added as additional defendants to the claims brought by the company in the 02 action on October 8, 2004. Pendl, Wazana and NER Data Products, Inc., were added as additional parties to the claims brought by the company in the 04 action on November 8, 2004. These two cases have been consolidated by the District Court. Similar claims in a separate action were filed by the company in the District Court against David Abraham and Clarity Imaging Technologies, Inc. (“Clarity”) on October 8, 2004. Clarity, Pendl, SCC and Wazana have filed counterclaims against the company in the District Court alleging that the company engaged in anti-competitive and monopolistic conduct and unfair and deceptive trade practices in violation of the Sherman Act, the Lanham Act and state laws. SCC has stated that it is seeking damages in excess of \$100 million. Wazana has stated in its legal documents that it is seeking an estimated amount of at least \$52.5 million in damages prior to trebling. Clarity and Pendl have not stated a damage dollar amount. All are seeking treble damages, attorney fees, costs and injunctive relief. The company believes that these claims filed against the company are without merit, and intends to vigorously defend against them.

The company is also party to various litigation and other legal matters, including claims of intellectual property infringement and various purported consumer class action lawsuits alleging, among other things, various product defects and false and deceptive advertising claims, that are being handled in the ordinary course of business. In addition, various governmental authorities have from time to time initiated inquiries and investigations, some of which are ongoing, concerning the activities of participants in the markets for printers and supplies. The company intends to continue to cooperate fully with those governmental authorities in these matters.

Although it is not reasonably possible to estimate whether a loss will occur as a result of these legal matters, or if a loss should occur, the amount of such loss, the company does not believe that any legal matters to which it is a party is likely to have a material adverse effect on the company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows. However, there can be no assurance that any pending legal matters or any legal matters that may arise in the future would not have a material adverse effect on the company’s financial position or results of operations.

Copyright fees

Certain countries (primarily in Europe) and/or collecting societies representing copyright owners’ interests have commenced proceedings to impose fees on devices (such as scanners, printers and multifunction devices) alleging the copyright owners are entitled to compensation because these devices enable reproducing copyrighted content. Other countries are also considering imposing fees on certain devices. The amount of fees, if imposed, would depend on the number of products sold and the amounts of the fee on each product, which will vary by product and by country. The company has accrued amounts that it believes are adequate to address the currently pending copyright fee proceedings. The financial impact on the company, which will depend in large part upon the outcome of local legislative processes, the company’s and other industry participants’ outcome in contesting the fees and the company’s ability to mitigate that impact by increasing prices, which ability will depend upon competitive market conditions, remains uncertain. As of March 31, 2006, and December 31, 2005, the company had accrued approximately \$82 million and \$76 million, respectively, for the pending copyright fee proceedings. These accruals are included on the accrued liabilities line in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Financial Position.

13. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, *Inventory Costs, an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4* (“SFAS 151”). SFAS 151 amends the guidance in Accounting Revenue Bulletin (“ARB 43”), Chapter 4, “Inventory Pricing,” to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). Paragraph 5 of ARB 43, Chapter 4, previously stated that “... under some circumstances, items such as idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight, and rehandling costs may be so abnormal as to require treatment as current period charges . . .”. This Statement requires that those items be recognized as current-period charges regardless of whether they meet the criterion of “so abnormal.” In addition, SFAS 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The adoption of SFAS 151, effective January 1, 2006, did not have a material impact on the company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (“SFAS 123R”). SFAS 123R requires that all share-based payments to employees, including grants of stock options, be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair value. Refer to Note 2, Stock-Based Compensation, of the Notes to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements for further discussion.

In September 2005, the FASB reached a final consensus on EITF Issue 04-13, *Accounting for Purchases and Sales of Inventory with the Same Counterparty* (“EITF 04-13”). EITF 04-13 concludes that two or more legally separate exchange transactions with the same counterparty should be combined and considered as a single arrangement for purposes of applying APB Opinion No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*, when the transactions were entered into “in contemplation” of one another. The consensus contains several indicators to be considered in assessing whether two transactions are entered into in contemplation of one another. If, based on consideration of the indicators and the substance of the arrangement, two transactions are combined and considered a single arrangement, an exchange of finished goods inventory for either raw material or work-in-process should be accounted for at fair value. The provisions of EITF 04-13 should be applied to transactions completed in reporting periods beginning after March 15, 2006. The adoption of EITF 04-13 is not expected to have a material impact on the company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In October 2005, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 13-1, *Accounting for Rental Costs Incurred during a Construction Period* (“FSP 13-1”). FSP 13-1 was issued to address the accounting for rental costs associated with ground or building operating leases that are incurred during a construction period. FSP 13-1 concludes that these rental costs shall be recognized as rental expense and included in income from continuing operations. The guidance in FSP 13-1 shall be applied to the first reporting period beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of FSP 13-1, effective January 1, 2006, did not have a material impact on the company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In November 2005, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments* (collectively referred to as “FSP 115-1”). FSP 115-1 provides guidance on determining when investments in certain debt and equity securities are considered impaired, whether that impairment is other-than-temporary, and on measuring such impairment loss. FSP 115-1 also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. FSP 115-1 is required to be applied to reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of FSP 115-1, effective January 1, 2006, did not have a material impact on the company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

OVERVIEW

Lexmark makes it easier for businesses and consumers to move information between the digital and paper worlds. Since its inception in 1991, Lexmark has become a leading developer, manufacturer and supplier of printing and imaging solutions for offices and homes. Lexmark's products include laser printers, inkjet printers, multifunction devices, and associated supplies, services and solutions. Lexmark also sells dot matrix printers for printing single and multi-part forms by business users and develops, manufactures and markets a broad line of other office imaging products.

The company is primarily managed along business and consumer market segments. The laser product market primarily serves business customers. Laser products can be divided into two major categories — shared workgroup products and lower-priced desktop products. The company sells its laser products primarily through a large-account sales and marketing team and increasingly through small and medium business teams. The large accounts sales efforts are focused on customers who fall into six, specific industry groups: finance, services, retail, manufacturing, public sector and health care. The company distributes its laser products primarily through its well-established distributor network.

The inkjet product market is predominantly a consumer market but also includes business users who may choose inkjet products as a lower-priced alternative or supplement to laser products for personal desktop use. For the consumer market, the company distributes its branded inkjet products and supplies primarily through retail outlets worldwide.

