

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

## FORM 20-F

Annual and transition report of foreign private issuers pursuant to sections 13 or 15(d)

Filing Date: **2005-05-02** | Period of Report: **2004-12-31**  
SEC Accession No. **0000919574-05-001587**

([HTML Version](#) on [secdatabase.com](#))

### FILER

#### **ULTRAPETROL BAHAMAS LTD**

CIK: **1062781** | IRS No.: **000000000**

Type: **20-F** | Act: **34** | File No.: **333-08878** | Film No.: **05791976**

SIC: **4412** Deep sea foreign transportation of freight

Business Address  
C/O HARRY B SANDS & CO  
FIFTY SHIRLEY ST  
NASSAU BAHAMAS C5 00000  
2423222670

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

(Mark One)

REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) or (g)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the transition period from to

Commission file number 0-\_\_\_\_\_

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

COMMONWEALTH OF THE BAHAMAS

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited  
H & J Corporate Services Ltd.  
Shirlaw House  
87 Shirley Street  
P. O. Box SS-19084  
Nassau, Bahamas

(Address of principal executive offices)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:  
None

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:  
None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d)  
of the Act: 9% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2014

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of  
capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual  
report.

Common Shares, \$0.01 par value 2,134,452 Shares Outstanding

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required  
to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during  
the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was  
required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing  
requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark which financial statement item the Registrant has elected  
to follow.

Item 17  Item 18

INDEX TO REPORT ON FORM 20-F

Part I

Item 1.	Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisors	1
Item 2.	Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable	1
Item 3.	Key Information	2
Item 4.	Information on the Company	12
Item 5.	Operating and Financial Review and Prospects	27

Item 6.	Directors, Senior Management and Employees	37
Item 7.	Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions	39
Item 8.	Financial Information	41
Item 9.	The Offer and Listing	41
Item 10.	Additional Information	41
Item 11.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	45
Item 12.	Description of Securities	45
Part II		
Item 13.	Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies	45
Item 14.	Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds	45
Item 15.	Controls and Procedures	45
Item 16A.	Audit Committee Financial Expert	46
Item 16B.	Code of Ethics	46
Item 16C.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	46
Part III		
Item 17.	Financial Statements	46
Item 18.	Financial Statements	47
Item 19.	Exhibits	A-1

#### CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Matters discussed in this document may constitute forward-looking statements. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides safe harbor protections for forward-looking statements in order to encourage companies to provide prospective information about their business. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance, and underlying assumptions and other statements, which are other than statements of historical facts.

Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited desires to take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and is including this cautionary statement in connection with this safe harbor legislation. This document and any other written or oral statements made by us or on our behalf may include forward-looking statements, which reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. When used in this document, the words "anticipate," "estimate," "project," "forecast," "plan," "potential," "will," "may," "should," and "expect" reflect forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements in this document are based upon various assumptions, many of which are based, in turn, upon further assumptions, including without limitation, managements examination of historical operating trends, data contained in our records and other data available from third parties. Although we believe that these assumptions were reasonable when made, because these assumptions are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies which are difficult or impossible to predict and are beyond our control, we cannot assure you that we will achieve or accomplish these expectations, beliefs or projections.

In addition to these assumptions and matters discussed elsewhere herein and in the documents incorporated by reference herein, important factors that, in our view, could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include the strength of world economies and currencies, general market conditions, including fluctuations in charter hire rates and vessel values, changes in demand in the tanker market, as a result of changes in OPEC's petroleum production levels and world wide oil consumption and storage, changes in the company's operating expenses, including bunker prices, drydocking and insurance costs, changes in governmental rules and regulations or actions taken by regulatory authorities, potential liability from pending or future litigation, general domestic and international political conditions, potential disruption of shipping routes due to accidents or political events, and other important factors described from time to time in the reports filed by Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## PART I

## ITEM 1 - IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISORS

Not Applicable.

## ITEM 2 - OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not Applicable.

## ITEM 3 - KEY INFORMATION

## A. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following summary financial information set forth below for Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited (the "Company") is for the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004 and has been derived from the Company's Financial Statements.

&lt;TABLE&gt;

&lt;CAPTION&gt;

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004 (1)
	(dollars in thousands)				
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Statement of Operations Data:					
Revenues:	\$104,391	\$111,208	\$ 73,124	\$ 75,233	\$ 95,160 (2)
Operating expenses(3).....	(67,305)	(60,504)	(37,582)	(41,303)	(40,815)
Depreciation and amortization.....	(21,161)	(23,443)	(24,807)	(22,567)	(18,688)
Management fees to related parties(4)...	(3,780)	(3,250)	(3,176)	(2,863)	(1,513)
Administrative and selling expenses.....	(4,269)	(4,520)	(3,642)	(4,955)	(7,494)
Other operating income (expenses).....	4,483	1,534	1,741	(2,124)	784
Loss on involuntary conversion Arg.					
Receivable(5).....	--	--	(2,704)	--	--
Operating profit.....	12,359	21,025	2,954	1,421	27,434
Financial expense.....	(16,646)	(17,698)	(16,763)	(16,207)	(16,134)
Gain (loss) on extinguishments of debt....				1,782	(5,078)
Financial income.....	282	296	326	201	119
Investment in affiliates.....	(1,646)	(692)	(45)	3,140	406
Other income (expenses).....	(132)	(126)	(43)	(337)	174
Income (loss) before income tax and minority interest.....	(5,783)	2,805	(13,571)	(10,000)	6,921
Minority interest.....			(132)	(1,333)	(1,140)
Income tax expense.....	(284)	(390)	(150)	(185)	(642)
Net income (loss).....	\$ (6,067)	\$ 2,415	\$ (13,853)	\$ (11,518)	\$ 5,139
Balance Sheet Data (end of period):					
Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$ 4,225	\$ 5,872	\$ 4,724	\$ 8,248	\$ 11,602
Non-current restricted cash.....				16,461	30,010
Working capital.....	9,702	18,920	21,013	15,416	13,441
Fixed assets.....	148,946	135,289	134,797	120,803	160,535
Total assets.....	232,894	225,576	213,546	208,161	273,648
Total debt.....	177,769	165,445	168,994	155,814	220,413
Shareholders' equity.....	42,235	47,838	35,089	23,793	28,910
EBITDA(6).....	\$ 32,024	\$ 43,946	\$ 27,867	\$ 25,659	\$ 45,681
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges (7)....	- (8)	1.2	- (8)	- (8)	1.4
Dollar amount of the coverage deficiency	\$ 4,137	\$ --	\$ 13,526	\$ 13,140	\$ --

&lt;/TABLE&gt;

- (1) In a series of related transactions, on April 23, 2004, through two wholly owned subsidiaries, we acquired from ACBL the remaining 50% equity interest in UABL Limited that we did not previously own, along with a fleet of 50 river barges and seven river push boats. The results of UABL Limited's operations have been included in our condensed consolidated financial statements since that date.
- (2) Includes total revenues from our Ocean Business of \$54,049 and from our River Business of \$41,111.
- (3) Operating expenses include voyage expenses and running costs. Voyage expenses, which are incurred when a vessel is operating under a contract of affreightment (as well as any time when they are not operating under time or bareboat charter), comprise all costs relating

to a given voyage, including port charges, canal dues and fuel (bunkers) costs, are paid by the vessel owner and are recorded as voyage expenses. Voyage expenses also include charter hire payments made by us to owners of vessels that we have chartered in. Running costs, or vessel operating expenses, include the cost of all ship management, crewing, repairs and maintenance, spares and stores, insurance premiums and lubricants and certain drydocking costs.

- (4) Management fees to related parties include payments to our affiliates Ravenscroft and Oceanmarine.
- (5) This relates to a loss resulting from the involuntary conversion of certain receivables from U.S. dollars to Argentine pesos. This conversion resulted pursuant to an emergency law passed by the Argentine government in January 2002. Under this law U.S. dollar obligations between private parties due after January 6, 2002 were to be liquidated in Argentine pesos at a negotiated rate of exchange which reflects a sharing of the impact of the devaluation. Our settlement in Argentine pesos of the U.S. dollar denominated agreements was completed in 2002 and resulted in a loss of \$2,704.
- (6) EBITDA consists of income prior to deductions for interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization of drydock expense and financial gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt. EBITDA is not intended to represent cash flows from operations, as defined by GAAP ("GAAP" means generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America in effect as of the date hereof) and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance or to cash flows from operations as a measure of liquidity. This definition of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures disclosed by other companies. Generally, funds represented by EBITDA are available for management's discretionary use.

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>

Reconciliation between EBITDA and Net income (loss) for the years	For the year ended December 31,				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	----	----	----	----	----
		(dollars in thousands)			
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
EBITDA					
Net Income (loss) for the year.	(6,067)	2,415	(13,853)	(11,518)	5,139
Plus					
Financial expense	16,646	17,698	16,763	16,207	16,134
Financial gain on extinguishments of debts				(1,782)	(1,344)
Financial losses on extinguishments of debts					6,422
Income taxes	284	390	150	185	642
Depreciation of property and equipment	15,572	16,197	15,968	15,335	13,493
Amortization of dry-dock expense	5,589	7,246	8,839	7,232	5,195
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
EBITDA	\$32,024	\$43,946	\$27,867	\$25,659	\$45,681
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

</TABLE>

- (7) The ratio of earnings to fixed charges is computed by aggregating income (loss) before income taxes, minority interest and fixed charges and dividing the total by fixed charges. Fixed charges comprise interest on all indebtedness including capital leases and amortization of debt expense.
- (8) In these fiscal years the earnings are inadequate to cover fixed charges.

B. CAPITALIZATION AND INDEBTEDNESS

Not Applicable.

C. REASONS FOR THE OFFER AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not Applicable.

D. RISK FACTORS

Please note: In this section, "we", "us" and "our" all refer to the Company and its subsidiaries.

Risks relating to our Company

We depend on a few significant customers for a large part of our revenues, and the loss of one or more of these customers could adversely affect our revenues.

We derive a significant part of our revenues from a small number of

customers. In 2004, Cargill and its subsidiaries accounted for 32% of our total revenues, Pan Ocean Shipping accounted for 18% of our total revenues and our five largest customers in terms of revenues, in aggregate, accounted for 65% of our total revenues. The loss of any one or a number of these customers, whether to our competitors or otherwise, could adversely affect our revenues.

Our earnings may be reduced and volatile if we do not efficiently deploy our vessels between longer term and shorter term charters.

We seek to deploy our vessels on spot voyages, which are typically single voyages for a period of less than 60 days, or on time charters and contracts of affreightment, which are longer term contracts for periods of typically three months to three years. As of December 31, 2004, six of our seven oceangoing vessels were employed under time charters expiring on dates ranging between three and 15 months, and the vast majority of our fleet of push boats and barges in our River Business were employed under contracts of affreightment, which typically run for one year.

Although time charters and contracts of affreightment provide steady streams of revenue, vessels committed to such contracts are unavailable for spot voyages or for entry into new longer term time charters or contracts of affreightment. If such periods of unavailability coincide with a time when market prices have risen, such vessels will be unable to capitalize on that increase in market prices. For example, while at the end of 2003 and the beginning of 2004 we fixed our Suezmax/OBOs and Capesize vessels at progressively increased charter rates for periods of up to one year, this did not permit us to take full advantage of the rise in market prices experienced over the entire year in 2004. Conversely, if our vessels are available for spot charter or entry into new time charters or contracts of affreightment, they are subject to market prices, which may vary greatly. If such periods of availability coincide with a time when market prices have fallen, we may have to deploy our vessels on spot voyages or under long term time charters or contracts of affreightment at depressed market prices, which would lead to reduced or volatile earnings.

Our success depends upon our management team and other employees, and if we are unable to attract and retain key management personnel and other employees, our results of operations may be negatively impacted.

Our success depends to a significant extent upon the abilities and efforts of our management team and our ability to retain them. Although we intend to negotiate employment agreements, we do not currently have employment contracts with any of our senior executives, including Felipe Menendez R., Ricardo Menendez R. and Leonard J. Hoskinson. The loss of any of these individuals could adversely affect our business prospects and results of operations. We do not maintain "key man" life insurance on any of our officers. Further, the efficient and safe operation of our vessels requires skilled and experienced crew members. Difficulty in hiring and retaining such crew could adversely affect the operation of our vessels, and in turn adversely affect our results of operations.

As of December 31, 2004, we employed 88 land-based employees and approximately 381 seafarers as crew on our vessels. These seafarers are covered by industry-wide collective bargaining agreements that set basic standards applicable to all companies who hire such individuals as crew. Because most of our employees are covered by these industry-wide collective bargaining agreements, failure of industry groups to renew these agreements may disrupt our operations and adversely affect our earnings. In addition, we cannot assure you that these agreements will prevent labor interruptions. Any labor interruptions could disrupt our operations and harm our financial performance.

We operate in an inherently dangerous and risk-prone industry, and we may suffer substantial losses and reputation harm as a result of our operations.

Through their normal operation, our vessels are inherently subject to risks of accidents, damage and total loss. These risks include, but are not limited to:

- o oil spills and other environmental damage and pollution;
- o collisions with other vessels and property of third parties;
- o grounding as a result of shifting navigational channels or otherwise;
- o unexpected part or vessel breakage;
- o death or injury to crew and third parties;
- o adverse weather conditions;
- o cargo damage or other loss;
- o cargo or vessel theft;

- o fire;
- o mechanical and/or electronic failure;
- o political instability in the various countries in which our vessels operate;
- o acts of piracy, war, vandalism or terrorism; and
- o other marine related disasters.

While we take measures that we consider standard in the shipping industry to minimize the possibility of the occurrence of the above risks, and to minimize the damage that any of the above risks may have on our business if they were to occur, most such risks are beyond our control, and any losses that we suffer as a result of any of the foregoing, particularly losses involving oil spills or other damage to the environment, could be substantial.

Further, if our vessels suffer damage, they may need to be repaired at a drydocking or other type of ship repair facility. The costs of drydock and repairs and the length of time needed to complete such drydock and repairs are unpredictable and can be substantial. While a vessel is in drydock, it cannot be used to generate revenue. We may have to pay drydocking and repair costs that our insurance does not cover. This would decrease earnings and may impact our financial condition.

The occurrence of any of the above risks, such as an oil spill where we are deemed to be at fault, could also adversely impact our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel owner and operator. Any damage to our reputation could make it more difficult for us to retain our existing customers or to attract new customers in the future, which could, in turn, have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

The shipping industry is cyclical and volatile, and this may lead to reductions and volatility in our charter rates and results of operations.

The shipping industry is both cyclical and volatile. Fluctuations in charter rates result from changes in the supply and demand for vessel capacity and changes in the supply and demand for the major commodities carried by water internationally. The factors affecting the supply and demand for vessels and supply and demand for commodities are outside of our control, and the nature, timing and degree of changes in industry conditions are unpredictable.

The factors that influence demand for oceangoing vessel capacity include:

- o the volume of world oil and dry bulk commodity production and demand;
- o the volume of oil production and demand in the Western Hemisphere;
- o freight rates;
- o global and regional economic conditions;
- o the distance that oil and dry bulk commodities must be transported; and
- o changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns.

The factors that influence the supply of oceangoing vessel capacity include:

- o the number of newbuilding deliveries;
- o the scrapping rate of older vessels;
- o the number of vessels that are out of service; and
- o national or international regulations that may effectively cause reductions in the carrying capacity of vessels or early obsolescence of vessels.

Further, tanker markets are typically stronger in the winter months in the northern hemisphere due to increased oil consumption. In addition, unpredictable weather patterns in the winter months tend to disrupt vessel scheduling, leading to increased oil trading activities.

Our ability to recharter our vessels on the expiration or termination of their current spot and time charters and the charter rates payable under any renewal or replacement charters will depend upon, among other things, the current state of the vessel market. If the vessel market is in a period of depression when our vessels' charters expire, we may be forced to re-charter our vessels at reduced rates or even possibly a rate whereby we incur a loss, which may reduce our earnings or make our earnings volatile.

Because the fair market value of our vessels may fluctuate significantly, we may incur losses when we sell vessels.

The fair market value of vessels may increase and decrease depending on the following factors, all of which are beyond our control:

- o general economic and market conditions affecting the shipping industry;
- o competition from other shipping companies;
- o types and sizes of vessels currently on the market for sale;
- o the viability of other modes of transportation that compete with our vessels;
- o cost of new buildings;
- o governmental or other regulations;
- o prevailing level of charter rates; and
- o technological advances.

Vessel values have historically been very volatile. The market value of our vessels may fluctuate significantly in the future and we may incur losses when we sell vessels which may adversely affect our earnings.

Any drought or significant decline in production of soybeans or other agricultural products in the Hidrovia region, or any significant change affecting the navigability of certain rivers in the region, would have an adverse effect on our River Business.

A significant portion of our revenues in our River Business is derived from transportation of soybeans and other agricultural products produced in the Hidrovia region. Any drought or other adverse weather conditions, such as floods, could result in a decline in production of these products which would likely result in a reduction in demand for our services. This would, in turn, negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition. Further, most of the operations in our River Business occur on the Parana and Paraguay Rivers, and any changes adversely affecting navigability of either of these rivers, such as changes in the depth of the water or the width of the navigable channel, could, in the short term, reduce or limit our ability to effectively transport cargo on the rivers.