The company also sells its products through numerous alliances and original equipment manufacturer ("OEM") arrangements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Lexmark's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon the company's consolidated condensed financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. The preparation of consolidated condensed financial statements requires the company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, the company evaluates its estimates, including those related to customer programs and incentives, product returns, doubtful accounts, inventories, stock-based compensation, intangible assets, income taxes, warranty obligations, copyright fees, product royalty obligations, restructurings, pension and other postretirement benefits, and contingencies and litigation. The company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

An accounting policy is deemed to be critical if it requires an accounting estimate to be made based on assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made, if different estimates reasonably could have been used, or if changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur could materially impact the financial statements. Management believes that other than the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* ("SFAS 123R"), there have been no significant changes during the three months ended March 31, 2006, to the items that we disclosed as our critical accounting policies and estimates in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, the company implemented the provisions of SFAS 123R and related interpretations. SFAS 123R requires that all share-based payments to employees, including grants of stock options, be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair value. The company selected the modified prospective transition method for implementing SFAS 123R and began recognizing compensation expense for stock-based awards granted on or after January 1, 2006, plus any unvested awards granted prior to January 1, 2006. Under this transition method, prior periods have not been restated. Stock-based compensation expense for awards granted on or after January 1, 2006, is based on the grant date fair value calculated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R. Stock-based compensation related to any unvested awards granted prior to January 1, 2006, is based on the grant date fair value calculated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. The fair value of the company's stock-based awards, less estimated forfeitures, is amortized over the awards' vesting periods on a straight-line basis. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, the company accounted for the costs of its stock-based employee compensation plans under Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations. Accordingly, no compensation cost was reflected in net earnings as all options granted had an exercise price at least equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 ("SAB 107") regarding the SEC Staff's interpretation of SFAS 123R and provides the Staff's views regarding interactions between SFAS 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations and provides interpretations of the valuation of share-based payments for public companies. The company has incorporated the provisions of SAB 107 in its adoption of SFAS 123R.

The fair value of each option award on the grant date was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected dividend yield, expected stock price volatility, weighted average risk-free interest rate and weighted average expected life of the options. Under SFAS 123R, the company's expected volatility assumption used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model was based exclusively on historical volatility and the expected life assumption was established based upon an analysis of historical option exercise behavior. The risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes model was based on the implied yield currently available on U.S Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equal to the company's expected term assumption.

Refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements for further information on stock-based compensation.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary

During the first quarter of 2006, in the business market segment, the company was impacted by mix changes and price declines. In the business market segment, laser unit shipments were up 7% year-to-year ("YTY") while laser hardware average unit revenue ("AUR"), which reflects the changes in both pricing and mix, declined approximately 25% from the prior year due to pricing and unfavorable mix shift changes.

In the consumer market segment, during the first quarter of 2006, consumer hardware units were impacted by the company's decision to implement a more rigorous process to improve lifetime profitability and payback on inkjet sales. In the consumer market segment, inkjet unit shipments were down 18% YTY as inkjet hardware AUR was approximately flat as price reductions were partially offset by a favorable mix shift to all-in-ones ("AIOs"). Also, demand for supplies continues to be soft.

In the consumer market segment, the company is working on a hardware component shortage that will impact the second half of 2006 operating results. The company is actively working to improve this, and as such, it's difficult to estimate now what impact it will have.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated condensed financial statements and notes thereto.

The following table summarizes the results of the company's operations for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended			
	March 31			
	2006		2005	
	Dollars	% of Rev	Dollars	% of Rev
Revenue	\$ 1,275.3	100.0 %	\$ 1,357.6	100.0 %
Gross profit	403.8	31.7	447.3	33.0
Operating expense	283.3	22.2	285.6	21.0
Operating income	120.5	9.5	161.7	11.9
Net earnings	86.2	6.8	123.9	9.1

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, net earnings decreased from the prior year due to lower operating income and a higher effective tax rate. Net earnings for the first quarter of 2006 included \$49.6 million of pre-tax restructuring related charges, a \$9.8 million pre-tax pension curtailment gain and \$10.3 million of pre-tax stock-based compensation expense. First quarter of 2005 net earnings included a \$9.6 million pre-tax charge for probable losses on uncollectible accounts in Spain. First quarter 2005 net earnings also included a \$3.1 million benefit from the retroactive extension of a favorable, non-U.S. tax rate. The effective income rate was 31.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to 25.1% for the first quarter of 2005.

Revenue

First quarter 2006 total revenue declined from the prior year but was higher than expected mainly due to greater than anticipated supplies sales. Consolidated revenue decreased 6% for the first quarter of 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 due to an 18% decline in laser and inkjet printer revenue partially offset by a 3% increase in laser and inkjet supplies revenue.

The following tables provide a breakdown of the company's revenue by market segment and geography.

Revenue by market segment:

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended		
	March 31		
	2006	2005	% Change
Business	\$ 688.4	\$ 727.1	(5)%
Consumer	586.9	630.5	(7)
Total revenue	\$ 1,275.3	\$ 1,357.6	(6)%

In the business market segment, revenue decreased \$39 million YTY principally due to lower hardware revenue. For the first quarter of 2006, the company experienced a 7% unit growth in laser units YTY with strong growth in branded low-end monochrome lasers, branded color lasers and branded workgroup multifunction products being partially offset by declines in OEM sales and branded workgroup monochrome printers. Laser hardware AUR declined approximately 25% from the prior year due to pricing and unfavorable mix shift changes.

In the consumer market segment, revenue declined \$44 million YTY due to lower hardware revenue. For the first quarter of 2006, inkjet units declined 18% with growth in branded AIOs being more than offset by declines in branded single function printers and OEM sales. The company experienced good growth in its 3-in-1 AIOs and 4-in-1 inkjets that it is targeting to grow. Inkjet hardware AUR was approximately flat YTY as price reductions were partially offset by a favorable mix shift to AIOs.

Revenue by Geography:

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31		
	2006	2005	% Change
United States	\$573.7	\$ 623.7	(8)%
Europe	465.4	512.0	(9)
Other International	236.2	221.9	6
Total revenue	\$1,275.3	\$ 1,357.6	(6)%

During the first quarter of 2006, revenue decreased in the U.S. and Europe geographies due to the previously mentioned decline in hardware units partially offset by hardware unit growth in the Other International geographies.

Gross Profit

The following table provides gross profit information:

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31		
	2006	2005	Change
Gross profit:			
Dollars	\$403.8	\$447.3	(10) %
% of revenue	31.7 %	33.0 %	(1.3) pts

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, the consolidated gross profit and gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased when compared to 2005. Gross profit for the three months ended included \$18.7 million of accelerated depreciation charges, related to the company's restructuring related activities. Excluding the 1.4 percentage point impact of the accelerated depreciation, the improvement in gross profit margin over the prior period was due to 3.8 percentage point favorable mix shift among products, mostly from a decrease in the percentage of inkjet hardware, offset by a 3.7 percentage point margin decline primarily in inkjet and laser hardware.

Operating Expense

The following table presents information regarding the company's operating expenses during the periods indicated:

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended			
	March 31			
	2006		2005	
	<i>% of</i>		<i>% of</i>	
	Dollars	Rev	Dollars	Rev
Operating expense:				
Research and development	\$87.4	6.9 %	\$82.6	6.1 %
Selling, general & administrative	174.8	13.7	203.0	14.9
Restructuring and other, net	21.1	1.6	-	-
Total operating expense	\$283.3	22.2 %	\$285.6	21.0 %

Research and development increased in the first quarter of 2006 compared to 2005 due to \$2.1 million of stock-based compensation expense and the company's continued acceleration of investment to support product and solution development. This investment has led to new products and solutions aimed at targeted growth segments.

Selling, general and administrative expenses ("SG&A") for the first quarter of 2006 included \$7.0 million of stock-based compensation expense and in the first quarter of 2005 a \$9.0 million charge for probable losses on uncollectible accounts in Spain. Excluding these items, SG&A decreased compared to the prior year due to lower marketing spend.

Restructuring and other, net, includes \$30.9 million of restructuring related charges partially offset by a \$9.8 million pension curtailment gain. See the section - Restructuring Related Charges and Other for further discussion.

Operating Income (Loss)

The following table provides operating income (loss) by market segment:

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended		
	March 31		
	2006	2005	Change
Operating income (loss):			
Business	\$147.5	\$177.5	(17)%
<i>% of segment revenue</i>	21.4 %	24.4 %	(3.0 pts)
Consumer	64.8	78.9	(18)%
<i>% of segment revenue</i>	11.0 %	12.5 %	(1.5 pts)
Other	(91.8)	(94.7)	3 %
Total operating income (loss)	\$120.5	\$161.7	(25)%
<i>% of total revenue</i>	9.5 %	11.9 %	(2.4 pts)

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, the decrease in consolidated operating income was primarily attributable to the \$49.6 million of restructuring related charges partially offset by the \$9.8 million pension curtailment gain as discussed above. For the three months ended March

31, 2006, operating income for the business market segment decreased \$30 million compared to the prior year primarily due to lower gross profits. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, operating income for the consumer market segment decreased \$14 million compared to the prior year primarily due to the impact of restructuring related charges.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, operating income (loss) noted above included restructuring related charges of \$7.9 million in its Business segment, \$31.3 million in its Consumer segment and \$10.4 million in its All Other segment. Operating income in the All Other segment also included a \$9.8 million pension plan freeze benefit. The company expects to incur total restructuring related charges of approximately \$20 million in its Business segment, a total of approximately \$62 million in its Consumer segment and a total of approximately \$48 million in its All Other segment. See the section - Restructuring Related Charges and Other for further discussion.

Interest and Other

<i>(Dollars in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2006	2005
Interest & other (income) expense		
Interest (income) expense, net	\$ (6.3)	\$ (6.4)
Other expense (income), net	0.8	2.7
Total interest and other (income) expense, net	\$ (5.5)	\$ (3.7)

Total interest and other (income) expense, net, for the three months ended March 31, 2006, was income of \$5.5 million compared to \$3.7 million in the prior year. This increase was primarily due to \$0.4 million of foreign exchange losses in 2006 compared to \$2.1 million of losses in 2005.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 31.56% for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to 25.1% for the first quarter of 2005. The difference in the two rates is due to the expiration of the U.S. Research and Experimentation credit on December 31, 2005, the change in geographic mix of earnings primarily due to the 2006 restructuring charges, and the retroactive extension of a favorable non-U.S. tax rate (a \$3.1 million benefit) in the first quarter of 2005.

Net Earnings

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, net earnings decreased from the prior year due to lower operating income and a higher effective tax rate. Net earnings for the first quarter of 2006 included \$49.6 million of pre-tax restructuring related charges, a \$9.8 million pre-tax pension curtailment gain and \$10.3 million of pre-tax stock-based compensation expense. First quarter of 2005 net earnings included a \$9.6 million pre-tax charge for probable losses on uncollectible accounts in Spain. First quarter 2005 net earnings also included a \$3.1 million benefit from the retroactive extension of a favorable, non-U.S. tax rate. The effective tax rate was 31.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to 25.1% for the first quarter of 2005.

Earnings Per Share

Basic net earnings per share were \$.79 per share for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$0.97 in 2005. Diluted net earnings per share were \$.78 per share for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$0.96 in 2005. Both basic and diluted net earnings per share in 2006 included the restructuring related charges and pension curtailment gain discussed above. Both basic and diluted net earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2005, included a charge associated with the previously mentioned estimate of probable losses on accounts receivable in Spain partially offset by a benefit associated with the previously mentioned retroactive extension of a favorable non-U.S. tax rate. The decreases in basic and diluted net earnings per share were primarily attributable to the decrease in net earnings partially offset by the decrease in the average number of shares outstanding, primarily due to the company's stock repurchases.

RESTRUCTURING RELATED CHARGES AND OTHER

During the first quarter of 2006, the company approved a plan to restructure its workforce, consolidate some manufacturing capacity, including the closure of one of its European facilities, and make certain changes to its U.S. retirement plans (collectively referred to as the “2006 actions”).

The workforce restructuring is expected to eliminate or transfer approximately 1,350 positions from various business functions and job classes, with about 825 positions being eliminated, and approximately 525 positions being transferred from various locations primarily to low cost countries. The company plans to consolidate its manufacturing capacity to reduce manufacturing costs, including the closure of its Rosyth, Scotland inkjet cartridge manufacturing facility, and expects to reduce its operating expenses, particularly in the areas of supply chain, general and administrative and marketing and sales (collectively referred to as “restructuring related activities”).

The company has also frozen benefit accruals in its defined benefit pension plan for U.S. employees, effective April 3, 2006, and at the same time changed from a maximum company matching contribution of three percent to an automatic company contribution of one percent and a maximum company matching contribution of five percent to the company’s existing 401(k) plan. The company plans to make a maximum company matching contribution of six percent to a nonqualified deferred compensation plan on compensation amounts in excess of IRS qualified plan limits.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, the company incurred net charges of \$39.8 million for the 2006 actions as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31 2006
Accelerated depreciation charges	\$18.7
Employee termination benefit charges	30.9
Subtotal restructuring related charges	49.6
Defined benefit pension plan freeze	(9.8)
Total restructuring related charges and other, net	\$39.8

The accelerated depreciation charges were determined in accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, and resulted from the company’s decision to close certain manufacturing facilities in Europe. The accelerated depreciation charges are included on the cost of revenue line in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings.

Employee termination benefit charges were accrued in accordance with SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*, and SFAS No. 112, *Employers' Accounting for Postemployment Benefits*, as appropriate. Employee termination benefit charges include severance, medical and other benefits. Employee termination benefit charges and the defined benefit pension plan freeze are included on the restructuring and other, net, line in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings. The following table presents a rollforward of the liability incurred for employee termination benefit charges in connection with the restructuring related activities. The liability is included on the accrued liabilities line in the company’s Consolidated Condensed Statements of Financial Position.