A prolonged drought or other turn of events that is perceived by the market to have an impact on the region, the navigability of the Parana or Paraguay Rivers or our River Business in general may, in the short term, result in a reduction in the market value of the barges and push boats that we operate in the region. These barges and push boats are designed to operate in wide and relatively calm rivers, of which there are only a few in the world. If it becomes difficult or impossible to operate profitably our barges and push boats in the Hidrovia region and we are forced to sell them to a third party located outside of the region, there is a limited market in which we would be able to sell these vessels, and accordingly we may be forced to sell them at a substantial loss.

We may not have adequate insurance to compensate us if our vessels are damaged or lost or if we harm third parties or their property or the environment.

We procure insurance for our fleet against those risks that we believe the shipping industry commonly insures against. These insurances include hull and machinery insurance, protection and indemnity insurance (which includes environmental damage and pollution insurance coverage) and war risk insurance. We do not procure loss of hire insurance in most instances. All insurance policies that we carry include deductibles (and some include limitations on partial loss) and each of them may not be sufficient to fully compensate us against losses that we incur, either from damage or loss of our vessels, or through liability to a third party or for harm to the environment. For example, our protection and indemnity insurance has a coverage limit of \$1 billion regarding oil spills and related harm to the environment. Although this is a significant sum, it may be insufficient to fully compensate us, and any uninsured losses that we incur may be substantial and may have a very significant effect on our financial condition.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to renew our insurance policies on the same or commercially reasonable terms, or at all, in the future. Further, we cannot assure you that our insurance policies will cover all types of losses that we incur. Each of our policies is subject to limitations and exclusions which, although we believe are standard in the shipping industry, may nevertheless not cover all types of losses we incur.

Certain conflicts of interest may adversely affect us.



Certain of our directors and officers hold similar positions with our affiliates. Felipe Menendez R., who is our President, Chief Executive Officer and a director, is a director of Oceanmarine and Ravenscroft, two related parties that provide services to us. See "Certain Related Transactions." Ricardo Menendez R., who is one of our directors, is the President of Oceanmarine and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Ravenscroft, and is also the president of The Standard Steamship Owners' Protection and Indemnity Association (Bermuda) Limited (the "Standard"), a P&I club with which certain of our ships are entered. Leonard J. Hoskinson, who is one of our directors, is General Manager and a director of Ravenscroft. Although these directors and officers attempt to perform their duties within each company independently, in light of their positions with such entities, these directors and officers may face conflicts of interest in selecting between our interests and those of Ravenscroft, Oceanmarine and the Standard. In addition, Ravenscroft, and Oceanmarine are indirectly controlled by the Menendez family, including Felipe Menendez R. and Ricardo Menendez R. These conflicts may limit our fleet's earnings and adversely affect our operations.

Secondhand vessels are more expensive to operate and repair than newbuildings and may have a higher likelihood of accidents.

All of our oceangoing vessels and substantially all of our other vessels were purchased secondhand from other owners, and our current business strategy includes growth through the acquisition of additional secondhand vessels. While we inspect secondhand vessels prior to purchase, we may not discover defects or other problems with such vessels prior to purchase. If this were to occur, such hidden defects or problems, when detected, may be expensive to repair, and if not detected, may result in accidents or other incidents for which we are liable to third parties.

As our fleet ages, the risks and costs associated with older vessels increases.

The costs to operate and maintain a vessel in operation increases with the age of the vessel. The average age of vessels in our oceangoing fleet is 18 years, and most oceangoing vessels have an expected life of approximately 25 years. The average age of vessels in our River Business fleet is approximately 18 years for barges and 24 years for push boats, and most of these vessels have an expected life of approximately 35 years. In some instances charterers prefer newer vessels that are more fuel efficient than older vessels. Cargo insurance rates also increase with the age of a vessel, making older vessels less desirable to charterers as well. Governmental regulations, safety or other equipment standards related to the age of vessels may require expenditures for alterations, or the addition of new equipment, to our vessels and may restrict the type of activities in which these vessels may engage. We cannot assure you that as our vessels age, market conditions will justify those expenditures or enable us to operate our vessels profitably during the remainder of their useful lives. If we sell vessels, we may have to sell them at a loss, and if charterers no longer charter our vessels due to their age, it could adversely affect our earnings.

We are an international company and are exposed to the risks of doing business in many different, and often underdeveloped and emerging market countries.

We are an international company and conduct almost all of our operations outside of the United States, and we expect to continue doing so for the foreseeable future. Some of these operations occur in countries that are less developed and stable than the United States, such as Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay. Accordingly, our business operations and our revenues are subject to the following potential risks, among others:

- o political and economic instability, changing economic policies and conditions, and war and civil disturbances;
- o recessions in economies of countries in which we have business operations;
- o foreign countries may impose additional withholding taxes or otherwise tax our foreign income, impose tariffs or adopt other restrictions on foreign trade or investment, including currency exchange controls and currency repatriation limitations;
- o imposition of or unexpected adverse changes in foreign laws or regulatory requirements;
- o longer payment cycles in foreign countries and difficulties in collecting accounts receivable;
- o difficulties and costs of staffing and managing our foreign operations; and
- o acts of piracy or terrorism.

These risks may result in unforeseen harm to our business or financial condition. For example, in 2002, one of the banks used by our River Business in

Paraguay declared bankruptcy. Although we recovered all of the funds that were held in this bank, our River Business was exposed to a maximum loss of approximately \$400,000.

Also, some of our customers are headquartered in South America, and a general decline in the economies of South America, or the instability of certain South American countries and economies, could adversely affect that part of our business.

Our business in emerging markets requires us to respond to rapid changes in market conditions in these countries. Our overall success in international markets depends, in part, upon our ability to succeed in differing legal, regulatory, economic, social and political conditions. We may not continue to succeed in developing and implementing policies and strategies which will be effective in each location where we do business. Furthermore, the occurrence of any of the foregoing factors may have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We are exposed to domestic and foreign currency fluctuations and devaluations that could harm our reported revenue and results of operations.

We are an international company and, while our financial statements are reported in U.S. dollars, some of our operations are conducted in foreign currencies. Approximately 10% of our revenues in 2004 were denominated in non-U.S. currencies. These revenues could be materially affected by currency fluctuations or devaluations. Changes in currency exchange rates could adversely affect our reported revenues and could require us to reduce our prices to remain competitive in foreign markets, which could also have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. We have not historically hedged our exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates and, as a result, we could incur unanticipated losses.

During 2002, the Argentine peso declined in value against the U.S. dollar following the Argentine government's decisions to abandon the country's fixed dollar-to-peso exchange rate, to require private sector, dollar-denominated loans and contracts to be paid in pesos and to place restrictions on the convertibility of the Argentine peso. The devaluation, coupled with the government's mandated conversion of all dollar-based contracts to pesos, required us to take a one time charge of \$2.7 million. In addition, the devaluation had an adverse effect on the attractiveness of our River Business to our customers. When the currency devalued, trucking rates became relatively less expensive than they previously had been and in turn became temporarily more competitive with the rates that we charge for our barge transport for short distances. Further devaluations may cause our results to materially suffer or may cause the loss of customers.

Accidents or operational disruptions in connection with loading, discharging or transiting the rivers in our River Business could adversely affect our operations and revenues.

Our River Business is dependent, in part, upon being able to timely and effectively transit the rivers and load and discharge cargoes. Any accidents or operational disruptions to ports, terminals, bridges or the lock on the high Parana River could adversely affect our operations and our revenues in our River Business.

We may not be able to grow or to effectively manage our growth.

A principal focus of our strategy is to continue to grow by taking advantage of changing market conditions, which may include expanding our businesses, the primary geographic area and market where we operate or expanding into other regions, or by increasing the number of vessels in our fleet. Our future growth will depend upon a number of factors, some of which we can control and some of which we cannot. These factors include our ability to:

- o identify businesses engaged in managing, operating or owning vessels for acquisitions or joint ventures;
- o identify vessels for acquisitions;
- o integrate any acquired businesses or vessels successfully with our existing operations;
- o hire, train and retain qualified personnel to manage and operate our growing business and fleet;
- o identify new markets;
- o improve our operating and financial systems and controls; and
- o obtain required financing for our existing and new operations.

The failure to effectively identify, purchase, develop and integrate any vessels or businesses could harm our business, financial condition and results of

operations.

Maritime claimants could arrest our vessels, which could interrupt our operations.

Crew members, suppliers of goods and services to a vessel, shippers of cargo and other parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against a vessel for unsatisfied debts, claims or damages. In many jurisdictions, a maritime lienholder may enforce its lien by arresting a vessel through foreclosure proceedings. The arrest or attachment of one or more of our vessels could interrupt our operations and cash flow and may require us to pay very large sums of money to have the arrest lifted.

In addition, in some jurisdictions, such as South Africa, under the "sister ship" theory of liability, a claimant may arrest both the vessel which is subject to the claimant's maritime lien and any "associated" vessel, which is any vessel owned or controlled by the same owner. Thus, claimants could assert "sister ship" liability against one vessel in our fleet for claims relating to another of our ships.

We may have to pay tax on United States source income, which would reduce our earnings and cash flows.

Under the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), 50% of the gross shipping income of a vessel owning or chartering corporation, such as us and our subsidiaries, that is attributable to transportation that begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the U.S. will be characterized as U.S. source shipping income and such income will be subject to a 4% U.S. Federal income tax without allowance for deduction, unless that corporation is entitled to a special tax exemption under the Code which applies to the international shipping income derived by certain non-U.S. corporations. We intend to take the position for U.S. Federal income tax return reporting purposes that we and each of our subsidiaries qualify for this statutory tax exemption for the year ended December 31, 2004. However, due to the factual nature of the issues involved, we can give no assurances on our tax-exempt status or that of any of our subsidiaries. If we or our subsidiaries are not entitled to this statutory tax exemption for any taxable year, we or our subsidiaries would be subject for such years to a 4% U.S. Federal income tax on our U.S. source shipping income. The imposition of this taxation would have an adverse effect on our earnings and cash flows.

Compliance with safety, environmental and other governmental and other requirements may be very costly and may adversely affect our business.

The shipping industry is subject to numerous regulations in the form of international conventions, national, state and local laws and national and international regulations in force in the jurisdictions in which such vessels operate, as well as in the country or countries in which such vessels are registered. These regulations include the U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990, as amended ("OPA"), the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage of 1969, International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, the IMO International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea of 1974 ("SOLAS"), the International Convention on Load Lines of 1966, the U.S. Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002 and the International Ship and Port Facility Security Code, among others. In addition, vessel classification societies also impose significant safety and other requirements on our vessels.

These requirements can affect the resale value or useful lives of our vessels, require a reduction in cargo capacity or other operational or structural changes, lead to decreased availability of insurance coverage for environmental matters, or result in the denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. We believe that regulation of the shipping industry will continue to become more stringent and more expensive for us and our competitors. More stringent maritime safety rules are also more likely to be imposed worldwide as a result of the oil spill in November 2002 relating to the loss of the M.T. Prestige, a 26-year old single-hull tanker owned by a company not affiliated with us. Local, national and foreign laws, as well as international treaties and conventions, can subject us to material liabilities in the event that there is a release of petroleum or other hazardous substances from our vessels. We could also become subject to personal injury or property damage claims relating to exposure to hazardous materials associated with our current or historic operations. In addition, environmental laws require us to satisfy insurance and financial responsibility requirements to address oil spills and other pollution incidents, and subject us to rigorous inspections by governmental authorities. Violations of such requirements can result in substantial penalties, and in certain instances, seizure or detention of our vessels. Additional laws and regulations may also be adopted that could limit our ability to do business or increase the cost of our doing business and that could have a material adverse effect on our operations. Government regulation of vessels, particularly in the areas of safety and environmental impact may change in the future and require us to incur significant capital expenditure on our vessels to keep them in compliance, or to even scrap or sell certain vessels altogether. For example, we recently sold all of our single hull oceangoing tanker vessels in response to regulatory requirements in Europe and the United States. Future changes in laws

and regulations may require us to undertake similar measures, and any such actions may be costly.

OPA provides that owners, operators and bareboat charterers are strictly liable for the discharge of oil in U.S. waters, including the 200 nautical mile zone off the U.S. coasts. OPA provides for unlimited liability in some circumstances, such as a vessel operator's gross negligence or willful misconduct. OPA also permits states to set their own penalty limits. Most states bordering navigable waterways impose unlimited liability for discharges of oil in their waters. The IMO has adopted a similar liability scheme that imposes strict liability for oil spills, subject to limits that do not apply if the release is caused by the vessel owner's intentional or reckless conduct.

Under OPA, with certain limited exceptions, all newly built or converted tankers operating in U.S. waters must be built with double hulls conforming to particular specifications. Tankers that do not have double hulls are subject to structural and operational measures to reduce oil spills and will be precluded from operating in U.S. waters through 2015 according to size, age, hull configuration and place of discharge unless retrofitted with double hulls. In addition, OPA specifies annual inspections, vessel manning, equipment and other construction requirements that are in various stages of development by the U.S. Coast Guard ("USCG"), applicable to new and to existing vessels.

Under OPA and per USCG interpretations, our tanker and OBOs will be precluded from operation in US waters as follows:

Name	OPA phase-out date*	Current TVEL/COC issuance date**
Princess Katherine....N/A		March.26,.2003.
Princess Nadia.....January.2014.		August.26,.2001
Princess Susana.....November.2014		February.18,.2003
Princess Marina.....March.2014		August.29,.2002

\* As per the applicable Tank Vessel Examination Letter ("TVEL")/Certificate of Compliance ("COC")

\*\* The USCG inspects vessels annually and determines when such vessels will be phased out under OPA, the dates of which are recorded in the TVEL or the COC. On April 30, 2001, the USCG replaced the TVEL with a newly generated document, the COC. The COC is issued for each tanker by the USCG, if/when the vessel calls at a U.S. port and is valid for a period of two years, with mid period examination.

There is no phase out date for Princess Katherine since its configuration meets the U.S. double hull standards. Although Princess Nadia, Princess Marina and Princess Susana are double hull vessels, due to configuration requirements under the U.S. double hull standards, the phase out dates indicated above are applicable.

We obtain insurance through P&I Clubs and, as a result, we may be subject to increased insurance costs and capital calls for losses suffered by other members.

Our protection and indemnity insurance, referred to as P&I, is provided by the Standard and the UK Club, each a mutual P&I club which is a member of the International Group Association of P&I Clubs. As a mutual club, each relies on member premiums, investment reserves and income, and reinsurance to manage liability risks on behalf of its members. Increased investment losses, underwriting losses, or reinsurance costs could cause international marine insurance clubs to substantially raise the cost of premiums, resulting not only in higher premium cost but also higher levels of deductibles and self-insurance retentions. In addition, we may be, and have been, subject to capital calls for losses suffered by other members, including losses suffered in prior years. Any such capital calls could have a negative impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

We operate in a highly competitive industry, and we may not be able to compete successfully for charters with new entrants or established companies with greater resources.

We employ our vessels in highly competitive markets. In particular, the oceangoing market is international in scope and we compete with many different companies, including other ship owners and major oil companies, such as Transpetro, a subsidiary of Petrobras. Some of these competitors are significantly larger and have significantly greater resources than us. This may enable these competitors to offer their customers lower prices, higher quality service and greater name recognition than we do, and accordingly we may be unable to retain our current customers or to attract new customers. Further, some of these competitors, such as Transpetro, are affiliated with or owned by the governments of certain countries, and accordingly may receive government aid or other assistance, or legally imposed preferences, that are unavailable to us.

Many of our customers are also our competitors and if they decide to cease using

our services, it could adversely affect our business.

Many of our customers, including many of our most significant customers such as Petrobras and ADM, are also our competitors who operate vessels of their own. These customers may decide to cease using our services for any number of reasons, including in order to utilize their own vessels. If we lose any of these customers, it could adversely affect our business.

Our OBOs, while versatile, are less desired by certain charterers in the tanker market.

OBOs are versatile because they can transport both petroleum products and dry bulk cargoes. Unlike the more traditional type of tanker, an OBO has fewer tanks, but each tank is generally larger. Prior to the advent of computerized loading systems, extra caution had to be used when loading an OBO due to the amount of available free space and the possibility of cargo shifting and causing the vessel to become unstable. While this problem, like other problems originally linked to OBOs, have been solved with new technology, OBOs are still less desired by certain charterers who prefer to use the more traditional form of tanker to transport oil and other petroleum products. To the extent any charterers elect not to use our OBOs and instead use standard tankers, this could have a negative impact on our business and financial results.

Rising fuel prices may adversely affect our profits from our River Business.

Fuel is a significant, if not the largest, operating expense for many of our shipping operations, particularly in our River Business where most of our contracts are contracts of affreightment. Under a contract of affreightment, we are paid per ton of cargo shipped, and the cost of fuel is an expense that we incur that is not generally passed on to the customer. We try to predict future fuel costs, and when we think appropriate, hedge against future fuel costs, but we are not always successful.

The price and supply of fuel is unpredictable and fluctuates based on events outside our control, including geopolitical developments, supply and demand for oil and gas, actions by OPEC and other oil and gas producers, war and unrest in oil producing countries and regions, regional production patterns and environmental concerns. As a result, an increase in the price of fuel, such as has been experienced recently, may adversely affect our profitability.

We currently participate in several joint ventures and may participate in additional joint ventures in the future.

We currently participate in five different joint ventures, including the entity that engages in the Offshore Business, UP Offshore. In two of these joint ventures, we do not exercise voting control over the joint venture entity, and accordingly, we do not, in all cases, exercise control over operating and financial decisions of these joint ventures. We may have disagreements with our joint venture partners and these joint ventures may fail. Further, we may undertake additional business operations through joint ventures in the future.

Governments could requisition our vessels during a period of war or emergency.

A government could requisition for title or seize our vessels. Requisition for title occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and becomes her owner. Also, a government could requisition our vessels for hire. Requisition for hire occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and effectively becomes her charterer at dictated charter rates. Generally, requisitions occur during a period of war or emergency. Government requisition of one or more of our vessels may halt our operations and negatively impact our revenues.

Some of our customers pay our bills late and, to the extent these bills are not paid, we may have difficulty enforcing payments.

Some of our customers, particularly those in our River Business, do not promptly or, in some cases, at all pay their accounts. If they do not pay at all, we may have problems enforcing judgments against them because of the many different legal systems in which we operate. In addition, there is a risk that certain charterers with poor credit may purposely default under our charters with them when market prices decline in an effort to re-negotiate their charters. If we are not able to receive payment or enforce judgments we seek against past due accounts, or if charterers intentionally default under their charters with us, it would have a negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

#### ITEM 4 - INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

##### A. HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY

Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited, a Bahamas corporation, was formed on December 23, 1997. We are a holding company, with no independent operations of our own, other than ownership of the shares of our subsidiaries. We were formed to consolidate the ownership of Princely International Finance Corp., a Panamanian corporation, Ultrapetrol S.A., an Argentinean corporation, and their

respective subsidiaries ("Ultrapetrol").

## B. BUSINESS OVERVIEW

We are a diversified ocean and river transportation company involved in the carriage of dry and liquid bulk cargoes. In our Ocean Business, we are an owner and operator of oceangoing vessels that transport petroleum products and dry cargo around the world. Our Ocean Business fleet has a capacity of approximately 785,000 dwt., and our three versatile Suezmax/OBO vessels are capable of carrying either dry bulk or liquid cargoes. Our River Business is the largest owner and operator of river barges and push boats in the Hidrovia region of South America, a fertile agricultural region of navigable waters on the Parana, Paraguay and Uruguay Rivers and part of the River Plate, which flow through Brazil, Bolivia, Uruguay, Paraguay and Argentina. The "dwt" capacity of a cargo vessel is roughly the maximum weight of cargo it can carry, in metric tons.

In addition, we entered into a joint venture to form UP Offshore, a company which will provide transportation services to offshore petroleum exploration and production companies, with particular emphasis in the Brazilian market. UP Offshore has contracted for the construction of six modern, large, technologically advanced PSVs, whose deliveries are expected to commence in the second quarter of 2005.

We are focused on growing with an efficient and flexible fleet, which allows us to provide an array of transportation services to customers in several different industries. We believe that the flexibility of our fleet and the diversity of industries that we service reduce our dependency on any particular sector of the transportation industry.

Some of our significant customers in the last three years include Petrobras, Cargill, ENAP, ADM-SAO, Continental Grain, Glencore, ExxonMobil, Repsol-YPF, Petropar, I.O.L., Multigranos, Panocean, RTZ, Swissmarine, Pan Ocean, Kleimar, PDVSA and Siderar.

### Our Lines of Business

**Ocean Business:** In our Ocean Business, we own and operate five oceangoing vessels and two semi-integrated oceangoing tug barge units (one of which is currently used as a transfer station in our River Business) under the trade name Ultrapetrol. Our oceangoing ships transport dry and liquid bulk goods on major trade routes around the globe. Major products carried include liquid cargo such as petroleum and petroleum derivatives and dry cargo, iron ore, coal and other bulk cargoes. Our ocean fleet currently has an aggregate cargo carrying capacity of approximately 785,000 dwt. and an average age of approximately 18 years.

Our Aframax and Suezmax vessels are versatile due to their ability to service virtually all major routes used for crude oil transportation. In addition, our Suezmax tankers, which are Oil/Bulk/Ore carriers, or OBOs, have the added versatility of being able to carry either oil products or dry bulk cargoes to take advantage of changing market conditions. Those vessels together with our Cape Pampas are currently employed in the carriage of bulk dry cargoes trading on a worldwide basis mostly loading coal and iron ore from South America, Australia and South Africa to Europe, China and other Far East countries.

Our largest ocean customers include Cargill, Petrobras, Pan Ocean, Swissmarine and Kleimar.

Vessels operating on longer term time charters or COAs may be chartered for several months or years, whereas vessels operating on shorter term charters typically are chartered for a single voyage, which may last up to several weeks. Vessels operating on shorter term charters may generate increased profit margins during periods of improved freight rates, while vessels operating on longer term time charters generally provide more predictable cash flows. Accordingly, we actively monitor macroeconomic trends and governmental rules and regulations that may affect vessel rates in an attempt to optimize the deployment of our fleet.

**River Business:** We operate our River Business through our trade name UABL. We own and operate 455 barges with approximately 750,000 dwt capacity and 21 push boats. In addition, we use one ocean barge from our ocean fleet, the Alianza G2, as a transfer station. Of the barges, 411 are dry barges and 44 are tanker barges. The dry barges transport agricultural and forestry products, iron ore and other cargoes, while the tanker barges carry petroleum products, vegetable oils and other liquids.

We operate our push boats and barges on the navigable waters of the Parana, Paraguay, Uruguay and Plate Rivers (the greater Hidrovia region).

We have our own drydock and repair facility to carry out maintenance to the fleet and operate a floating transshipment facility to discharge the cargoes from barges onto oceangoing vessels in the lower section of the Parana River.

Prior to April 23, 2004, our subsidiary, UP River, owned a 50% interest in

UABL with our joint venture partner, ACBL. On April 23, 2004, we purchased ACBL's 50% equity interest in UABL, together with its assets in the Hidrovia region, including 50 barges and seven push boats and its share of the related receivables and liabilities of UABL, for a total of \$26.1 million. As a result of this transaction, together with our prior financing arrangements, we currently own 96.4% of UABL while IFC owns the remaining 3.6%.

Our customers in our River Business include Cargill, Bunge, Dreyfus, Petropar and ADM.

Offshore Business--Future Opportunity: We own a 27.78% interest in UP Offshore, and we have warrants to increase our ownership up to approximately 47.78%. The remaining ownership interests in UP Offshore are owned by LAIF, and Comintra Enterprises, Ltd., which own 66.67% and 5.55%, respectively. UP Offshore expects to take delivery of six PSVs, commencing in the second quarter of 2005.

On June 25, 2003, we signed an administration agreement with UP Offshore, under which we provide management services required by UP Offshore in its start-up phase, including providing the services of the Chief Executive Officer and providing ongoing management and commercial advisory services thereafter. Our professional fees under this agreement are 2% of UP Offshore's annual EBITDA. Our services in connection with the administration agreement end on December 31, 2013, unless earlier terminated.

#### Our Existing Fleet

##### Ocean Business

The following table summarizes certain information with respect to our existing vessels in our Ocean Business as of December 31, 2004:

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>

Vessel	Year Built	Vessel Type	DWT	Current Employment
Princess Katherine.....	1986	Suezmax/OBO	164,100	Brazil, Far East, Europe
Princesa Nadia.....	1987	Suezmax/OBO	152,328	Brazil, Far East, Europe
Princess Susana.....	1986	Suezmax/OBO	152,295	Brazil, Far East, Europe
Cape Pampas (1).....	1990	Capesize	151,380	Brazil, Far East, Europe
Princesa Marina.....	1986	Aframax	83,930	Chile, Argentina, Peru
Alianza G2 (2)/Alianza Rosario.....	1994 (3)	Semi-integrated tug/barge unit	37,532 (5)	River Plate/Parana River
Alianza G3/Alianza Campana.....	1993 (4)	Semi-integrated tug/barge unit	43,164 (5)	Brazil

</TABLE>

(1) Owned by Ultracape (Holdings) Ltd., of which we own 60%.

(2) Although the Alianza G2 is considered part of our ocean fleet, it is currently engaged as a transfer station in the lower Parana River as part of our River Business.

(3) The keel of the barge, Alianza G2, was laid in 1980. The barge was delivered in 1982. It was refurbished and converted to its current use in 1994. The separate but integrated tug, Alianza Rosario, was built in 1976.

(4) The barge, Alianza G3, was built in 1982 and was refurbished and converted to its current use in 1993. The separate but integrated tug, Alianza Campana, was built in 1976.

(5) As the tug carries no cargo, it is not considered in the calculations of aggregate dwt or age.

##### River Business

The following table summarizes certain information with respect to our existing vessels in our River Business:

Vessel	Units	Certain Pertinent Information
Push boats	21	54,346 total bhp
Tank barges...	44	94,378 total cubic meters
Dry barges	411	642,800 total dwt

##### Outsourced Vessel Technical Management and Crewing

For the day-to-day management and administration of our operations, we and our subsidiaries have entered into agreements whereby certain of our subsidiaries and affiliates provide specific services for our operations.

For administrative services, each of our ocean vessel owning/operating subsidiaries pays Oceanmarine, an affiliate of ours, a monthly fee of \$10,000 per vessel. Oceanmarine provides all general administration and accounting services, including financial reporting, preparation of tax returns, invoicing and accounts payable, office premises, a computer network, secretarial assistance, payroll and other general duties.

Through our respective owning/operating subsidiaries, we have contracted with Ravenscroft, an affiliate of ours, to provide the operational management of our vessels used in the Ocean Business and pay most of our vessel operating expenses. We pay Ravenscroft a monthly ship management fee of \$12,500 per oceangoing vessel for services including technical management, crewing, provisioning, superintendence and related accounting functions. Ravenscroft also provides communication services for our vessels and serves as a contact with clients and shipping agencies.

#### Customers

For 2004 service for Cargill and its subsidiaries accounted for 32 % of our total revenues and service for Pan Ocean Shipping accounted for 18% of total revenues.

#### Competition

##### Ocean Business

We face competition in the transportation of crude oil and petroleum products as well as other bulk commodities from other independent ship owners and from vessel operators who primarily charter-in vessels to meet their cargo carrying needs. The charter markets in which our vessels compete are highly competitive. Competition is primarily based on prevailing market charter rates, vessel location and vessel manager reputation. Our primary competitor in crude oil and petroleum products transportation within Argentina, and between Argentina and other South American countries, as well as in Chile, is Antares Naviera S.A. and its affiliated companies, including Ultragas, Lauderdale Tankers Corp., and Sonap S.A., an independent tanker owner and operator. The other major participant in the Argentina/Brazil trade is Transpetro. Transpetro is a subsidiary of Petrobras, our primary customer in Brazil. In other South American trades our main competitors are Heidmar Inc., Naviera Sur Petrolera S.A., Naviera El Cano (through their various subsidiaries) and Sonacol S.A. These companies and other smaller entities are regular competitors of ours in our primary tanker trading areas. In our dry bulk trades, we operate our vessels internationally where we compete against the main fleets of Capesize ships, with companies such as The Offer Group, Frontline, Bocimar and others.

##### River Business

We maintain a leading market share in our River Business. We own the largest independent fleet of pushboats and barges in the Hidrovia region. We believe our largest competitor has less than one-fourth of the number of barges and less than one-fifth of our fleet's total dwt capacity. We compete based on reliability, efficiency and price. Key competitors include Horamar, Cinco Bacia and Fluviomar. In addition, some of our customers, including ADM and RTZ, have some of their own dedicated barge capacity, which they can use to transport cargoes in lieu of hiring a third party. Our River Business also indirectly competes with other forms of land-based transportation such as truck and rail.

##### Offshore Business

In our Offshore Business, our main competitors will be the Brazilian offshore companies that own and operate modern PSVs. The largest of these companies is CBO, which currently owns three UT-755 type vessels and is building additional PSVs in Brazil. Also, some of the international offshore owners, such as Tidewater, Inc. and Maersk, are presently building Brazilian-flagged PSVs.

#### Industry Conditions

Certain statistical and graphical information contained in this document has been supplied by Doll Shipping Consultancy ("DSC"), an independent U. K.-based company providing market analysis and strategic planning services to the shipping industry. DSC bases its analysis on information drawn from published and private industry sources. For purposes of this Industry discussion, Latin America includes Central America, South America, and the Caribbean Basin islands. Consistent with revised International Energy Agency definitions, North America includes the United States, Canada, and Mexico.

We operate in the international tanker market, which is a global industry and is affected by many factors throughout the world. Important industry conditions for our operations are world oil production and demand, oil production and demand in the Western Hemisphere, the size of the international tanker fleet, the new production and scrapping of oceangoing tankers and freight rates. We also operate combination carriers which have been employed in the dry bulk shipping markets recently to take advantage of high earnings in the sector.



Important industry conditions for dry bulk shipping include world dry bulk commodity production and demand, the size of the international dry bulk and combination carrier fleet, the new production and scrapping of oceangoing dry bulk carriers and freight rates. Doll Shipping Consultancy, an independent company providing market analysis and strategic planning services to the shipping industry, furnished the following information regarding industry conditions in the international tanker and dry bulk markets:

#### World Oil Overview

World oil demand increased from about 79.8 million barrels per day, or MBD, in 2003 to 82.5 MBD in 2004, an increase of approximately 3.4%. Oil demand decreased in OECD Pacific countries; increased in North America, China and other non-OECD Asian countries, Europe, the Middle East, Former Soviet Union; and Africa. Specifically, Japanese demand decreased from about 5.6 MBD to about 5.4 MBD.

World oil supply increased from about 79.7 MBD in 2003 to 83.0 MBD in 2004. OPEC crude oil production increased by about 1.9 MBD in 2004 to 28.7 MBD (including Iraqi production, which increased by about 0.7 MBD to approximately 2.0 MBD.) Non-OPEC production increased by 1.1 MBD to about 50.1 MBD. Oil prices were on average higher at historically high levels in 2004, with benchmark West Texas Intermediate, or WTI, crude averaging \$41.50 per barrel in 2004 compared with \$31.08 per barrel in 2003. WTI prices increased in early 2005, reaching an average of about \$54.19 per barrel in March 2005 due to high demand and market reaction to actual or potential oil supply reductions.

#### Western Hemisphere crude oil trades

U.S. oil demand increased from about 20.0 MBD in 2003 to about 20.5 MBD in 2004, while U.S. crude oil production decreased from approximately 7.8 MBD to 7.7 MBD over the same period.

Arabian Gulf OPEC producers exported about 2.4 MBD of crude oil to the United States during 2004, about the same as in 2003. Exports from Saudi Arabia decreased by about 0.2 MBD while exports from Iraq increased by about 0.2 mbd. Short haul Mexican and Latin American crude oil exports to the United States increased to about 3.4 MBD during 2004, an increase of about 0.1 MBD. Venezuelan exports were up by 0.1 MBD to 1.3 MBD. Mexican exports were stable at about 1.6 MBD during 2004. U.S. imports from Colombia decreased by less than 0.1 MBD to about 0.1 MBD.

Latin American oil demand increased from about 4.7 MBD in 2003 to about 4.9 MBD in 2004. Brazilian oil demand increased by nearly 0.1 MBD in 2004 to about 2.1 MBD. Latin American oil production (excluding Venezuela) was stable at approximately 4.1 MBD. Venezuelan conventional crude oil production was about 2.2 MBD in 2003, an increase of about 0.2 MBD from 2002, which followed a 0.3 MBD decrease from 2001. Brazilian oil production was unchanged at 1.8 MBD, while Colombian and Argentine oil production were stable unchanged at about 0.5 and 0.8 MBD, respectively.

2004 crude oil production increased to keep world markets supplied at high levels of demand. Iraqi production increased from about 1.3 MBD in 2003 to 2.0 MBD in 2004. Other OPEC producers increase production by 0.1MMD to 0.3MBD as production capacity permitted.

However, crude oil production in 2004 was affected by continuing production losses in Venezuela. Venezuelan conventional crude production averaged about 2.2 MBD in 2004. Although an increase of 0.2 MBD versus 2003, production remains below 2001 conventional crude production of 2.7 MBD. 2004 Venezuelan non conventional oil production averaged about 0.4 mbd, about the same as 2003, and has increased to nearly 0.6 mbd as of January 2005.

2004 non-OPEC crude oil production increases were 1.1 mbd, led by a 0.9 mbd increase in Former Soviet Union production. For non-OPEC producers in 2005, production increases of an additional 0.9 MBD are projected by the International Energy Agency, or IEA, including increases about 0.5 MBD from the Former Soviet Union, 0.3 MBD from West Africa, 0.2 MBD from Brazil, and 0.1 MBD from the United States; and a decrease of about 0.2 MBD from Europe.