Balance at January 1, 2006	\$ -
Costs incurred	30.9
Payments	(2.2)
Other	(1.3)
Balance at March 31, 2006	\$ 27.4

Other consists primarily of special termination benefits that will be paid out of the U.S. pension plan.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, the company incurred charges of \$7.9 million in its Business segment, \$31.3 million in its Consumer segment and \$10.4 million in its All Other segment relating to the restructuring related activities. Operating income in the All Other segment also included a \$9.8 million pension plan freeze benefit. The company expects to incur total charges related to the restructuring related activities of approximately \$20 million in its Business segment, a total of approximately \$62 million in its Consumer segment and a total of approximately \$48 million in its All Other segment.

The company expects to incur an additional \$40 million of restructuring related charges in the second quarter of 2006 with an estimated \$15 million impact on cost of revenue and \$25 million impact on operating expense. Second quarter savings from the restructuring related activities are estimated to be \$10 million.

The company expects the restructuring related activities to be substantially complete by the end of 2006. The company expects that the restructuring related activities will result in total pre-tax charges of approximately \$130 million, of which \$80 million will require cash which are expected to be funded through cash from operations. Approximately \$50 million of the restructuring related charges will impact cost of revenue and \$80 million will impact operating expense. These restructuring related activities are expected to save approximately \$50 million in 2006 and \$80 million per year thereafter with approximately 70% benefiting cost of revenue and 30% benefiting operating expense.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Lexmark's financial position remains strong at March 31, 2006, with net working capital of \$744 million compared to \$936 million at December 31, 2005. The decrease in working capital accounts was primarily due to lower cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities in 2006 resulting principally from the company's stock repurchase activity. At March 31, 2006, the company had \$149.7 million of long-term debt and no short-term debt outstanding. The debt to total capital ratio was 11% at March 31, 2006, compared to 9% at December 31, 2005. The company had no amounts outstanding under its U.S. trade receivables financing program or its revolving credit facility at March 31, 2006.

The following table summarizes the results of the company's Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2006	2005
Net cash flow provided by (used for):		
Operating activities	\$219.5	\$77.2
Investing activities	113.9	6.2
Financing activities	(295.4)	(213.8)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	0.9	(1.4)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$38.9	\$(131.8)

The company's primary source of liquidity has been cash generated by operations that totaled \$220 million and \$77 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Cash from operations for the past few years has been sufficient to allow the company to fund its working capital needs and finance its capital expenditures during these periods along with the repurchase of approximately \$300 million and \$227 million, of its Class A common stock during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Management believes that cash provided by operations will continue to be sufficient to meet operating and capital needs. However, in the event that cash from operations is not sufficient, the company has other potential sources of cash through utilization of its accounts receivables financing program, revolving credit facility or other financing sources.

Operating activities:

The increase in cash flows from operating activities from 2005 to 2006 was primarily due to favorable changes in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Accounts payable balances can fluctuate significantly between periods due to the timing of payments to suppliers. The change noted in accrued liabilities was primarily due to decreases in salary and incentive compensation accruals and related payments of \$38 million, increases in restructuring related accruals of \$27 million and changes in derivative liabilities from the prior year of \$24 million.

Although not a significant component of the change in cash flows from operating activities, the company's days of sales outstanding were 38 days at March 31, 2006, compared to 43 days at March 31, 2005. The days of sales outstanding is calculated on a 90-day moving average based on gross accounts receivable and is adjusted for certain accounts receivable items which have no corresponding revenue, such as value-added taxes. Additionally, the company's days of inventory improved from 48 days at March 31, 2005, to 44 days at March 31, 2006. The days of inventory is calculated on a 90-day moving average based on annualized cost of goods sold. Days of sales outstanding and days of inventory calculations are non-GAAP and based on internal definitions and may not be comparable to other companies' calculations.

Investing activities:

The company decreased its marketable securities investments for the three months ended March 31, 2006, by \$162 million due to its share repurchase program activity. For the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, the company spent \$47 million and \$53 million, respectively, on capital expenditures. The capital expenditures for 2006 principally related to infrastructure support, new product support and manufacturing capacity expansion. It is anticipated that total capital expenditures for 2006 will be approximately \$230 million and are expected to be funded through cash from operations.

Financing activities:

The fluctuations in the net cash flows from financing activities were principally due to treasury stock activity. The company repurchased \$300 million and \$227 million of treasury stock during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In January 2006, the company received authorization from the board of directors to repurchase an additional \$1.0 billion of its Class A common stock for a total repurchase authority of \$3.9 billion. As of March 31, 2006, there was approximately \$1.0 billion of share repurchase authority remaining. This repurchase authority allows the company, at management's discretion, to selectively repurchase its stock from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions depending upon market price and other factors. During the first quarter of 2006, the company repurchased approximately 6.4 million shares at a cost of approximately \$300 million. As of March 31, 2006, since the inception of the program in April 1996, the company had repurchased approximately 61.3 million shares for an aggregate cost of approximately \$2.9 billion. As of March 31, 2006, the company had reissued .5 million shares of previously repurchased shares in connection with certain of its employee benefit programs. As a result of these issuances as well as the retirement of 44.0 million shares of treasury stock in December 2005, the net treasury shares outstanding at March 31, 2006, were 16.8 million.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, *Inventory Costs, an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4* (“SFAS 151”). SFAS 151 amends the guidance in Accounting Revenue Bulletin (“ARB 43”), Chapter 4, “Inventory Pricing,” to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). Paragraph 5 of ARB 43, Chapter 4, previously stated that “... under some circumstances, items such as idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight, and rehandling costs may be so abnormal as to require treatment as current period charges . . .”. This Statement requires that those items be recognized as current-period charges regardless of whether they meet the criterion of “so abnormal.” In addition, SFAS 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The adoption of SFAS 151, effective January 1, 2006, did not have a material impact on the company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (“SFAS 123R”). SFAS 123R requires that all share-based payments to employees, including grants of stock options, be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair value. Refer to Note 2, Stock-Based Compensation, of the Notes to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements for further discussion.