During early 2004, OPEC countries excluding Iraq (OPEC-10) had a crude oil production target of 24.5 MBD (in effect since November 1, 2003) with actual production about 1.3 MBD over target. Although WTI prices averaged \$34.31 per barrel during January, high by historical standards, OPEC decided in February to further reduce OPEC 10 crude oil production to 23.5 MBD effective April 1, 2004. As oil prices increased during 2004, OPEC members agreed to increase crude oil production targets to 25.5 MBD (effective July 1), 26.0 MBD (effective August 1), and 27.0 MBD effective (November 1). During 2004, OPEC-10 countries produced at an average 1.6 MBD above their quotas for commercial reasons and to ensure security of supply in a high demand, high oil price market.

The IEA projects an increase in year 2005 global oil demand of about 1.8 MBD to a total 84.3 MBD, consistent with continued economic growth in China, other Asian countries, and the United States. Increased demand is projected for

China (+0.5 MBD), non-OECD Asia (+0.2 MBD), the United States (+0.3 MBD), and Latin America (+0.1 MBD). As noted, forecast oil production is to increase by approximately 0.1 MBD in the United States and 0.2 MBD in Brazil, while remaining stable in elsewhere in non-OPEC Latin America.

The IEA projects growing oil demand in 2005, consistent with ongoing economic growth in the United States and most of Asia. High and volatile oil prices in year to date 2005 indicate that oil markets overall remain finely balanced. Eventual demand growth, normal seasonality, and replenishment of industry inventories are consistent with increased OPEC production later in the year. Uncertainty continues in Venezuela. Any combination of normal seasonality, increased demand, reduction in expected non-OPEC production increases, supply disruptions, and replacement of short haul Venezuelan crude with longer haul substitutes would be positive factors for tanker demand.

#### Tanker Fleet

Ultrapetrol operates tankers and combination carriers in the Suezmax (120,000 to 200,000 dwt) and Aframax (80,000 to 120,000 dwt) sectors, and has recently operated tankers in the Panamax (50,000 to 80,000 dwt) sectors. Combination carriers have a built-in design flexibility allowing them to operate in either dry cargo or oil trades.

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>

Industry Tanker Fleet as of March 1, 2005

	Cargo Carrying Capacity (in dwt)	Total No. Vessels	Total MdwT	% of Total Tanker Fleet (by dwt)	% of Fleet over 20 Yrs Old (by dwt)
<S> Handy	<C> 10,000 to 49,999	<C> 2,060	<C> 62.9	<C> 19%	<C> 30%
Panamax	50,000 to 79,999	304	20.0	6%	36%
Aframax	80,000 to 119,999	624	62.6	19%	11%
Suezmax	120,000 to 199,999	305	45.6	14%	7%
VLCC/ULCC	200,000+	460	134.0	41%	4%

Source: Doll Shipping Consultancy based on industry sources and estimates

</TABLE>

In 2004, 1.0 million dwt, or MdwT, of Suezmaxes were scrapped, while 4.1 MdwT were delivered. As of March 1, 2005, 0.3 MdwT have been scrapped, while 0.8 MdwT have been delivered. The current orderbook is 12.0 MdwT (76 vessels) with 3.3 MdwT due for delivery this year, 4.0 MdwT next year and 3.9 MdwT in 2007.

In the Aframax sector, 5.8 MdwT were delivered in 2004, while 2.5 MdwT were scrapped. Through March 1, 2005, 0.5 MdwT has been scrapped, and 1.7 MdwT have been delivered. The orderbook is 17.6 MdwT (161 vessels) with 5.0 MdwT due for delivery this year, 5.8 MdwT next year and 5.6 MdwT in 2007.

In 2004, 2.8 MdwT of Panamax vessels were delivered, while 1.2 MdwT were scrapped. Through March 1, 2005, 0.3 MdwT have been scrapped, while 1.0 MdwT have been delivered. The Panamax orderbook totaled 12.6 MdwT (189 vessels), with 3.5 MdwT set for delivery this year, 4.5 MdwT next year, and 3.0 MdwT in 2007.

The International Maritime Organization adopted accelerated phaseout regulations for single hull tankers in December 2003 which entered into force in April 2005. The regulations are a complex set of requirements that accelerate the phaseout of pre-MARPOL "Category 1" tankers without protectively located segregated ballast to 2005. Single hull tankers with protectively located segregated ballast are to be phased out in 2010. Flag States may make exceptions for certain single hull, double bottom, or double sided vessels meeting determined quality and/or structural requirements that allow the vessels to continue in service until age 25 or 2015, whichever is earlier. Single hull vessels are also to be banned from carriage of certain heavy oils, with some exceptions allowed for double bottom or double sided vessels meeting certain quality criteria. Certain crude oils have been exempted. Port states may recognize the Flag State exemptions or may choose to enforce the earlier phaseout dates. The effects of the regulations are complex but will tend to accelerate the phaseout of single hull vessels.

The European Union has regulations in effect since 2003 that require double hull vessels for certain heavy oils with no exceptions. These regulations apply to tankers of 5,000 dwt or more registered in EU countries or entering waters within jurisdiction of EU countries.

Actual scrapping behavior will depend upon many variables including the state of the market and future Flag State and Port State implementation.

#### Vessel Earnings

During 2004 and through March 2005, the concurrence of a number of positive factors resulted in high tanker earnings. Tanker demand increased while the industry fleet grew moderately. Growth in long haul trades to Asian and the United States (including ongoing substitution of long haul oil for short haul Venezuelan oil) were positive factors. The result was strong tanker earnings.

Winter seasonality resulted in high vessel earnings during the first quarter of 2004. Earnings remained volatile at somewhat lower but historically high levels during the second and third quarters of 2004. During the fourth quarter of 2004, earnings reached and exceeded the high earnings levels seen early in the year. Earnings have decreased from these peaks during the first quarter of 2005 but remain at historically high levels due to ongoing high oil demand and moderate fleet growth.

Volatile earnings below the peak earnings seen late in 2004 are expected for 2005. Oil demand growth for 2005 is forecast to continue but the projected increase is lower than last year. Similar to 2003 and 2004, it is expected that the current high vessel earnings will decrease later in 2005. Oil demand in the second quarter of 2005 is projected to decrease by 1.9 MBD versus the first quarter due to normal seasonality. Vessel deliveries scheduled for 2005 will increase the supply of vessels and tend to offset effects of increased tanker demand on vessel earnings.

However, ongoing positive factors remain in the market. Year on year growth in oil demand is expected to continue in 2005. Both oil and tanker markets are starting from a position of tight balance, as shown by recent price and earnings volatility. Oil markets are functioning near capacity, with oil trade at high levels. Transportation requirements can grow quickly, as seen this year. Although 2004 vessel earnings were high, moderate scrapping continued during the year. High scrap steel prices, single hull phaseout requirements, and increasing costs for compliance with regulatory and market requirements could result in continuation of some scrapping even at high earnings levels. Scrapping could increase if vessel earnings were to decrease, consistent with concerns regarding regulatory and market requirements.

Continuation and strength of economic growth in the United States and Asia are key elements for oil demand. If economic growth continues, oil demand growth will need increased OPEC and non-OPEC production, resulting in increased tanker demand. If Venezuelan production is low relative to expectations, substitution of long haul oil for short haul Venezuelan supplies would be a positive factor for tanker demand. High vessel deliveries clearly remain a cautionary factor and high earnings levels of late 2004 have not continued. However, ongoing economic growth and oil demand growth could result in equilibrium earnings at attractive levels versus historical averages.

#### World Dry Bulk Overview

Combination carriers have a built-in design flexibility allowing them to operate in either dry cargo or oil trades. When trading dry, Ultrapetrol operates combination carriers in the Capesize sector (cargo carrying capacity of 80,000 tonnes or more), which primarily carry iron ore and coal.

2004 seaborne iron ore trade was estimated at approximately 587 million tonnes (Mt), an increase of about 68 Mt from 2003. High demand for steel in China and elsewhere has led to high iron ore trade. 2003 Chinese iron ore imports were about 208 Mt, an increase of about 60 Mt over 2003. Japanese iron ore imports reached about 135 Mt in 2004, an increase of about 3 Mt. The top exporters are Australia (207 Mt in 2004, 19 Mt higher than 2003) and Brazil (205 Mt in 2004, 20 Mt higher than 2003.)

World seaborne iron ore trade is forecast to grow to 630 Mt in 2005, an increase of 43 Mt from 2004. Chinese iron ore imports in 2005 are projected to grow to 249 Mt, an increase of 41 Mt, based on high forecast steel production and demand.

Coal trade is made up thermal coal (steam coal), burned for its heat value primarily in power generation, and metallurgical coal (coking coal, met coal), used in steelmaking. Estimated total 2004 seaborne steam coal trade was about 466 Mt, an increase of some 26 Mt from 2003. Asian steam coal imports reached approximately 275 Mt, an increase of about 24 Mt from 2003. European Union imports were about 137 Mt, an increase of about 1 Mt, while United States imports totalled about 19 Mt, an increase of less than 1 Mt. Leading 2004 exporters are Australia (about 107 Mt, up 4Mt from 2003), China (about 81 Mt, same as 2003), and Indonesia (about 102 Mt, up 13 Mt from 2003). World steam coal trade is forecast to grow to 488 Mt in 2005, an increase of 22 Mt from 2004.

World coking coal trade was about 184 Mt in 2004, an increase of about 10 Mt from 2003. 2005 coking coal trade is forecast to grow by about 9 Mt.

Capesize dry bulk vessels and combination carriers.

Capesize dry bulk vessels and combination carriers have a cargo carrying capacity of 80,000 dwt or greater. As of March 1, 2005, there were 680 Capesize dry bulk vessels comprising about 108.5 million dwt. Capesizes primarily transport iron ore and coal on trade routes where lack of port or Canal constraints allow realization of economies of scale.

In 2004, 0.1 MdwT of Capesizes were scrapped, while 7.9 MdwT were delivered. As of March 1, 2005, none have been scrapped, while 2.3 MdwT have been delivered. The current orderbook is 33.3 MdwT (219 vessels) with 7.8 MdwT due for delivery this year, 9.8 MdwT next year and 8.3 MdwT in 2007.

Total Capesize combination carrier dwt is 7.9 million, with an estimated 4.5 MdwT ( 57%) currently employed in dry bulk trades. None were delivered in 2004 or 2005 and none are currently on order. 0.5 MdwT were scrapped in 2004. None have been scrapped to March 1, 2005.

Capesize dry bulk vessel earnings.

Throughout 2004, there were large increases dry bulk trade and tonnage demand that offset fleet growth. Capesize vessel earnings were at very high levels versus historical averages from January 2004 to March 2004. Earnings decreased from these peak levels from April to October, but remained at high levels historically. From November 2004 through March 2005, earnings returned to the very high levels seen in the first quarter of 2004.

Strong earnings were led by high Chinese steel demand and strong coal markets.

Capesize earnings are at very high levels. Any decrease in demand due to seasonality or a slowdown in Chinese iron ore imports would be expected to result in lower earnings. Vessel deliveries scheduled for 2005 will increase the supply of vessels and tend to offset effects of high tonnage demand on vessel earnings.

However, ongoing positive factors remain in the market. China, Newly Industrialising Asian countries, and the United States economies are continuing to show strong economic growth.

Dry bulk vessel and combination carrier markets are starting from a position of tight balance, as shown by recent price and earnings volatility. Continued strong economic growth in China and other Asian countries could keep earnings at or near current levels. Additionally, earnings could decrease significantly and still remain at high levels historically.

Parana River Industry Overview

Key demand factors in the Parana-Paraguay River Hidrovia system include agricultural production and exports, particularly soybeans, from Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay and Bolivia ("the Region").

Production of soybeans in Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, and Bolivia is estimated at about 91.6 million tons, or mt, in 2003 and about 99.5 mt in 2004, an increase of about 8.6%.

The growth in soybean production has not occurred at the expense of other key cereal grains. Production of corn (maize) in the Region grew is estimated at 58.5 mt in 2003 and 59.9 mt in 2004. Production of wheat in the Region is estimated at 20.7 mt in 2003 and 22.7 mt in 2004.

The installation of crushing plants in Bolivia and Paraguay has generated large volumes of vegetable oils and soybean meal that are also shipped via the river for export. Soybean meal exports from Bolivia and Paraguay are estimated at about 1.9 mt in 2003 and 2.0 mt in 2004. Soybean oil exports from Bolivia and Paraguay are estimated at about 0.4 mbd in 2003 and 2004.

Offshore Industry Overview

Within the OSV sector, main vessel types include supply vessels, platform supply vessels (PSVs), anchor handling tugs, crew boats, and other vessel types.

Supply vessels generally support oil exploration, production, construction and maintenance activities on the continental shelf. The industry fleet has approximately 718 supply vessels with about 12 vessels on order. The average age is 20 years, with approximately two thirds of the industry fleet being age 20 years or older.

Platform supply vessels (PSVs) are large and sophisticated supply vessels constructed to allow for economic operation in environments with some combination of deepwater operations, long distance support, economies of scale, and demanding operating conditions. PSVs serve drilling and production facilities and support offshore construction and maintenance work for clusters

of offshore locations and/or relatively distant deepwater locations. They have larger deck space and larger and more varied cargo handling capabilities relative to other offshore support vessels to provide more economic service to distant installations or several locations. Some vessels may have dynamic positioning which allows close station keeping while underway. PSVs can be designed with certain characteristics required for specific offshore trades such as the North Sea or deepwater Brazilian service.

The industry fleet has about 321 PSVs with about 84 on order. The average age is approximately 10 years.

Of a total of about 61 Brazilian flag offshore vessels, about 36 are categorized as Platform Supply Vessel and Supply Vessels. The current Brazilian orderbook for offshore vessels is about 23 vessels, including about 15 Supply and Platform Supply Vessels. 18 of the existing Platform Supply Vessels and Supply Vessels are age 20 or older. DSC has advised us that (i) some industry data included in this discussion is based on estimates or subjective judgments in circumstances where data for actual market transactions either does not exist or is not publicly available, (ii) the published information of other maritime data collection experts may differ from this data, and (iii) while we have taken reasonable care in the compilation of the industry statistical data, graphs and tables and believe them to be correct, data collection is subject to limited audit and validation procedures.

## Environmental and Government Regulation

### General

Government regulation significantly affects the ownership and operation of our vessels. They are subject to international conventions, national, state and local laws and regulations in force in the countries in which our vessels may operate or are registered.

We are required by various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses and certificates with respect to our operations. Although we believe that we have been and will be able to obtain all permits, licenses and certificates material to the conduct of our operations, we cannot assure you that we will be successful in our attempts to do so in the future. Failure to maintain necessary permits, licenses or certificates could require us to incur substantial costs or temporarily suspend operations of one or more of our vessels.

We believe that the heightened environmental and quality concerns of insurance underwriters, regulators and charterers will impose greater inspection and safety requirements on all vessels in the shipping industry. Our vessels are subject to both scheduled and unscheduled inspections by a variety of governmental and private interests, each of whom may have a different perspective or standards from the others. These interests include the local port state authority (such as the United States Coast Guard or local equivalent), vessel classification society, underwriters, flag state administration (country or registry) and charterers, particularly major oil companies which conduct vetting inspections, and load and discharge terminal operators.

We believe that the operation of our vessels will be in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations; however, because such laws and regulations are frequently changed and may impose increasingly stricter requirements, we cannot predict the ultimate cost of complying with these requirements, or the impact of these requirements on the resale value or useful lives of our vessels.

### International Regulations

In 1992, the International Maritime Organization, or IMO (the United Nations agency for maritime safety and the prevention of marine pollution by ships) adopted regulations that set forth pollution prevention requirements applicable to tankers. These regulations, which have been adopted by over 150 nations, including many of the jurisdictions in which our tankers operate, provide, in part, that:

- o tankers between 25 and 30 years old must be of double hull construction or of a mid-deck design with double sided construction, unless (1) they have wing tanks or double-bottom spaces not used for the carriage of oil that cover at least 30% of the length of the cargo tank section of the hull or bottom; or (2) they are capable of hydrostatically balanced loading (loading less cargo into a tanker so that in the event of a breach of the hull, water flows into the tanker, displacing oil upwards instead of into the sea);
- o tankers 30 years old or older must be of double hull construction or mid-deck design with double sided construction; and
- o all tankers are subject to enhanced inspections.

Also, under IMO regulations, a tanker must be of double hull construction

or a mid-deck design with double sided construction or be of another approved design ensuring the same level of protection against oil pollution if the tanker:

- o is the subject of a contract for a major conversion or original construction on or after July 6, 1993;
- o commences a major conversion or has its keel laid on or after January 6, 1994; or
- o completes a major conversion or is a newbuilding delivered on or after July 6, 1996.

Effective September 2002, the IMO accelerated its existing timetable for the phase-out of single hull oil tankers. These regulations require the phase-out of most single hull oil tankers by 2015 or earlier, depending on the age of the tanker and whether it has segregated ballast tanks. After 2007, the maximum permissible age for single hull tankers will be 26 years. Under current regulations, retrofitting will enable a vessel to operate until the earlier of 25 years of age and the anniversary date of its delivery in 2017. However, as a result of the oil spill in November 2002 relating to the loss of the M.T. Prestige, which was owned by a company not affiliated with us, in December 2003, the Marine Environmental Protection Committee of the IMO adopted a proposed amendment to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships to accelerate the phase out of single hull tankers from 2015 to 2010 unless the relevant flag states extend the date to 2015. This proposed amendment will come into effect in April 2005 unless objected to by a sufficient number of member states. Moreover, the IMO may still adopt regulations in the future that could adversely affect the remaining useful lives of single hull tankers.

The IMO has also negotiated international conventions that impose liability for oil pollution in international waters and a signatory's territorial waters. In September 1997, the IMO adopted Annex VI to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships to address air pollution from ships. Annex VI was ratified in May 2004 and will become effective 12 months after ratification. Annex VI, when it becomes effective, will set limits on sulfur oxide and nitrogen oxide emissions from ship exhausts and prohibit deliberate emissions of ozone depleting substances, such as chlorofluorocarbons. Annex VI also includes a global cap on the sulfur content of fuel oil and allows for special areas to be established with more stringent controls on sulfur emissions. Compliance with these regulations could require the installation of expensive emission control systems and could have an adverse financial impact on the operation of our vessels.

The requirements contained in the ISM Code, promulgated by the IMO, also affect our operations. The ISM Code requires the party with operational control of a vessel to develop an extensive safety management system that includes, among other things, the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for operating its vessels safely and describing procedures for responding to emergencies. We intend to rely upon the safety management system developed by Ravenscroft. The ISM Code requires that vessel operators obtain a safety management certificate for each vessel they operate. This certificate evidences compliance by a vessel's management with code requirements for a safety management system. No vessel can obtain a certificate unless its manager has been awarded a document of compliance, issued by each flag state, under the ISM Code. Ravenscroft, which manages our vessels, is certified as an approved ship manager under the ISM Code. As of December 31, 2004 all of our oceangoing vessels are ISM certified. This certification does not apply to the equipment used in the river business.

Noncompliance with the ISM Code and other IMO regulations may subject the shipowner or bareboat charterer to increased liability, may lead to decreases in available insurance coverage for affected vessels and may result in the denial of access to, or detention in, some ports. For example, the U.S. Coast Guard and European Union authorities have indicated that vessels not in compliance with the ISM Code will be prohibited from trading in U.S. and European Union ports.

Although the United States is not a party to these conventions, many countries have ratified and follow the liability plan adopted by the IMO and set out in the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage of 1969. Under this convention and depending on whether the country in which the damage results is a party to the 1992 Protocol to the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage, a vessel's registered owner is strictly liable for pollution damage caused in the territorial waters of a contracting state by discharge of persistent oil, subject to certain complete defenses. Under an amendment to the Protocol that became effective on November 1, 2003, for vessels of 5,000 to 140,000 gross tons (a unit of measurement for the total enclosed spaces within a vessel), liability will be limited to approximately \$6.6 million plus \$926 for each additional gross ton over 5,000. For vessels of over 140,000 gross tons, liability will be limited to approximately \$131 million. As the convention calculates liability in terms of a basket of currencies, these figures are based on currency exchange rates on August 2, 2004. The right to limit liability is forfeited under the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage where the

spill is caused by the owner's actual fault and under the 1992 Protocol where the spill is caused by the owner's intentional or reckless conduct. Vessels trading to states that are parties to these conventions must provide evidence of insurance covering the liability of the owner. In jurisdictions where the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage has not been adopted, various legislative schemes or common law govern, and liability is imposed either on the basis of fault or in a manner similar to that convention. We believe that our P&I insurance will cover the liability under the plan adopted by the IMO.

U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990 and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

The United States regulates the tanker industry with an extensive regulatory and liability regime for environmental protection and cleanup of oil spills, consisting primarily of the U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA, and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, or CERCLA. OPA affects all owners and operators whose vessels trade with the United States or its territories or possessions, or whose vessels operate in the waters of the United States, which include the U.S. territorial sea and the 200 nautical mile exclusive economic zone around the United States. CERCLA applies to the discharge of hazardous substances (other than oil) whether on land or at sea. Both OPA and CERCLA impact our operations.

Under OPA, vessel owners, operators and bareboat charterers are "responsible parties" who are jointly, severally and strictly liable (unless the spill results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war) for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from oil spills from their vessels. These other damages are defined broadly to include:

- o natural resource damages and related assessment costs
- o real and personal property damages;
- o net loss of taxes, royalties, rents, profits or earnings capacity;
- o net cost of public services necessitated by a spill response, such as protection from fire, safety or health hazards; and
- o loss of subsistence use of natural resources.

OPA limits the liability of responsible parties to the greater of \$1,200 per gross ton or \$10 million per tanker that is over 3,000 gross tons (subject to possible adjustment for inflation). The act specifically permits individual states to impose their own liability regimes with regard to oil pollution incidents occurring within their boundaries, and some states have enacted legislation providing for unlimited liability for discharge of pollutants within their waters. In some cases, states that have enacted this type of legislation have not yet issued implementing regulations defining tanker owners' responsibilities under these laws. CERCLA, which applies to owners and operators of vessels, contains a similar liability regime and provides for cleanup, removal and natural resource damages. Liability under CERCLA is limited to the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$5 million.

These limits of liability do not apply, however, where the incident is caused by violation of applicable U.S. federal safety, construction or operating regulations, or by the responsible party's gross negligence or willful misconduct. These limits do not apply if the responsible party fails or refuses to report the incident or to cooperate and assist in connection with the substance removal activities. OPA and CERCLA each preserve the right to recover damages under existing law, including maritime tort law. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with OPA, CERCLA and all applicable state regulations in the ports where our tankers call.

OPA also requires owners and operators of vessels to establish and maintain with the U.S. Coast Guard evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to meet the limit of their potential strict liability under the act. The U.S. Coast Guard has enacted regulations requiring evidence of financial responsibility in the amount of \$1,500 per gross ton for tankers, coupling the OPA limitation on liability of \$1,200 per gross ton with the CERCLA liability limit of \$300 per gross ton. Under the regulations, evidence of financial responsibility may be demonstrated by insurance, surety bond, self-insurance or guaranty. Under OPA regulations, an owner or operator of more than one tanker is required to demonstrate evidence of financial responsibility for the entire fleet in an amount equal only to the financial responsibility requirement of the tanker having the greatest maximum strict liability under OPA and CERCLA. We have demonstrated our financial responsibility by purchasing evidence of insurance from special purpose insurers approved by the U.S. Coast Guard. We believe that our vessels that call within United States waters comply with these U.S. Coast Guard requirements.

We insure each of our tankers with pollution liability insurance in the maximum commercially available amount of \$1.0 billion. A catastrophic spill

could exceed the insurance coverage available, in which event there could be a material adverse effect on our business under OPA. With certain limited exceptions, all newly built or converted vessels operating in U.S. waters must be built with double-hulls, and existing vessels that do not comply with the double-hull requirement will be prohibited from trading in U.S. waters over a 20-year period (1995-2015) based on size, age and place of discharge, unless retrofitted with double hulls. Notwithstanding the prohibition to trade schedule, the act currently permits existing single-hull and double-sided tankers to operate until the year 2015 if their operations within U.S. waters are limited to discharging at the Louisiana Offshore Oil Port or off-loading by lightering within authorized lightering zones more than 60 miles off-shore. Lightering is the process by which vessels at sea off-load their cargo to smaller vessels for ultimate delivery to the discharge port.

Under OPA and per USCG interpretations, our tanker and OBOs will be precluded from operation in US waters as follows:

Name	OPA phase-out date*	Current TVEL/COC issuance date**
Princess Katherine.....	N/A	March 26, 2003
Princess Nadia.....	January.2014	August 26, 2001
Princess Susana.....	November.2014	February 18, 2003
Princess Marina.....	March.2014	August 29, 2002

\* As per TVEL/COC

\*\* The USCG inspects vessels annually and determines when such vessels will be phased out under OPA, the dates of which are recorded in the TVEL or the COC. On April 30, 2001, the USCG replaced the TVEL with a newly generated document, the COC. The COC is issued for each tanker by the USCG, if/when the vessel calls at a U.S. port and is valid for a period of two years, with mid period examination.

There is no phase out date for Princess Katherine since its configuration meets the U.S. double hull standards. Although Princess Nadia, Princess Marina and Princess Susana are double hull vessels, due to configuration requirements under the U.S. double hull standards, the phase out dates indicated above are applicable.

OPA also amended the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to require owners or operators of tankers operating in the waters of the United States to file vessel response plans with the USCG, and their tankers are required to operate in compliance with their USCG approved plans. These response plans must, among other things:

- o address a "worst case" scenario and identify and ensure, through contract or other approved means, the availability of necessary private response resources to respond to a "worst case discharge";
- o describe crew training and drills; and
- o identify a qualified individual with full authority to implement removal actions.

OPA does not prevent individual states from imposing their own liability regimes with respect to oil pollution incidents occurring within their boundaries. In fact, most U.S. states that border a navigable waterway have enacted environmental pollution laws that impose strict liability on a person for removal costs and damages resulting from a discharge of oil or a release of a hazardous substance. These laws may be more stringent than U.S. federal law.

#### European Union Tanker Restrictions

In July 2003, in response to the M.T. Prestige oil spill in November 2002, the European Union adopted legislation that prohibits all single hull tankers from entering into its ports or offshore terminals by 2010. The European Union has also banned all single hull tankers carrying heavy grades of oil from entering or leaving its ports or offshore terminals or anchoring in areas under its jurisdiction. Commencing in 2005, certain single hull tankers above 15 years of age will also be restricted from entering or leaving European Union ports or offshore terminals and anchoring in areas under European Union jurisdiction. The European Union is also considering legislation that would: (1) ban manifestly sub-standard vessels (defined as those over 15 years old that have been detained by port authorities at least twice in a six month period) from European waters and create an obligation of port states to inspect vessels posing a high risk to maritime safety or the marine environment; and (2) provide the European Union with greater authority and control over classification societies, including the ability to seek to suspend or revoke the authority of negligent societies. The sinking of the M.T. Prestige and resulting oil spill in November 2002 has lead to the adoption of other environmental regulations by certain European Union nations, which could adversely affect the remaining useful lives of all of our tankers and our ability to generate income from them. For example, Italy announced a ban of single hull crude oil tankers over 5,000 dwt from most



Italian ports, effective April 2001. Spain has announced a similar prohibition. It is impossible to predict what legislation or additional regulations, if any, may be promulgated by the European Union or any other country or authority.

#### Vessel Security Regulations

Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, there have been a variety of initiatives intended to enhance vessel security. On November 25, 2002, the Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002, or MTSA, came into effect. To implement certain portions of the MTSA, in July 2003, the U.S. Coast Guard issued regulations requiring the implementation of certain security requirements aboard vessels operating in waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. Similarly, in December 2002, amendments to the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, or SOLAS, created a new chapter of the convention dealing specifically with maritime security. The new chapter became effective in July 2004 and imposes various detailed security obligations on vessels and port authorities, most of which are contained in the newly created International Ship and Port Facilities Security Code, or ISPS Code. The ISPS Code is designed to protect ports and international shipping against terrorism. After July 1, 2004, to trade internationally, a vessel must attain an International Ship Security Certificate, or ISSC, from a recognized security organization approved by the vessel's flag state. Among the various requirements are:

- o on-board installation of automatic identification systems, or AIS, to provide a means for the automatic transmission of safety-related information from among similarly equipped ships and shore stations, including information on a ship's identity, position, course, speed and navigational status;
- o on-board installation of ship security alert systems, which do not sound on the vessel but only alerts the authorities on shore;
- o the development of vessel security plans;
- o ship identification number to be permanently marked on a vessel's hull;
- o a continuous synopsis record, or CSR, kept onboard showing a vessel's history including, name of the ship and of the state whose flag the ship is entitled to fly, the date on which the ship was registered with that state, the ship's identification number, the port at which the ship is registered and the name of the registered owner(s) and their registered address; and
- o compliance with flag state security certification requirements.

The U.S. Coast Guard regulations, intended to align with international maritime security standards, exempt non-U.S. tankers from MTSA vessel security measures provided such vessels have on board, by July 1, 2004, a valid ISSC that attests to the vessel's compliance with SOLAS security requirements and the ISPS Code. All of our vessels used in the Ocean Business maintain a valid ISSC and are in compliance with applicable vessel security regulations.

#### Risk of Loss and Insurance

##### General

The operation of any cargo vessel includes risks such as mechanical failure, collision, property loss, cargo loss or damage and business interruption due to political circumstances in foreign countries, hostilities and labor strikes. In addition, there is always an inherent possibility of marine disaster, including oil spills and other environmental mishaps, and the liabilities arising from owning and operating vessels in international trade.

We believe that we maintain insurance coverage against various casualty and liability risks associated with our business that we consider to be adequate based on industry standards and the value of our fleet, including hull and machinery and war risk insurance, loss of hire insurance at certain times for certain vessels and protection and indemnity insurance against liabilities to employees and third parties for injury, damage or pollution and other customary insurance. While we believe that our present insurance coverage is adequate, we cannot guarantee that all risks will be insured, that any specific claim will be paid, or that we will always be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates or at all.

##### Hull and Machinery and War Risk Insurance

We maintain marine hull and machinery and war risk insurance, which include the risk of actual or constructive total loss, for our wholly-owned vessels. At times, we also obtain for part of our fleet increased value coverage and additional freight insurance during periods of improved market rates, where applicable. This increased value coverage and additional freight coverage entitles us, in the event of total loss of a vessel, to some recovery for

amounts not otherwise recoverable under the hull and machinery policy. When we obtain these additional insurances, our vessels will each be covered for at least their fair market value, subject to applicable deductibles (and some may include limitations on partial loss). We cannot assure you, however, that we will obtain these additional coverages on the same or commercially reasonable terms, or at all, in the future.

#### Loss of Hire

We maintain loss of hire insurance at certain times for certain vessels. Loss of hire insurance covers lost earnings resulting from unforeseen incidents or breakdowns that are covered by the vessel's hull and machinery insurance and result in loss of time to the vessel. Although loss of hire insurance will cover up to ninety days of lost earnings, we must bear the applicable deductibles which generally range between the first 14 to 30 days of lost earnings. We intend to renew these insurance policies or replace them with other similar coverage if rates comparable to those on our present policies remain available. There can be no assurance that we will be able to renew these policies at comparable rates or at all. Future rates will depend upon, among other things, our claims history and prevailing market rates.

#### Protection and Indemnity Insurance

Protection and indemnity insurance covers our legal liability for our shipping activities. This includes the legal liability and other related expenses of injury or death of crew, passengers and other third parties, loss or damage to cargo, fines and other penalties imposed by customs or other authorities, claims arising from collisions with other vessels, damage to other third-party property, pollution arising from oil or other substances and salvage, towing and other related costs, wreck removal and other risks. Coverage is limited for vessels in our Ocean Business to approximately \$4.25 billion with the exception of oil pollution liability, which is limited to \$1.0 billion per vessel per incident. Vessels in our River Business have lower amounts of coverage.

This protection and indemnity insurance coverage is provided by protection and indemnity associations, or P&I Clubs, which are non-profit mutual assurance associations made up of members who must be either ship owners or ship managers. The members are both the insured parties and the providers of capital. The P&I Clubs in which our vessels are entered are currently members of the International Group of P&I Associations, or the International Group and are reinsured themselves and through the International Group in Lloyds of London and other first class reinsurance markets. We may be subject to calls based on each Club's yearly results. Similarly, the same P&I Clubs provide freight demurrage and defense insurance which, subject to applicable deductibles, covers all legal expenses in case of disputes, arbitrations and other proceedings related to our vessels.

#### Legal Proceedings

##### 1) Bahia Blanca Customs Dispute

Our subsidiary, Ultrapetrol S.A., is involved in a customs dispute with the Customs Authority of Bahia Blanca in Argentina over the alleged unauthorized operation of the Princess Pia in Argentina during 2001. As a result, the Customs Authority of Bahia Blanca issued a resolution claiming the sum of Argentine pesos 4,689,695 (approximately U.S. \$1,610,000) as import taxes and the sum of Argentine pesos 4,689,695 (approximately U.S. \$1,610,000) as fines. In response to said resolution, on March 16, 2004, Ultrapetrol S.A. submitted an appeal with the Argentine Tax Court arguing that it did not breach any applicable customs laws since the Princess Pia operated within Argentine territory only during the periods in which it was expressly authorized by the competent authorities. Said appeal is pending resolution by the Argentine Tax Court. Based upon the facts and circumstances of the case, the existing regulations and our insurance coverage, we do not believe that the outcome of this matter should have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

##### 2) Brazilian Customs Dispute

Our subsidiary, Ultrapetrol S.A., is involved in a customs dispute with the Brazilian Customs tax authorities over the alleged infringement of customs regulations by the Alianza G3 and Alianza Campana (collectively, the "Vessel") in Brazil during 2004. As a result, the Brazilian Customs tax authorities commenced an administrative proceeding and applied the penalty of apprehension of the Vessel which required the Vessel to remain in port or within a maximum of five nautical miles from the Brazilian maritime coast. The maximum customs penalty that could be imposed would be confiscation of the Vessel, which is estimated by the Brazilian Customs tax authorities to be valued at U.S. \$4,560,000. On February 22, 2005, we were notified of the decision that grounds on which the tax assessment was based were ratified. In response to this decision, on February 28, 2005, we presented a specific request for clarification of the decision. We simultaneously presented a petition to the Secretary of the Brazilian Internal Revenue Service requesting the replacement of the confiscation penalty applied to the Vessel by a penalty corresponding to

1% (one percent) of the value of the Vessel. Both of our requests made on February 28, 2005 are still pending judgment.