In September 2005, the FASB reached a final consensus on EITF Issue 04-13, *Accounting for Purchases and Sales of Inventory with the Same Counterparty* (“EITF 04-13”). EITF 04-13 concludes that two or more legally separate exchange transactions with the same counterparty should be combined and considered as a single arrangement for purposes of applying APB Opinion No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*, when the transactions were entered into “in contemplation” of one another. The consensus contains several indicators to be considered in assessing whether two transactions are entered into in contemplation of one another. If, based on consideration of the indicators and the substance of the arrangement, two transactions are combined and considered a single arrangement, an exchange of finished goods inventory for either raw material or work-in-process should be accounted for at fair value. The provisions of EITF 04-13 should be applied to transactions completed in reporting periods beginning after March 15, 2006. The adoption of EITF 04-13 is not expected to have a material impact on the company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In October 2005, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 13-1, *Accounting for Rental Costs Incurred during a Construction Period* (“FSP 13-1”). FSP 13-1 was issued to address the accounting for rental costs associated with ground or building operating leases that are incurred during a construction period. FSP 13-1 concludes that these rental costs shall be recognized as rental expense and included in income from continuing operations. The guidance in FSP 13-1 shall be applied to the first reporting period beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of FSP 13-1, effective January 1, 2006, did not have a material impact on the company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In November 2005, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments* (collectively referred to as “FSP 115-1”). FSP 115-1 provides guidance on determining when investments in certain debt and equity securities are considered impaired, whether that impairment is other-than-temporary, and on measuring such impairment loss. FSP 115-1 also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. FSP 115-1 is required to be applied to reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of FSP 115-1, effective January 1, 2006, did not have a material impact on the company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS AND INFORMATION CONCERNING FORWARD - LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following significant factors, as well as others of which we are unaware or deem to be immaterial at this time, could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or operating results in the future. Therefore, the following information should be considered carefully together with other information contained in this report. Past financial performance may not be a reliable indicator of future performance, and historical trends should not be used to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

The company and its major competitors, many of which have significantly greater financial, marketing and/or technological resources than the company, have lowered prices on their products and are expected to continue to do so. In particular, both the inkjet and laser printer markets have experienced and are expected to continue to experience significant price pressure. Price reductions on inkjet or laser products or the inability to reduce costs, including warranty costs, to contain expenses or to increase or maintain sales as currently expected, as well as price protection measures or a shift in the mix of products sold, could result in lower profitability and jeopardize the company's ability to grow or maintain its market share.

The company's future operating results may be adversely affected if it is unable to continue to develop, manufacture and market products that are reliable, competitive, and meet customers' needs. The markets for laser and inkjet products and associated supplies are aggressively competitive, especially with respect to pricing and the introduction of new technologies and products offering improved features and functionality. The impact of competitive activities on the sales volumes or revenue of the company, or the company's inability to effectively deal with these competitive issues, could have a material adverse effect on the company's ability to maintain or grow retail shelf space or market share and on its financial results.

The company's performance depends in part upon its ability to successfully forecast the timing and extent of customer demand and manage worldwide distribution and inventory levels of the company and its resellers. Unexpected fluctuations in reseller inventory levels could disrupt ordering patterns and may adversely affect the company's financial results. In addition, the financial failure or loss of a key customer or reseller could have a material adverse impact on the company's financial results. The company must also be able to address production and supply constraints, including product disruptions caused by quality issues, and delays or disruptions in the supply of key components necessary for production, including without limitation component shortages due to increasing global demand in the company's industry and other industries. Such delays, disruptions or shortages may result in lost revenue or in the company incurring additional costs to meet customer demand. The company's future operating results and its ability to effectively grow or maintain its retail shelf space or market share may be adversely affected if it is unable to address these issues on a timely basis.

The company's future operating results may be adversely affected if the consumption of its supplies by end users of its products is lower than expected.

The company depends on its information technology systems for the development, manufacture, distribution, marketing, sales and support of its products and services. Any failure in such systems, or the systems of a partner or supplier, may adversely affect the company's operating results. Furthermore, because vast quantities of the company's products flow through only a few distribution centers to provide product to various geographic regions, the failure of information technology systems or any other disruption affecting those product distribution centers could have a material adverse impact on the company's ability to deliver product and on the company's financial results.

Unfavorable global economic conditions may adversely impact the company's future operating results. The company continues to experience some weak markets for its products. Continued softness in certain markets and uncertainty about global economic conditions could result in lower demand for the company's products, particularly supplies. Weakness in demand has resulted in intense

price competition and may result in excessive inventory for the company and/or its reseller channel, which may adversely affect sales, pricing, risk of obsolescence and/or other elements of the company's operating results.

The introduction of products by the company or its competitors, or delays in customer purchases of existing products in anticipation of new product introductions by the company or its competitors and market acceptance of new products and pricing programs, any disruption in the supply of new or existing products due to quality issues, the reaction of competitors to any such new products or programs, the life cycles of the company's products, as well as delays in product development and manufacturing, and variations in cost, including but not limited to component parts, raw materials, commodities, energy, products, distributors, fuel and variations in supplier terms and conditions, may impact sales, may cause a buildup in the company's inventories, make the transition from current products to new products difficult and could adversely affect the company's future operating results. The competitive pressure to develop technology and products and to increase marketing expenditures also could cause significant changes in the level of the company's operating expenses.

The European Union has adopted the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive (the "Directive") which requires producers of electrical and electronic goods, including printing devices, to be financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. The deadline for enacting and implementing the Directive by individual European Union governments was August 13, 2004 (such legislation, together with the Directive, the "WEEE Legislation"), although extensions were granted to some countries. Producers are to be financially responsible under the WEEE Legislation beginning in August 2005. Similar legislation may be enacted in the future in other jurisdictions as well. The impact of this legislation could adversely affect the company's operating results and profitability.

The European Union has adopted the "RoHS" Directive (Restriction of use of certain Hazardous Substances) which restricts the use of six substances in electrical and electronic equipment placed on the market on or after July 1, 2006. Compliance with the RoHS Directive could create shortages of certain components or impact continuity of supply that could adversely affect the company's operating results and profitability.

Certain countries (primarily in Europe) and/or collecting societies representing copyright owners' interests have commenced proceedings to impose fees on devices (such as scanners, printers and multifunction devices) alleging the copyright owners are entitled to compensation because these devices enable reproducing copyrighted content. Other countries are also considering imposing fees on certain devices. The amount of fees, if imposed, would depend on the number of products sold and the amounts of the fee on each product, which will vary by product and by country. The financial impact on the company, which will depend in large part upon the outcome of local legislative processes, the company's and other industry participants' outcome in contesting the fees and the company's ability to mitigate that impact by increasing prices, which ability will depend upon competitive market conditions, remains uncertain. The outcome of the copyright fee issue could adversely affect the company's operating results and business.

Although the company is currently the exclusive supplier of new cartridges for its laser and inkjet products, there can be no assurance that other companies will not develop new compatible cartridges for the company's products. In addition, refill and remanufactured alternatives for some of the company's cartridges are available and compete with the company's supplies business. The company expects competitive refill and remanufacturing activity to increase. Various legal challenges and governmental activities may intensify competition for the company's aftermarket supplies business.

Revenue derived from international sales make up about half of the company's revenue. Accordingly, the company's future results could be adversely affected by a variety of factors, including changes in a specific country's or region's political or economic conditions, foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, trade protection measures and unexpected changes in regulatory requirements. In addition, changes in tax laws and the ability to repatriate cash accumulated outside the U.S. in a tax efficient manner may adversely affect the company's financial results, investment flexibility and operations. Moreover, margins on international sales tend to be lower than those on domestic sales, and the company believes that international operations in new geographic markets will be less profitable than operations in the U.S. and European markets, in part, because of the higher expense to revenue ratios for marketing, selling and distribution and lower pricing and product mix in these markets.

The company relies in large part on its international production facilities and international manufacturing partners, many of which are located in China, for the manufacture of its products and key components of its products. China's revaluation of its currency to no longer peg its currency to the U.S. dollar may have an adverse impact on the company's cost of goods acquired from China, and could have a material adverse impact on the company's financial results. Future operating results may also be adversely affected by several other factors, including, without limitation, if the company's international operations or manufacturing partners are unable to perform or supply products reliably, if there are disruptions in international trade, disruptions at important geographic points of exit and entry, if there are difficulties in transitioning such manufacturing activities among the company, its international operations and/or its manufacturing partners, or if there arise production and supply constraints which result in additional costs to the company. The financial failure or loss of a key supplier could result in a material adverse impact on the company's financial results.

The company markets and sells its products through several sales channels. The company has also advanced a strategy of forming alliances and OEM arrangements with many companies. The company's future operating results may be adversely affected by any conflicts that might arise between or among its various sales channels, the volume reduction in or loss of any alliance or OEM arrangement or the loss of retail shelf space. Aggressive pricing on laser and inkjet products and/or associated supplies from customers and resellers, including, without limitation, OEM customers, could result in a material adverse impact on the company's strategy and financial results.

The company's effective tax rate could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates. In addition, the amount of income tax the company pays is subject to ongoing audits in various jurisdictions. A material assessment by a taxing authority or a decision to repatriate foreign cash could adversely affect the company's profitability.

The entrance of additional competitors that are focused on printing solutions could further intensify competition in the inkjet and laser printer markets and could have a material adverse impact on the company's strategy and financial results.

The company's inability to perform satisfactorily under service contracts for managed print services and other customer services may result in the loss of customers, loss of reputation and/or financial consequences that may have a material adverse impact on the company's financial results and strategy.

The company's success depends in part on its ability to obtain patents, copyrights and trademarks, maintain trade secret protection and operate without infringing the proprietary rights of others. Current or future claims of intellectual property infringement could prevent the company from obtaining technology of others and could otherwise materially and adversely affect its operating results or business, as could expenses incurred by the company in obtaining intellectual property rights, enforcing its intellectual property rights against others or defending against claims that the company's products infringe the intellectual property rights of others.

Terrorist attacks and the potential for future terrorist attacks have created many political and economic uncertainties, some of which may affect the company's future operating results. Future terrorist attacks, the national and international responses to such attacks, and other acts of war or hostility may affect the company's facilities, employees, suppliers, customers, transportation networks and supply chains, or may affect the company in ways that are not capable of being predicted presently.

The company relies heavily on the health and welfare of its customers, employees and the employees of its manufacturing partners. The widespread outbreak of any form of communicable disease affecting a large number of customers or workers, or restricting the flow of goods into or out of affected geographies could adversely impact the company's operating results.

Factors unrelated to the company's operating performance, including the financial failure or loss of significant customers, resellers, manufacturing partners or suppliers; the outcome of pending and future litigation or governmental proceedings; and the ability to retain and attract key personnel, could also adversely affect the company's operating results. In addition, the company's stock price, like that of other technology companies, can be volatile. Trading activity in the company's common stock, particularly the trading of large blocks and intraday trading in the company's common stock, may affect the company's common stock price.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

MARKET RISK SENSITIVITY

The market risk inherent in the company's financial instruments and positions represents the potential loss arising from adverse changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates.

Interest Rates

At March 31, 2006, the fair value of the company's senior notes was estimated at \$153 million based on current rates available to the company for debt with similar characteristics. The fair value of the senior notes exceeded the carrying value as recorded in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Financial Position at March 31, 2006, by approximately \$3 million. Market risk is estimated as the potential change in fair value resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in interest rates and amounts to approximately \$2 million at March 31, 2006.

The company has interest rate swaps that serve as a fair value hedge of the company's senior notes. The fair value of the interest rate swaps at March 31, 2006, was a liability of \$4 million. Market risk for the interest rate swaps is estimated as the potential change in fair value resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in interest rates and amounts to approximately \$2 million at March 31, 2006.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rates

The company employs a foreign currency hedging strategy to limit potential losses in earnings or cash flows from adverse foreign currency exchange rate movements. Foreign currency exposures arise from transactions denominated in a currency other than the company's functional currency and from foreign denominated revenue and profit translated into U.S. dollars. The primary currencies to which the company is exposed include the euro, the Mexican peso, the Canadian dollar, the Japanese yen, the British pound, the Australian dollar and other Asian and South American currencies. Exposures are hedged with foreign currency forward contracts, put options, and call options generally with maturity dates of less than eighteen months. The potential loss in fair value at March 31, 2006, for such contracts resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in all foreign currency exchange rates is approximately \$35 million. This loss would be mitigated by corresponding gains on the underlying exposures.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The company's management, with the participation of the company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in providing reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the company in the reports that it files under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There have been no material developments to the legal proceedings previously disclosed in Part I, Item 3 of the company's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

A description of the risk factors associated with the company's business is included under "Factors That May Affect Future Results and Information Concerning Forward - Looking Statements" in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," contained in Item 2 of Part I of this report. Except for the addition of the following risk factor, there have been no material changes to the risk factors associated with the business previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of the company's 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

- The European Union has adopted the "RoHS" Directive (Restriction of use of certain Hazardous Substances) which restricts the use of six substances in electrical and electronic equipment placed on the market on or after July 1, 2006. Compliance with the RoHS Directive could create shortages of certain components or impact continuity of supply that could adversely affect the company's operating results and profitability.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table summarizes repurchases of the company common stock in the quarter ended March 31, 2006:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (in millions) (1)
January 1 - 31, 2006	840,000	\$ 49.45	840,000	1,289.9
February 1 - 28, 2006	2,975,000	47.36	2,975,000	1,149.0
March 1 - 31, 2006	2,537,932	46.33	2,537,932	1,031.4
Total	6,352,932	\$ 47.22	6,352,932	-

In January 2006, the company received authorization from the board of directors to repurchase an additional \$1.0 billion of its Class A common stock for a total repurchase authority of \$3.9 billion. As of March 31, 2006, there was approximately \$1.0 billion of share repurchase authority remaining. This repurchase authority allows the company, at management's discretion, to selectively repurchase its stock from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions depending upon market price and other factors. During the (1) first quarter of 2006, the company repurchased approximately 6.4 million shares at a cost of approximately \$300 million. As of March 31, 2006, since the inception of the program in April 1996, the company had repurchased approximately 61.3 million shares for an aggregate cost of approximately \$2.9 billion. As of March 31, 2006, the company had reissued .5 million shares of previously repurchased shares in connection with certain of its employee benefit programs. As a result of these issuances as well as the retirement of 44.0 million shares of treasury stock in December 2005, the net treasury shares outstanding at March 31, 2006, were 16.8 million.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

(a) The company's Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on April 27, 2006.