On the same day that Ultrapetrol S.A. presented its defense to the above mentioned administrative proceeding, a writ of injunction was filed on behalf of Ultrapetrol S.A. seeking a judicial authorization allowing the return of the Vessel to Boias de Xareu, which is located almost 20 nautical miles from the Brazilian maritime coast, so the Vessel could resume its prior services. The preliminary injunction was granted by the court in favor of Ultrapetrol S.A. on September 17, 2004, conditioned on the weekly presentation of shipping letters describing the location of the Vessel and the Vessel is now back in service at Boias de Xareu. The tax authorities filed an interlocutory appeal against the preliminary injunction that was granted in our favor. Currently, our lawsuit and the interlocutory appeal by the tax authorities are pending judgment.

We note that in case we are not successful on the merits, under our insurance coverage, we could request from The Standard Club, the Vessel's P&I insurer, an indemnity corresponding to the value of the Vessel. Based upon the facts and circumstances of the case, including the fact that the Vessel was operating under a specific written authorization officially granted by the Brazilian government, the existing regulations and our insurance coverage, we do not believe that the outcome of this matter should have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations. Various other legal proceedings involving us may arise from time to time in the ordinary course of business. However, we are not presently involved in any legal proceedings that, if adversely determined, would have a material adverse effect on us

#### Dividend Policy

It is the policy of the Company, unless the members decide otherwise, at the annual general meeting to distribute a dividend of at least thirty percent (30%) of the Company's net after-tax profit. However, such distributions shall be subject to the restrictions, terms and conditions which may be imposed on the Company by lenders, bondholders or other financial institutions, and/or those limitations imposed by the contracts, agreements or other financial instruments, including any trust indenture into which the Company may have entered. Also before making distributions, the Company shall also make adequate reserves as the Board of Directors may deem necessary for the company's commitments (even if after giving effect to such reserves the distribution would be lower than thirty per cent (30%)). The directors may, before recommending any dividend, set aside out of the profits of the Company such sum as they think proper as a reserve fund to meet contingencies, or for equalizing dividends, or for special dividends or bonuses, or for repairing, improving, maintaining any of the property of the Company, and for such other purposes as the directors shall in their absolute discretion think conducive to the interests of the Company.

#### C. ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited is a company organized and registered as a Bahamas Corporation since December 1997.

Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited has an ownership in the following companies:

Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited	(Bahamas)
- 100% of Kattegat Shipping Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Majestic Maritime Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 100% of Avemar Holdings (Bahamas) Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 100% of Massena Port S.A.	(Uruguay)
- 100% of Dampierre Holdings Spain S.L.	(Spain)
- 99% of Oceanpar S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 7% of Ultrapetrol S.A.	(Argentina)
- 50% of Parfina S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 93% of Ultrapetrol S.A.	(Argentina)
- 100% of Internationale Maritime S.A.	(Bahamas)
- 100% of Parkwood Commercial Corp.	(Panama)
- 100% of Princely International Finance Corp.	(Panama)
- 100% of Baldwin Maritime Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Corporacion de Navegacion Mundial S.A.	(Chile)
- 49% of Maritima SIPSA S.A.	(Chile)
- 50% of Parfina S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 100% of Danube Maritime Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of General Ventures Inc.	(Liberia)
- 100% of Imperial Maritime Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 100% of Imperial Maritime Ltd. (Bahamas) Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Kingly Shipping Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 100% of Monarch Shipping Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 100% of Noble Shipping Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 1% of Oceanpar S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 100% of Oceanview Maritime Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Regal International Investments S.A.	(Panama)
- 100% of Bayham Investments S.A.	(Panama)
- 100% of Cavalier Shipping Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Riverview Commercial Corp.	(Panama)
- 100% of Sovereign Maritime Ltd.	(Bahamas)

- 100% of Tipton Marine Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Ultrapetrol International S.A.	(Panama)
- 100% of Stanmore Shipping Inc.	(Panama)
- 60% of Ultracape (Holdings) Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 100% of Ultracape Delaware, LLC.	(USA)
- 99% of Parque Ecologico Industrial de Altamira S.A. de C.V.	(Mexico)
- 100% of Ultracape International S.A.	(Panama)
- 100% of Invermay Shipping Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Braddock Shipping Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Wallasey Shipping Inc.	(Panama)
- 27.78% of UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 100% of UP Offshore (Panama) S.A.	(Panama)
- 100% of Packet Maritime Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Padow Shipping Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Pampero Navigation Inc.	(Panama)
- 99.99% of UP Offshore Apoio Maritimo Ltda.	(Brazil)
- 100% of UP Offshore (Holdings) Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 92.86% of UP River (Holdings) Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 50% of UABL Limited	(Bahamas)
- 100% of UP River Terminals (Panama) S.A.	(Panama)
- 50% of UABL Terminals Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 100% of UABL Terminals (Paraguay) S.A.	(Panama)
- 50% of Obras Terminales y Servicios S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 50% of Puertos del Sur S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 100% of UPB (Panama) Inc.	(Panama)
- 50% of UABL Terminals Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 50% of UABL Limited	(Bahamas)
- 100% of Arlene Investment Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Blueroad Finance Inc.	(Panama)
- 100% of Corydon International S.A.	(Uruguay)
- 100% of Cedarino S.L.	(Spain)
- 90% of Parabal S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 97.5% of Riverpar S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 99.6% of Sernova S.A.	(Argentina)
- 97.5% of UABL Paraguay S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 96.6% of UABL S.A.	(Argentina)
- 90% of Yataity S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 100% of Lonehort S.A.	(Uruguay)
- 100% of UP River Ltd.	(Bahamas)
- 100% of UABL International S.A.	(Panama)
- 100% of Thurston Shipping Inc.	(Panama)
- 10% of Parabal S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 2.5% of Riverpar S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 0.4% of Sernova S.A.	(Argentina)
- 2.5% of UABL Paraguay S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 3.4% of UABL S.A.	(Argentina)
- 10% of Yataity S.A.	(Paraguay)
- 100% of UABL Barges (Panama) Inc.	(Panama)

#### D. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Through UABL, we own a drydock and a repair facility for our river fleet at Pueblo Esther, Argentina and land for the construction of two terminals in Argentina and 50% joint venture participations in two grain loading terminals in Paraguay. UABL also rents offices in Asuncion, Paraguay and Buenos Aires, Argentina. We do not own any other buildings and do not pay any rental expense other than as a portion of the administration fees paid to Oceanmarine.

Also through Ultracape Delaware LLC, a new subsidiary of Ultracape (Holdings) Ltd., we own land for expansion of a maritime oil products terminal in Mexico.

#### ITEM 5 - OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

##### A. OPERATING RESULTS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited and subsidiaries for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 included elsewhere in this report.

##### General

We are a diversified ocean and river transportation company involved in the carriage of dry and liquid bulk cargoes. In our Ocean Business, we are an owner and operator of oceangoing vessels that transport petroleum products and dry cargo around the world. Our Ocean Business fleet has a capacity of approximately 785,000 dwt, and our three versatile Suezmax/OBO vessels are capable of carrying either dry bulk or liquid cargoes. Our River Business is the largest owner and operator of river barges and push boats in the Hidrovia region of South America, a fertile agricultural region of navigable waters on the Parana, Paraguay and Uruguay Rivers and part of the River Plate, which flow through Brazil, Bolivia,

Uruguay, Paraguay and Argentina. In addition, in November, 2002, we entered into a joint venture to form UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd. ("UP Offshore"), a company in which we own a 27.78% interest and which will provide transportation services to offshore petroleum exploration and production companies, with a particular emphasis on the Brazilian market.

Our business strategy focuses on maintaining an efficient and flexible fleet, which allows us to provide an array of transportation services to customers in several different industries. We believe that the flexibility of our fleet and the diversity of industries that we service reduce our dependency on any particular sector of the transportation industry.

The following discussion covers the audited consolidated financial results of the year ended December 31, 2004 with a comparison to the audited consolidated financial results for the same period in 2003.

Currently, we own seven oceangoing vessels (one of which is currently used as a transfer station in our River Business) that operate in South America, the Caribbean, the United States, Europe and the Far East. One of our vessels (Cape Pampas) is owned through our 60% owned subsidiary, Ultracape (Holdings) Ltd. ("Ultracape"). The Company's Suezmax OBO's (Princess Katherine, Princess Nadia and Princess Susana), are designed to carry oil as well as ore and other dry bulk commodities. These vessels together with our Cape Pampas are currently employed in the carriage of bulk dry cargoes.

During the year ended December 31, 2004, we employed a significant part of our fleet on time charter for different customers.

During 2004, the international freight market maintained average rates significantly above those experienced in 2003.

Of the Company's ocean going fleet, the Princess Marina was out of service due to accidents in her main engine for 52 days (in the first quarter of 2004) and the Cape Pampas and the Alianza G3 were out of service undergoing major repairs during 56 days and 111 days, respectively.

On December 12, 2003 we entered into a Memorandum of Agreement, or MOA, to sell the Princess Laura for a total price of \$4.1 million. The vessel was delivered to its new owners on January 9, 2004.

On April 23, 2004 we entered into a MOA to sell the Princess Eva for a total price of \$4.2 million. The vessel was delivered to its new owners on June 2, 2004.

On April 23, 2004 the Company acquired, in a series of related transactions through two wholly-owned subsidiaries, from ACBL Hidrovias, Ltd. the remaining 50% equity interest in UABL Limited ("UABL"), that it did not own, along with a fleet of 50 river barges and seven push boats. The total purchase price paid in these transactions was \$26.1 million, \$17.7 million of which was derived from the proceeds of previous vessel sales made by Ultrapetrol. The barges and push boats entered Ultrapetrol's fleet as "Qualified Substitute Vessels" under the Indenture. Following this transaction in the second quarter of 2004, the Company has indirect control of UABL.

During 2004, the Company through its subsidiaries, repurchased \$5.7 million nominal value of its 10.5% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2008 (the "Prior Notes") at a net amount of \$4.3 million.

On October 15, 2004, through Ultracape Delaware LLC, a new subsidiary of Ultracape (Holdings) Ltd., we entered into a transaction with a related party to acquire the land for expansion of a maritime oil products terminal in Mexico for \$2 million with a view to expanding our transportation services to that area.

On October 27, 2004, we refinanced the existing senior secured credit facility of Braddock Shipping Inc., an indirect wholly owned Panamanian subsidiary of Ultracape, with a new senior secured credit facility of \$10.0 million with DSB. This new credit facility has a five year maturity and contains a covenant that requires Braddock to provide a minimum level of collateral to secure the loans provided there under, as well as certain other restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit Braddock's ability to incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends, repay indebtedness, make investments, merge or consolidate, change its lines of business, and amend the terms of subordinated debt. The agreement governing the facility also contains customary events of default, subject to grace periods, as appropriate.

On October 26, 2004, we commenced a cash tender offer and consent solicitation for our Prior Notes. Noteholders who validly tendered the Prior Notes received an amount, paid in cash, equal to \$1,037.50 per \$1,000 principal amount of the Prior Notes validly tendered and accepted for payment, plus accrued and unpaid interest on the Prior Notes. On December 24, 2004, we also redeemed, in accordance with the terms of the indenture governing the Prior Notes, all of the remaining Prior Notes that were not validly tendered. We funded the payments required under the Tender Offer and the redemption with a portion of the proceeds from a new private offering of 9% First Preferred Ship

Mortgage Notes due 2014 in an aggregate principal amount of \$180 million (the "NewNotes"). We subsequently commenced an offer (the "Exchange Offer") to exchange all of the NewNotes for an equal principal amount of 9% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2014, that are registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Registered Notes"). On April 8, 2005, we completed the Exchange Offer. The terms of the Registered Notes are identical to those of the NewNotes except that the Registered Notes are registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and are not subject to restrictions on transfer. Based on information received from the depository regarding the Exchange Offer, all \$180 million of NewNotes were exchanged for Registered Notes.

#### Contractual Methods of Earning Revenues and Allotting Expenses

Ocean revenues can be contracted either on a time charter basis or on a COA basis. Under the terms of a time charter, the charterer pays the ship owner a daily rate for the use of the vessel and, in addition, the charterer pays directly for all voyage expenses (including fuel and port charges). In contrast, under the terms of a COA, the charterer pays the ship owner a rate based on tonnage shipped (expressed in dollars per metric ton of cargo), but the ship owner pays all voyage expenses. Accordingly, the charterer pays a higher overall sum under a COA than under a time charter to compensate the ship owner for having to pay the voyage expenses. Consequently, time charters result in lower revenues and lower expenses for the ship owner than COAs, while COAs result in higher revenues and higher expenses for the shipowner than time charters. Both time charters and COAs at comparable price levels result in approximately the same operating income. However, the margin as a percentage of revenues may differ significantly. The differences between time charters and COAs are summarized below:

- o Time Charter:
  - o Revenue is derived from a daily rate paid for the use of the vessel
  - o Charterer pays for all voyage expenses
- o COA:
  - o Revenue is derived from a rate based on tonnage shipped expressed in dollars per metric ton of cargo
  - o Vessel owner pays for all voyage expenses

#### Revenues

Time charter revenues accounted for 59% of our total revenues (ocean and river) for the year ended December 31, 2004 and for 65% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2003. COA revenues accounted for 41 % of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2004 and for 35% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2003. With respect to COAs entered into in connection with our Ocean Business and River Business, of the total revenues obtained from COAs during 2004, 88% were in respect of repetitive voyages for our regular customers and 12% in respect of single voyages for occasional customers.

In our Ocean Business, demand for our services is driven by the global movements of liquid and dry bulk cargoes. Our primary liquid cargo is petroleum and our key dry bulk cargoes include various agricultural products, coal and iron ores.

In our River Business, demand for our services is driven by agricultural, mining and forestry activities in the Hidrovia region. Products move from the inland areas of the Hidrovia region out to the Atlantic Ocean via the Parana and Paraguay Rivers. Conversely, our tanker barges carry petroleum products from the Atlantic Ocean to inland regions of South America via the Parana and Paraguay Rivers. Substantially all of the push boats and barges in our River Business are employed on a COA basis whereby we enter into contracts with our customers to carry set volumes of dry or liquid cargo, typically for periods of up to one year. A substantial portion of the revenues generated by the River Business during the year 2004 related to the transportation of agricultural products which are concentrated during a seven month period from March to September each year. However, over the past several years, our largest customer, Cargill, has increased production of soy pellets and vegetable oils, which are shipped year-round and help offset some of the River Business' concentration of cargoes.

In the Offshore Business, we expect that UP Offshore will seek to enter into long term contracts of two to eight years, with oil exploration and production companies in the Brazilian offshore market. We expect these contracts will be structured as time charters. As of December 31, 2004, UP Offshore had not formally entered into any contracts to provide offshore transportation services.

#### Expenses

In our Ocean Business, our vessel operating expenses, or running costs, are generally paid through Ravenscroft, a related party, which provides ship management services for our oceangoing vessels. Operating expenses include the cost of all ship management, crewing, spares and stores, insurance, lubricants, repairs and maintenance. The most significant of these expenses are maintenance and repairs, wages paid to marine personnel and marine insurance costs.

Our other primary operating expenses include general and administrative expenses as well as ship management and administration fees paid to Ravenscroft and Oceanmarine, another related party, which provides certain administrative services. We pay Oceanmarine a monthly fee of \$10,000 per oceangoing vessel for administrative services including general administration and accounting (financial reporting, preparation of tax returns), use of office premises, a computer network, secretarial assistance and other general duties. We pay Ravenscroft a monthly ship management fee of \$12,500 per oceangoing vessel for services including technical management, crewing, provisioning, superintendence and related accounting functions. We do not expect to pay fees to any related party other than those described here for management and administration functions.

In our River Business, prior to our acquisition of the remaining 50% equity interest in UABL, our subsidiaries that owned push boats and barges contracted with Lonehort, Inc., a subsidiary of UABL, for ship management services and generally paid our operating expenses through Lonehort. Our operating expenses include the cost of all ship management, crewing, spares and stores, insurance, lubricants, repairs and maintenance. Following the acquisition of the remaining 50% equity interest in UABL, all ship management services are performed, and all operating expenses are paid, in-house. UABL employs the services of Tecnical Services S.A. to provide crew recruitment services in Argentina and Paraguay. We pay Tecnical Services S.A. \$140,000 per year, plus an additional \$50 for each active crew member. We do not expect to pay fees to any related entity other than those described here for management and administration functions.