(b) At said Annual Meeting, the stockholders voted on the following two proposals:

(i) The election of four Directors for terms expiring in 2009. The stockholders elected the Directors by the following votes:

Director	Votes For	Votes Withheld
Michael J. Maples	89,413,214	938,085
Stephen R. Hardis	61,631,513	28,719,786
William R. Fields	87,116,780	3,234,519
Robert Holland, Jr.	89,213,688	1,137,611

The terms of office of Paul J. Curlander, B. Charles Ames, Teresa Beck, Ralph E. Gomory, James F. Hardymon, Marvin L. Mann and Martin D. Walker continued after the meeting.

(ii) The ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for the company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2006. The stockholders ratified the appointment of PwC by the following votes:

Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions
89,065,164	611,732	674,403

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

A list of exhibits is set forth in the Exhibit Index found on page 35 of this report.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, both on behalf of the registrant and in his capacity as principal accounting officer of the registrant.

Lexmark International, Inc.
(Registrant)

May 8, 2006

By: /s/ Gary S. Stromquist
Gary S. Stromquist
Vice President and Corporate
Controller
(Chief Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibits:

- 10.1 Form of Agreement pursuant to the company's 2006 - 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan. +
- 31.1 Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- + Indicates management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

Lexmark International, Inc.

2006-2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan

Agreement

This 2006-2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "2006-2008 LTIP") Agreement ("Agreement") between Lexmark International, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), and the person specified on the signature page (the "Participant") is entered into as of March 13, 2006.

This Agreement is only a summary of the principal terms governing the 2006-2008 LTIP. The 2006-2008 LTIP is subject in all respects to the terms of the Lexmark International, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated April 30, 2003 (the "Plan"). In the event of any conflict between the terms of this Agreement and the terms of the Plan, the terms of the Plan shall control. It is important that the Participant read and understand the Plan and not rely solely on the brief description that follows. All capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meaning set forth in the Plan.

Overview

The 2006-2008 LTIP is designed to reward the achievement of specific performance objectives over a three-year period. The Compensation and Pension Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company (the "Committee") established the performance objectives and performance measures set forth below for the performance period beginning January 1, 2006 and ending December 31, 2008 (the "Performance Period").

Depending upon the Company's attainment of the performance objectives and certain financial performance measures of the Company's peers, the Participant may be eligible to receive a payment under the 2006-2008 LTIP, as set forth below.

Plan Measurements

For the Performance Period, the Committee has established the following performance measures based on the Company's strategic plan for the Performance Period:

[Performance Measures - 2008 Operating Income and Market Share]

At the end of the three-year Performance Period, if the aggregate attainment based upon the two performance measures is below the Minimum level, there is an additional calculation comparing the Return on Invested Capital ("ROIC") for the Company with that of its peer companies over the same three-year period. The calculation of the Company's ROIC will be based upon Net Income before Extraordinary Items. If the Company's ROIC is at or above the median average of the ROIC of the peer companies included in the S&P Technology Index or, in the event that such index is no longer available, such other index as determined to be appropriate by the Committee at the time in its sole discretion, the 2006-2008 LTIP will be funded at 15% of Target for each of the two performance measures (as specified below) regardless of any below-Minimum attainment for the two performance measures.

Target Opportunity

The 2006-2008 LTIP awards are denominated in cash, but in the Committee's sole discretion may be paid in cash, the Company's Class A Common Stock or a combination of cash and the Company's Class A Common Stock. For the Performance Period, your target award is _____.

The chart below and the examples in Attachment A illustrate how the 2006-2008 LTIP awards will be calculated. The level of attainment for Operating Income is not linked to the level of attainment for Market Share. As a result, the level of attainment on each performance measure may independently generate a payment to Participants based on its achievement.

<u>Target Opportunity</u>	<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
Operating Income	15% of Target	50% of Target	100% of Target
Market Share	15% of Target	50% of Target	150% of Target

Committee Discretion

The Committee may use its sole discretion in determining any payment, or no payment, to Participants under the 2006-2008 LTIP based on any factors that it deems appropriate.

Payout Timing

The Committee intends to review and approve the Company's business results and Market Share position as compared to the 2006-2008 LTIP performance measures and the Company's business results compared to the peer companies included in the index stated above following the end of the three-year Performance Period. These reviews are expected to occur in a 2009 Committee meeting. Payments will be made in 2009. Payments will be made only after the Committee approval has occurred.

Termination of Employment

Except in the event of the death, long-term disability or retirement of the Participant, the Participant must be employed at the end of the Performance Period (December 31, 2008) to receive a payout. If the Participant should die, become long-term disabled or retire during the Performance Period, the payout, if any is achieved based on actual performance of the Company and its peers over the Performance Period, will be prorated and paid out after the end of the Performance Period.

Forfeiture of the Award

The Participant acknowledges that this opportunity for a long-term incentive award has been granted as an incentive to the Participant to remain employed by the Company or one of its Subsidiaries and to exert his or her best efforts to enhance the value of the Company and its Subsidiaries over the long-term. Accordingly, the Participant agrees that if he or she (a) within 12 months of termination of employment with the Company, or its Subsidiaries, accepts employment with a competitor of the Company or one of its Subsidiaries or otherwise engages in competition with the Company or one of its Subsidiaries, or (b) within 36 months of termination of employment with the Company, or its Subsidiaries, directly or indirectly, disrupts, damages, interferes or otherwise acts against the interests of the Company or one of its Subsidiaries, including, but not limited to, recruiting, soliciting or employing, or encouraging or assisting the Participant's new employer or any other person or entity to recruit, solicit or employ, any employee of the Company or one of its Subsidiaries without the Company's prior written consent, which may withheld in its sole discretion, or (c) within 36 months of termination of employment with the Company, or its Subsidiaries, disparages, criticizes, or otherwise makes any derogatory statements regarding the Company or its Subsidiaries or their directors, officers or employees, or (d) discloses or otherwise uses confidential information or material of the Company or one of its Subsidiaries, each of these constituting a harmful action, then the Participant shall immediately repay to the Company the full amount of the award received under the terms and conditions of the 2006-2008 LTIP. The Committee shall have the right not to enforce the provisions of this paragraph with respect to the Participant.

Participant agrees to be fully liable for any breach of this above described covenant, promise and agreement. Participant agrees to reimburse Lexmark for all costs and expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred by Lexmark in enforcing the obligations of Participant. This entire provision shall survive the termination of the Agreement and, in no manner, shall the remedies described herein be considered as Lexmark's exclusive or entire remedy for Participant's breach, non-compliance or violation of any other agreement that Participant may have entered into with Lexmark.