In the Offshore Business, we expect operating expenses to include the cost of all ship management, crewing, spares and stores, insurance, lubricants, repairs and maintenance.

#### Properties

Through UABL, we own a drydock and a repair facility for our river fleet at Pueblo Esther, Argentina and land for the construction of two terminals in Argentina and 50% joint venture participations in two grain loading terminals in Paraguay. UABL also rents offices in Asuncion, Paraguay and Buenos Aires, Argentina. We do not own any other buildings and do not pay any other rental expense other than as a portion of the administration fees paid to Oceanmarine. Also through Ultracape Delaware LLC, we own land for expansion of a maritime oil products terminal in Mexico.

#### Depreciation

Vessels are depreciated to an estimated scrap value on a straight line basis over their estimated useful lives. We follow the deferral method of accounting for survey and dry-dock costs, whereby actual survey and dry-dock costs are capitalized and amortized over a period of two and one-half years until the date of the next dry-dock or special survey.

#### Fuel

In our Ocean Business, when vessels are on time charter, fuel is supplied and paid for by the charterers. Currently our Ocean fleet is employed on time charters. However, in the future it may be employed under COAs in which case fuel would be supplied and paid for by us. In our River Business (where we generally carry cargoes for a freight per metric ton), fuel is our single largest variable expense.

#### Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2004 Compared to year Ended December 31, 2003

	4 (degree) Quarter 2004	Year ended 31.Dec.04	4 (degree) Quarter 2003	Year ended 31.Dec.03
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
<b>Revenues</b>				
Attributable to ocean fleet	14,187	54,049	16,917	64,987
Attributable to river fleet	9,439	41,111	2,740	10,246
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>23,626</b>	<b>95,160</b>	<b>19,657</b>	<b>75,233</b>
<b>Voyage expenses</b>				
Attributable to ocean fleet	(83)	(583)	(2,257)	(12,605)
Attributable to river fleet	(5,795)	(15,340)		(39)
<b>Total</b>	<b>(5,878)</b>	<b>(15,923)</b>	<b>(2,257)</b>	<b>(12,644)</b>

Running cost				
Attributable to ocean fleet	(2,758)	(12,380)	(4,705)	(21,963)
Attributable to river fleet	(3,263)	(12,512)	(1,752)	(6,696)
	-----			
Total	(6,021)	(24,892)	(6,457)	(28,659)
Amortization of dry-dock expense	(1,597)	(5,195)	(1,459)	(7,232)
Depreciation of property and equipment	(3,710)	(13,493)	(3,472)	(15,335)
Management fees and administrative expenses	(3,155)	(9,007)	(2,655)	(7,818)
Other operating income (expenses)	718	784	(3,545)	(2,124)
	-----			
Operating profit	3,983	27,434	(188)	1,421
Financial expense	(3,794)	(16,134)	(4,200)	(16,207)
Financial gain (loss) on extinguishments of debts	(6,422)	(5,078)	1,782	1,782

</TABLE>

Revenues. Total revenues from our ocean fleet, net of commissions, decreased from \$65.0 million in 2003 to \$54.0 million in 2004, or a decrease of 17 %. This decrease is primarily attributable to the effect of reductions in the revenues due to the sale of our vessels Princess Veronica, Princess Pia, Princess Eva, Princess Laura and Princess Marisol as well as Alianza G1 during the last twelve months . In addition, our Princess Susana operated under a time charter during the first half of 2004 while she was employed on voyage charters during the equivalent period of 2003. These reductions were partially offset by the higher time charter rates of our Princess Nadia, Princess Susana, Princess Katherine and Cape Pampas during the twelve months of 2004.

Our revenues were also negatively affected by the Cape Pampas and the Alianza G3 being out of service for a total of 167 days due to major repairs and the fact that our Princess Marina being out of service for 52 days due to accidents during the first quarter. Part of this off hire time was compensated by our loss of hire insurance.

Total revenues from our river fleet, net of commissions, increased by 303% from \$10.2 million to \$41.1 million. This increase is primarily attributable to the consolidation of UABL revenues since the second quarter of 2004, while in 2003 river revenues only included the net proceeds for those of our vessels which were chartered by UABL.

Voyage expenses. In 2004, voyage expenses of our ocean fleet were \$0.6 million, as compared to \$12.6 million for the same period of 2003, a decrease of \$12.0 million, or 95%. The decrease is primarily attributable to the combined effect of a large portion of the Panamax fleet under COA employment during 2003 being sold during 2004 and the Princess Susana operating under time charter employment instead of COA employment.

In 2004, voyage expenses of our river fleet were \$15.3 million, as compared to \$0 million for the same period of 2003, an increase of \$15.3 million. The increase is attributable to the effect of the consolidation of UABL, as our subsidiary in the second quarter of 2004.

Running costs. Running costs of our ocean fleet decreased by about 44%, to \$12.4 million in 2004 as compared to \$22.0 million in the equivalent 2003 period. This decrease is mainly attributable to the sale of Princess Pia, Princess Veronica, Princess Eva, Princess Marisol, Princess Laura and Alianza G1 during the last twelve months.

In the twelve months of 2004, running expenses of our river fleet were \$12.5 million, as compared to \$6.7 million for the same period of 2003, an increase of \$5.8 million. The increase is attributable to the effect of the consolidation of UABL, as our subsidiary in the second quarter of 2004.

Amortization of drydock expense. Amortization of dry docking and special survey costs decreased by \$2.0 million, or 28%, to \$5.2 million in 2004 as compared to \$7.2 million in 2003. The decrease is primarily attributable to the vessels sold during the last year. The unamortized balance is included in the gain or loss resulting from the sales of the vessels.

Depreciation of property and equipment. Depreciation decreased by \$1.8 million, or 12%, to \$13.5 million in 2004 as compared to \$15.3 million in 2003. This decrease is primarily due to the sale of the Princess Veronica, Princess Laura, Princess Pia, Princess Eva, Princess Marisol and Alianza G1 which was partially offset by the purchase of a new tug and river barges and the depreciation of the UABL fleet.

Management fees and administrative expenses. Management fees and



administrative expenses were \$9.0 million in 2004 as compared to \$7.8 million in the same period in 2003. This increase of \$1.2 million is attributable mainly to an increase in the overhead expenses produced by the consolidation of UABL of \$2.7 million which was partially offset by a decrease in management fees of our ocean fleet in the amount of \$1.6 million resulting from a reduced number of vessels in operation.

Other operating income (expenses). This account disclosed an income of \$0.8 million in 2004 and a loss of \$2.1 million in 2003. The difference is explained by the combined effect of the following: an increase in the gain from the sale of property, plant and equipment of \$3.7 million (a loss of \$3.7 million in 2003, as compared to a loss of \$0.0 million in 2004) and a decrease in income from claims against insurance companies of \$0.9 million (income of \$1.6 million in 2003, as compared to income of \$0.7 million in 2004).

Operating profit. Operating profit for the twelve months of 2004 was \$27.4 million, an increase of \$26.0 million from the same period in 2003. In comparing these figures, the difference is mainly attributable to the higher results obtained from our vessels Princess Susana, Princess Nadia, Princess Katherine and Cape Pampas, the sale of our Princess Marisol, Princess Veronica, Princess Pia, Princess Eva, Princess Laura and Alianza G1 as well as the consolidation of the results of UABL following the acquisition of the remaining 50% equity interest in that company, partially counter balanced by the negative effect produced by the periods out of service experienced by our vessels Alianza G3 and Alianza Campana.

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased by about 1%, to \$16.1 million in 2004 as compared to \$16.2 million in the equivalent 2003 period. This variation is primarily attributable to the lower level of financial debt and interest rates on our ocean vessels and consequential interest costs, offset by an increase of \$1.7 million in interest expenses attributable to the effect of the consolidation of UABL, as our subsidiary.

Financial gain (loss) on extinguishments of debt. During 2004, we recognized a gain of \$1.3 million for the retirement of our Prior Notes as compared with a gain of \$1.8 million during 2003. Also during the last quarter of 2004 we incurred in \$6.4 million in financial expenses on extinguishments of debts of our Prior Notes.

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2002

Revenues. Total revenues from freight net of commissions increased from \$24.8 million in 2002 to \$26.4 million in 2003, or an increase of 6%. This increase is primarily attributable to the Princess Susana and Princess Veronica COA's employment instead of time charter operation of those vessels. Hire revenues net of commissions, increased by 1% from \$48.4 million to \$48.7 million. This increase is attributable to the time charter employment of the Princess Marisol and Cape Pampas, a new vessel we acquired in July 2002 partially offset by Princess Susana and Princess Veronica COA's employment. The total of 103 days out of service experienced by the Princess Marina and the Princess Susana due to major repairs, and Princess Eva and Princess Pia, which were out of service due to accidents for 166 days during the first six months of 2003 negatively affected our revenues in this period. Part of this off hire time is compensated by our loss of hire insurance included as other operating income.

Voyage expenses. Voyage expenses for 2003 were \$12.6 million, as compared to \$10.2 million for 2002, an increase of \$2.4 million, or 24%. The increase is primarily attributable to the Princess Susana and Princess Veronica COA's employment instead of time charter employment and to a change in trading pattern of Princess Laura which was employed on shorter voyages between ports where the port costs are more significant.

Running costs. Running costs increased by \$1.3 million, or 5%, to \$28.7 million in 2003 as compared to \$27.4 million in the equivalent 2002 period. This increase is mainly attributable to the Cape Pampas, a new vessel acquired in July 2002 and additional expenses incurred in our Panamax fleet.

Amortization of drydock expenses. Amortization of drydocking and special survey costs decreased by \$1.6 million, or 18%, to \$7.2 million in 2003 as compared to \$8.8 million in 2002. The decrease is primarily attributable to the cease of amortization for the vessels sold during the year. The unamortized balance is included into the gain or loss disposal calculation.

Depreciation of property and equipment. Depreciation and amortization decreased by \$0.7 million, or 4%, to \$15.3 million in 2003 as compared to \$16.0 million in 2002. This decrease is primarily due to the sale of the Princess Fatima, Princess Veronica, Princess Pia and Princess Marisol partially offset by an increase attributable to the purchase of the Cape Pampas.

Management fees and administrative expenses. Management fees and administrative expenses were \$7.8 million in 2003 as compared to \$6.8 million in 2002, an increase of \$1.0 million, or 15%. This increase of \$1.0 million is attributable mainly to an increase in administrative expenses.

Other operating income (expense). This account disclosed an income of \$1.7 million in 2002 and a loss of \$2.1 million in 2003. The difference is explained by the combined effect of the following: an increase in the loss from the sale of property, plant and equipment of \$2.1 million (a loss of \$3.7 million in 2003, as compared to a loss of \$1.6 million in 2002) and a decrease in income from claims against insurance companies of \$1.7 million (income of \$1.6 million in 2003, as compared to income of \$3.3 million in 2002).

Operating profit. Operating profit for 2003 was \$1.4 million, a decrease of \$1.5 million from 2002. This difference is mainly attributable to the combined effect of: lower net earnings of our Panamax size vessels and Alianza G1 which resulted from time lost due to accidents; lower freight and hire revenues of these vessels and the subsequent sale of some of these vessels as described above; lower net earnings of Princess Marina due to her repair period; the sale in the last quarter of Princess Marisol and higher net earnings of vessels Princess Katherine, Princess Nadia, and the Princess Susana and the earnings of the Cape Pampas which operated partially in an improved dry cargo market during the last quarter of 2003.

Interest expense. Interest expenses decreased by \$0.6 million, or 4%, to \$16.2 million in 2003 as compared to \$16.8 million in 2002. The decrease is primarily attributable to the lower level of financial debt and associated interest costs.

Financial gain on extinguishment of debt. During the last quarter of 2003, through our subsidiaries, we repurchased \$6.7 million nominal value of our Prior Notes. We recognized a gain of \$1.8 million for the extinguishment of the debt (a gain of \$2.0 million for the excess of the net carrying amount over the reacquisition price less \$0.1 million for commissions and \$0.1 million for the unamortized deferred issuance expense associated with these Notes).

#### B. Liquidity and Capital Resources

We operate in a capital-intensive industry requiring substantial ongoing investments in revenue producing assets. Our subsidiaries have historically funded their vessel acquisitions through a combination of bank indebtedness, shareholder loans, cash flow from operations and equity contributions.

As of December 31, 2004, we had total indebtedness of \$220.4 million, consisting of: \$180.0 million from our New Notes due 2014; \$10.0 million in a senior loan facility with DSB for Braddock Shipping Inc., a 60% owned subsidiary, for the refinancing of the vessel Cape Pampas. Also as of December 31, 2004, UABL, as our subsidiary, had the following indebtedness: \$15.0 million in a senior loan facility with IPC, \$7.5 million with KfW, \$2.0 million with Citibank NA, \$0.9 million with Touax LPG SA and \$3.3 million with Transamerica Leasing Inc. There was also accrued interest expenses for these loans of \$1.7 million.

At December 31, 2004, we had cash and cash equivalents on hand of \$11.6 million. In addition, we had \$3.0 million in restricted cash and \$0.2 million in short term investments. Also we have \$30.0 million in non-current restricted cash.

#### Operating Activities

In the year ended December 31, 2004, we generated \$23.1 million in cash flow from operations compared to \$18.6 million for the same period in 2003. Net income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2004 was \$5.1 million which is \$16.6 million more than the net loss in the same period of 2003.

In 2003, we generated \$18.6 million in cash flow from operations compared to \$8.4 million for in the same period in 2002. Net loss for 2003 was \$11.5 million compared with a net loss of \$13.8 million in 2002.

Net cash provided by operating activities consists of our net income increased by non-cash expenses, such as depreciation and amortization of deferred charges, and adjusted by changes in working capital and expenditures in dry dock.

#### Investing Activities

During the year ended December 31, 2004, we disbursed \$ 21.0 million in the purchase of push boats and river barges which we paid with funds available in restricted cash. UP Offshore, in which we have a 27.78% ownership interest but which was included in our consolidated results for the period January to November 2004, disbursed \$ 32.0 million in advances to the yards contracted to build our new offshore vessels. Of this total, we made equity contributions to UP Offshore of a total of \$ 8.1 million. We also disbursed \$ 2.0 million in special work upgrading Cape Pampas and \$2.0 million in a land in Mexico and disbursed \$1.7 million for the purchase of the remaining 50% equity interest in UABL and UABL Terminals, net of the cash acquired. Since the second quarter of 2004, as result of the consolidation of UABL, as our subsidiary, we disbursed \$2.5 million in the purchase of river assets.

During 2003 UP Offshore disbursed \$14.3 million in advances to the yards contracted to build the new offshore vessels. Of this total, we made an equity contribution to UP Offshore for a total of \$4.4 million and we disbursed \$2.8 million for the purchase of the new river barges compared to \$17.7 million disbursed for the acquisition of vessels in 2002. Also during 2003, we received net proceeds of vessel sales of \$14.4 million.

#### Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$ 37.8 million during 2004, compared to a use of \$10.7 million in financing activities during the comparable period in 2003. The increase in cash provided by financing activities in the year ended December 31, 2004 is mainly attributable to the issuance of New Notes of \$180 million, due on 2014 net of the payments of our Prior Note of \$131.5 million and the refinancing of the Cape Pampas mortgage indebtedness of \$10.0 million, partially offset with the repayments of principal on its financial debt made during 2004.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$10.7 million during 2003. The use of cash in financing activities in 2003 is mainly attributable to capital payments made during 2003. During the last quarter of 2003, through our subsidiaries, we repurchased \$6.7 million nominal value of our Prior Notes at a net amount of \$4.8 million.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to bad debts, useful lives of vessels, deferred tax assets, and certain accrued liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenue is generally recorded when services are rendered, we have a signed charter agreement or other evidence of an arrangement, pricing is fixed or determinable and collection is reasonably assured. Revenues are earned under time charters or COAs. Revenue from time charters is earned and recognized on a daily basis. Revenue for COAs is recognized based upon the percentage of voyage completion. The percentage of voyage completion is based on the number of voyage days worked at the balance sheet date divided by the total number of days expected on the voyage.

#### Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. The estimate of uncollectible amounts is based on the results of ongoing credit evaluations and our historical experience. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required.

#### Asset Impairment

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when indications of impairment are present and the estimated undiscounted cash flows to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amounts. If the carrying value is not recoverable, the carrying value of the assets is reduced to estimate fair value. Undiscounted cash flows are estimated using expected average long term day rates and utilization based largely on historical industry and our experiences. If future market conditions do not meet expectations, we may be required to record impairment charges which could be material.

#### Useful Life Determination

Management determines the useful lives of the vessels based upon regulatory requirements such as OPA, market conditions and operational considerations. We continue to evaluate the reasonableness of the useful lives of the vessels.

#### Drydocking Costs

All of our vessels must be periodically drydocked and pass certain inspections to maintain their operating classification, as mandated by certain

maritime regulations. Costs incurred to drydock the vessels are deferred and amortized on a straight line basis over the period to the next drydocking, generally 30 months. The alternative accounting policy for drydocking costs is to expense the expenditures as incurred. The Financial Accounting Standards Board and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants have proposed that the deferral method of accounting for planned major maintenance activities such as drydocking expenditures should be eliminated. Under the proposal, we would expense drydocking expenditures as incurred. Our unamortized drydocking costs were approximately \$11.7 million as of December 31, 2004 and \$3.5 million as of December 31, 2003.