Tax Withholding

In the event that the payout of the award is made in Class A Common Stock of the Company, delivery of such stock shall not be made unless and until the Participant, or, if applicable, the Participant's beneficiary or estate, has made appropriate arrangements for the payment to the Company of an amount sufficient to satisfy any applicable U.S. federal, state and local and non-U.S. tax withholding or other tax requirements, as determined by the Company. To satisfy the Participant's applicable withholding and other tax requirements, the Company may, in its sole discretion, withhold a number of shares of Class A Common Stock having an aggregate Fair Market Value on the payout date equal to the applicable amount of such withholding and other tax requirements, subject to any rules adopted by the Committee or required to ensure compliance with applicable law, including, but not limited to, Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Any cash payment made under this Agreement shall be made net of any amounts required to be withheld or paid with respect thereto (and with respect to any shares of Class A Common Stock delivered therewith) under any applicable U.S. federal, state and local and non-U.S. tax withholding and other tax requirements.

Transferability

Unless otherwise provided in accordance with the provisions of the Plan, the award granted pursuant to this Agreement may not be sold, transferred, pledged, assigned or otherwise alienated or hypothecated by the Participant, other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution. The term "Participant" as used in this Agreement shall include any permitted transferee.

Interpretation; Construction

All powers and authority conferred upon the Committee pursuant to any term of the Plan or this Agreement shall be exercised by the Committee, in its sole discretion. All determinations, interpretations or other actions made or taken by the Committee pursuant to the provisions of the Plan or this Agreement shall be final, binding and conclusive for all purposes and upon all persons and, in the event of any judicial review thereof, shall be overturned only if arbitrary and capricious. The Committee may consult with legal counsel, who may be counsel to the Company or any of its subsidiaries, and shall not incur any liability for any action taken in good faith in reliance upon the advice of counsel.

Amendment

The Committee shall have the right to alter or amend the 2006-2008 LTIP and this Agreement in its sole discretion, from time to time, as provided in the Plan in any manner for the purpose of promoting the objectives of the Plan, provided that no such amendment shall impair the Participant's rights under the 2006-2008 LTIP without the Participant's consent. Subject to the preceding sentence, any alteration or amendment to the 2006-2008 LTIP by the Committee shall, upon adoption by the Committee, become and be binding and conclusive. The Company shall give written notice to the Participant of any such alteration or amendment of the 2006-2008 LTIP as promptly as practical after the adoption. This Agreement may also be amended in writing signed by both an authorized representative of the Company and the Participant.

No Guarantee of Employment or Future Incentive Awards

Nothing in the Plan or the 2006-2008 LTIP shall be deemed to:

- (a) interfere with or limit in any way the right of the Company or any Subsidiary to terminate the Participant's employment at any time for any reason, with or without cause;
- (b) confer upon the Participant any right to continue in the employ of the Company or any Subsidiary; and
- (c) provide Participant the right to receive any Incentive Awards under the Plan in the future or any other benefits the Company may provide to some or all of its employees.

Internal Revenue Code Section 409A

The Company intends for this Agreement to comply with the provisions of Section 409A of the Code and the guidance issued thereunder. The Company intends to amend this Agreement, and hereby reserves the right to do so, in the future as required to conform to the provisions of Section 409A of the Code with respect to amounts subject to Section 409A of the Code.

Assignability

Neither this Agreement or any right, remedy, obligation or liability arising hereunder or by reason hereof shall be assignable by the Company or the Participant without the prior consent of the other party.

Applicable Law

The 2006-2008 LTIP and this Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware, regardless of the law that might be applied under principles of conflict of laws and excluding any conflict or choice of law rule or principle that may otherwise refer construction or interpretation of the 2006-2008 LTIP or this Agreement to the substantive law of another jurisdiction.

Jurisdiction

The Participant hereby irrevocably and unconditionally submits to the jurisdiction and venue of the state courts of the Commonwealth of Kentucky and of the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Kentucky located in Fayette County, Kentucky, and any appellate court from any thereof, in any action or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Agreement, or for recognition or enforcement of any judgment, and each of the parties hereby irrevocably agree that all claims in respect of any such action or proceeding may be heard and determined in such Kentucky state, or to the extent required by law, United States federal courts located in such jurisdiction. Each of the parties hereto agrees that a final judgment in any such action or proceeding shall be conclusive and may be enforced in other jurisdictions by suit on the judgment or in any other manner provided by law. The parties hereby irrevocably waive, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, any objection which they may now or hereafter have to the laying of venue of any such proceeding brought in such a court and any claim that any such proceeding brought in such a court has been brought in an inconvenient forum. Participant further agrees that any action related to, or arising out of, this Agreement shall only be brought by Participant exclusively in the federal and state courts located in Fayette County, Kentucky. Nothing in this Agreement shall affect any right that the Company may otherwise have to bring any action or proceeding relating to this Agreement in the courts of any jurisdiction.

Section and Other Headings, Etc.

The section and other headings contained in this Agreement are for reference purposes only and shall not affect the meaning or interpretation of this Agreement. In this Agreement all references to "dollars" or "\$" are to United States dollars.

Severability

If any provision of this Agreement, the 2006-2008 LTIP or the Plan shall be held invalid or unenforceable, such invalidity or unenforceability shall not affect any other provisions of this Agreement, the 2006-2008 LTIP or the Plan, and the Agreement, the 2006-2008 LTIP and the Plan shall be construed and enforced as if such provision had not been included.

Survival

Any provision of this Agreement which contemplates performance or observance subsequent to any termination or expiration of this Agreement shall survive any termination or expiration of this Agreement and continue in full force and effect.

Counterparts

This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original and all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

Please sign and date this Agreement to acknowledge that you have read the terms of this Agreement and understand that this 2006-2008 LTIP award is subject to the provisions of the Plan and that you agree to the terms and conditions contained herein and therein.

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC.

By: _____

Vice President of Human Resources

EXECUTIVE:

By: (Name)

(sign your name)

Date: _____

(Beneficiary Name)

Attachment A

2006-2008 LTIP Examples

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE
13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a),
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Paul J. Curlander, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Lexmark International, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ Paul J. Curlander
Paul J. Curlander
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE
13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a),
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, John W. Gamble, Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Lexmark International, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ John W. Gamble, Jr.
John W. Gamble, Jr.
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Lexmark International, Inc. (the “Company”) on Form 10-Q for the period ending March 31, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Paul J. Curlander, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ Paul J. Curlander

Paul J. Curlander

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Lexmark International, Inc. (the “Company”) on Form 10-Q for the period ending March 31, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, John W. Gamble, Jr., Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ John W. Gamble, Jr.

John W. Gamble, Jr.

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.