#### Recent Developments

On January 7th, 2005 IFC and KfW disbursed the remaining \$7.5 million of the \$30 million loan granted to UABL in 2002. These funds were used to finance the purchase and transportation from USA to the River Plate of 35 dry barges.

We entered into a contract on March 4, 2005 to sell our vessel, Cape Pampas, for a price of approximately \$39.9 million.

We also entered into a contract on March 4, 2005 to buy the cruise vessel, New Flamenco, for a price of \$13.5 million. This transaction was consummated on March 24, 2005. The New Flamenco is managed by Ravenscroft (an affiliate of ours) and we have agreed to extend her employment agreement with a large European tour operator.

On April 6, 2005 we purchased at auction for a price of \$3.4 million the cruise vessel, World Renaissance, which was delivered and fully paid for on April 19, 2005. This vessel will have to pass her drydock and surveys before she enters into service.

On the April 28, 2005 we agreed to purchase the product tanker, Mt Sun Chemist, for a total price of \$10.3 million.

#### Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks

##### Inflation

We do not believe that inflation has had a material impact on our operations, although certain of our operating expenses (e.g., crewing, insurance and drydocking costs) are subject to fluctuations as a result of market forces. Inflationary pressures on bunker costs are not expected to have a material effect on our future operations in the case of our ocean vessels which are mostly time chartered to third parties since it is the charterers who pay for fuel. If the ocean vessels are employed under COA's, freight rates for voyage charters are generally sensitive to the price of a ship's fuel. A sharp rise in bunker prices may have a temporary negative effect on results since freights generally adjust after prices settle at a higher level. In our river business, we have some of our freight agreements adjusted by bunker prices automatically, in other cases we have periodic renegotiations which adjust for fuel prices and in other cases we adjust the fuel component of our cost into the freights on a seasonal or yearly basis.

##### Interest Rate Fluctuation

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates, which may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Our policy is not to use financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes, and we are not a party to any leveraged financial instruments.

Short term variable rate debt, comprised approximately \$6.2 million of our total debt as of December 31, 2004, including accrued interest. Long term variable rate debt, comprised approximately \$ 27.9 million of our total debt as of December 31, 2004. Our variable rate debt had an average interest rate of approximately 5.5 % as of December 31, 2004. A hypothetical 1.0% increase in interest rates on \$34.1 million of debt would cause our interest expense to increase on average approximately \$0.3 million per year over the term of the loans, with a corresponding decrease in income before taxes.

##### Foreign Exchange Rate Fluctuation

Substantially all of our revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars. However, for the [twelve months ended December 31, 2004, 10% of our total revenues were denominated in U.S. dollars but collected in Argentine Pesos and Paraguayan Guaranies at the equivalent amount of U.S. dollars at the payment date, and 13% of our total out of pocket operating expenses were paid in Argentine Pesos and Paraguayan Guaranies. Our operating results, which are reported in U.S. dollars, may be affected by fluctuations in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the local currencies. For accounting purposes, revenue and expense accounts are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate prevailing on the date of each transaction.

#### C. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, PATENTS AND LICENSES, ETC.

Not Applicable.

D. TREND INFORMATION

Not Applicable.

E. OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Not Applicable.

F. TABULAR DISCLOSURE OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following schedule summarizes our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2004.

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Current	1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years	After 5 Years
(in thousands of U.S. dollars)					
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
1. Long-Term Debt Obligations					
-- Deutsche Schiffbank(a)	11,532	2,428	4,134	2,358	2,612
-- International Finance Corporation (a)	18,402	3,289	6,122	5,515	3,476
-- KfW (a)	8,795	1,947	3,612	3,236	
-- Citibank NA (a)	2,211	824	588	544	255
-- Transamerica Leasing Inc.	3,540	1,931	1,609		
Private Investors	342,000	16,200	32,400	32,400	261,000
2. Capital (Finance) Lease Obligations					
-- Touax LPG S.A.	914	732	182		
3. Operating Lease Obligations					
4. Purchase obligations					
- Fuel supply contract (b)	14,000	14,000			
5. Other Long-Term Liabilities Reflected on the Company's Balance Sheet under GAAP of the primary financial statements					
-- Minority interest subject to put rights	4,751				4,751
Total	406,145	41,351	48,647	44,053	272,094

</TABLE>

- (a) For the calculation of interest on loans that accrue interest at LIBOR, the Company applied the value of such rate as of December 31, 2004, i.e. a nominal rate of 2.56% per annum.
- (b) UABL Paraguay S.A., a river subsidiary of the Company, entered into a fuel supply contract with Repsol-YPF that could be considered a "take or pay" contract. For the calculations the Company uses the market prices as of December 31, 2004.

We believe, based upon current levels of operation, cash flow from operations, together with other sources of funds, including the Escrowed Proceeds, that we will have adequate liquidity to make required payments of principal and interest on our debt, including obligations under the Registered Notes, complete anticipated capital expenditures and fund working capital requirements.

Our ability to make scheduled payments of principal of, or to pay interest on, or to refinance, our indebtedness, including the new notes, or to fund planned capital expenditures will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. Our ability to general cash is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control.

FUTURE CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS. Our near-term cash requirements are related primarily to funding operations. We cannot provide assurance that our actual cash requirements will not be greater than we currently expect. If the Company cannot generate sufficient cash flow from operations, we may obtain additional sources of funding through capital market transactions. The company cannot provide assurance that these sources will be available.

G. SAFE HARBOR

Not Applicable.

ITEM 6. - DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

A. Directors and Executive Officers

Set forth below are the names and positions of our directors and executive officers.

Name	Age	Position
	----	
Felipe Menendez R.....	50	President and Chief Executive Officer/Director
Ricardo Menendez R.....	55	Director
James F. Martin.....	50	Director
Katherine A. Downs.....	50	Director
Leonard J. Hoskinson.....	51	Director & Secretary

The business address for each of our directors and officers is Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited c/o H & J Corporate Services Ltd., Shirlaw House, 87 Shirley Street, P.O. Box SS-19084, Nassau, Bahamas. Our telephone number there is 1-242-322-8571.

Certain biographical information with respect to each director and executive officer is set forth below.

Felipe Menendez R. Mr. Menendez has been President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director since incorporation in December 1997 and is the brother of Ricardo Menendez. He is also President, and has been a Director, of Ultrapetrol S.A. since its incorporation in 1992. Mr. Menendez is also a Director of Oceanmarine, SIPSA S.A., or SIPSA, a Chilean publicly traded company controlled by the Menendez family, and Ravenscroft. Mr. Menendez has been, and continues to be, actively involved in other businesses associated with the Menendez family, as well as other companies affiliated with SIPSA. Mr. Menendez is also a Director, President and Chief Executive Officer of UABL and a Director of UP Offshore.

Ricardo Menendez R. Mr. Menendez has been a Director since incorporation in December 1997 and is the brother of Felipe Menendez. Mr. Menendez began his career in the shipping industry in 1970 with Compania Chilena de Navegacion Interociania S.A., and has continuously been involved in the management of the Menendez family's shipping interests. He is the President of Oceanmarine and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Ravenscroft, and has been a Director of Ultrapetrol S.A. since it was formed in 1992. Mr. Menendez is also a Director of SIPSA, and remains involved in the management of other Menendez family businesses. Mr. Menendez has been a member of the board of The Standard Steamship Owners' Protection & Indemnity Association (Bermuda) Limited (a member of the International Group of Protection & Indemnity Associations) since 1993. Mr. Menendez is also a Director of UABL and Chief Executive Officer of UP Offshore.

James F. Martin. Mr. Martin has been a Director since 2000. He is a Managing Director with Emerging Markets Partnership, or EMP, principal adviser to the Bermuda-based AIG-GE Capital Latin America Infrastructure Fund L.P., where he heads a sector team focusing on transportation, environmental services, and oil and gas investments. Prior to joining EMP in 1997, Mr. Martin was head of a team responsible for investments in water and environmental infrastructure at the International Finance Corporation. Mr. Martin is also a Director of UABL and UP Offshore.

Katherine A. Downs. Ms. Downs has been a Director since 2000. Ms. Downs is a Director with EMP, where she focuses on transportation, environmental services, and oil and gas investments. Prior to joining EMP in 1997, Ms. Downs was a Managing Vice President in the private placement group of the Prudential Insurance Company of America. Ms. Downs is also a Director of UABL and UP Offshore.

Leonard J. Hoskinson. Mr. Hoskinson was appointed Director in March 2000 and assumed the position of Secretary six months later. He is the General Manager of Ravenscroft, the appointed manager of our oceangoing fleet with its base in Miami, Florida. Mr. Hoskinson has been employed by Ravenscroft for over 13 years and prior to that headed the ship finance group of Marine Midland Bank NA in New York.

#### B. Compensation of Directors and Senior Management

The aggregate annual net cost to us for the compensation paid to members of the Board of Directors was, \$0.9 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 (\$0.4 million as monitoring fees and \$0.5 million as a bonus to certain directors and officers), \$1.1 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 (\$0.4 million as monitoring fees and \$0.7 million as a bonus to certain directors and officers), \$1.1 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 (\$0.4 million as monitoring fees and \$0.7 million as a bonus to certain directors and officers), \$1.1 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 (\$0.4 million as monitoring fees and \$0.7 million as a bonus to certain directors and officers) and \$1.3 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 (\$0.4 million as monitoring fees and \$0.9 million as a bonus to certain directors and officers). We have no funds set aside or accrued to provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for our directors or officers. Although we intend to negotiate employment contracts, we do not currently have employment contracts with any of our senior executives or directors.

#### Management Agreements

For the day to day management of our operations, we and/or our subsidiaries have entered into administrative and management agreements to provide specific services for our operations. We refer you to "Certain Related Transactions."

C. Board Practices

As provided in our organizational documents and the International Business Companies Act, 1986, each of our elected directors holds office until a successor is elected or until his earlier death, resignation or removal. Officers are elected from time to time by vote of the Board of Directors and hold office until a successor is elected. Our Board of Directors has not appointed an audit or a compensation committee. Our full board performs the functions of the audit and compensation committees.

D. Employees

As of December 31, 2004, we employed approximately 469 employees, consisting of 88 land-based employees and approximately 381 seafarers as crew on our vessels, of which 175 were in our Ocean Business and 206 were in our River Business. These employees were employed through various manning agents depending on the nationality as listed below:

- o Indian crew: Orient Ship Management & Manning Pvt., Ltd., Mumbai, India
- o Argentine crew: Tecnical Services S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina
- o Filipino crew: C.F. Sharp Crew Management, Manila, Philippines
- o Ukrainian crew: South Star Ltd., Odessa, Ukraine
- o Romanian crew: Corona Shipping SRL, Constanta, Romania
- o Paraguayan crew: Tecnical Services S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina

Our crew is employed under the standard collective bargaining agreements with the seafarers' union in their respective countries. The crew is employed on contractual terms valid for a fixed duration of service on board the vessels. We ensure that all the crew employed on board our vessels have the requisite experience, qualifications and certification to comply with all international regulations and shipping conventions. Our training requirements for the crew exceed the applicable statutory requirements. We always man our vessels above the safe manning requirements of the vessels' flag state in order to ensure proper maintenance and safe operation of the vessels. We have in force special programs such as a performance-related incentive bonus, which is paid to senior officers upon rejoining our ships. This ensures retention of qualified and competent staff within our fleet.

E. SHARE OWNERSHIP

DIRECTOR OR OFFICER	SHARES
Felipe Menendez R. (1)	1,138,443
Ricardo Menendez R. (1)	1,138,443

- (1) Reflects shares beneficially owned by Inversiones Los Avellanos S.A., as set forth below in Item 7.--Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions.

ITEM 7 - MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

A. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the current ownership of our outstanding voting securities as of December 31, 2004 by each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of such securities and all the directors and senior management as a group.

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>

Name	Number of Common Shares	Percent of Class
----	-----	-----
<S>	<C>	<C>
Solimar Holdings Ltd. (1).....	996,009	46.7%
Inversiones Los Avellanos S.A. (2).....	1,138,443	53.3%
All directors and senior management as a group (2).....	1,138,443	53.3%

</TABLE>

- 
- (1) Solimar Holdings Ltd. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of AIG-GE Capital Latin American Infrastructure Fund L.P., a Bermuda limited partnership.
- (2) In respect of 601,299 held directly by Inversiones Los Avellanos S.A. and 537,144 held by Avemar Holdings (Bahamas) Limited, the full voting power of which has been granted to Inversiones Los Avellanos S.A. by irrevocable proxy. Inversiones Los Avellanos S.A. is controlled by members of the Menendez family, including our directors Felipe Menendez R. and Ricardo

## B. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

A significant part of this revenue from related parties is derived from the chartering activity of UABL (prior to the acquisition by us of the remaining 50% interest in UABL) and Maritima SIPSA S.A. Certain of our subsidiaries in the Ocean Business time charter their fleet of push boats and river barges to UABL. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, these charters represented revenues of approximately \$2.7 million, \$10.3 million and \$10.0 million, respectively. On April 23, 2004, we acquired the remaining 50% interest in UABL, making UABL a consolidated subsidiary. Therefore all transactions with UABL and its subsidiaries after such date are not reported as transactions with related parties. In March 2003, the Princess Marina was chartered by a Chilean national petroleum company under a time charter that required her to be flagged in Chile. Pursuant to the laws of Chile, for her to be flagged in Chile, she needed to be owned by a legal entity controlled by Chilean citizens. Maritima SIPSA S.A. is controlled by Chilean citizens. We own 49% of Maritima SIPSA S.A., and the other shareholder of Maritima SIPSA S.A., SIPSA S.A., is a Chilean public company that is controlled by members of the Menendez family, which includes Felipe Menendez R., our President and Chief Executive Officer and a director, and Ricardo Menendez R., a director. In order to effect the re-flagging of the vessel, we sold the Princess Marina to Maritima SIPSA S.A. for a purchase price of approximately \$15.1 million, and partially financed the sale by lending Maritima SIPSA S.A. \$7.4 million. Under the terms of our agreement with Maritima SIPSA S.A., such entity pays us fees on a monthly basis that we record as charter revenue excluding any time and expenses incurred while the vessel is in drydock or undergoing major repairs resulting from accidents. For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, this charter revenue amounted to approximately \$2.5 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. We are obligated to repurchase the Princess Marina from Maritima SIPSA S.A. in June 2006 at a purchase price of \$7.7 million, payable in \$0.3 million in cash and the balance through the cancellation of indebtedness Maritima SIPSA S.A. owes to us.

We and our subsidiaries also contract with related parties for various services. Certain of our respective owning/operating subsidiaries have contracted with Oceanmarine for administrative services. Oceanmarine is indirectly controlled by the Menendez family, which includes Felipe Menendez R. and Ricardo Menendez R. We pay Oceanmarine a monthly fee of \$10,000 per oceangoing vessel for these services. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, these payments to Oceanmarine amounted to approximately \$0.7 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Certain of our owning/operating subsidiaries have contracted with Ravenscroft for ship management services for the vessels used in our Ocean Business. Ravenscroft is indirectly controlled by the Menendez family, which includes Felipe Menendez R. and Ricardo Menendez R. For these services, we pay Ravenscroft a monthly fee of \$12,500 per oceangoing vessel, plus certain other expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, these payments to Ravenscroft amounted to approximately \$0.8 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. Ship management responsibilities for our vessels used in the River Business, including the river barges and push boats chartered by certain of our subsidiaries in the Ocean Business to UABL, are performed by Lonehort Inc., a subsidiary of UABL. Prior to our acquisition of the remaining 50% interest in UABL on April 23, 2004, Lonehort Inc. was treated as a related party. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, ship management fees paid to and accrued for Lonehort S.A. amounted to \$1.7 million, \$6.7 million and \$6.6 million, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 we outsourced freight from UABL for \$0.04 million and \$0.8, respectively. Besides the expenses recovery from UABL totaled \$0.1 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and the administrative services fees to UABL totaled \$0.09 million \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million during the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Pursuant to an agency agreement with us, I. Shipping Services S.A. has agreed to perform the duties of port agent for us in Argentina. I. Shipping Services S.A. is indirectly controlled by the Menendez family, which includes Felipe Menendez R. and Ricardo Menendez R. For these services, we pay I. Shipping Services S.A. fees ranging from \$800 to \$1,875 per port call. For each of the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002 the amounts paid and/or accrued for such services amounted to \$0.02 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. We believe that payments made under the above agreements reflect market rates for the services provided.

Ravenscroft occasionally performs ship brokering services on our behalf. We pay Ravenscroft industry standard rates for such services when used. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, ship broker fees paid to and accrued for Ravenscroft for such services amounted to \$0.7 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

In October 2001, we sold Venecia, a wholly owned subsidiary, to a related party, Windsor Financial Services Inc., at its book value with a remaining balance of \$ 0.8 million and 1.2 million as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.



In October 2004, we purchased, through a subsidiary, 99.99% of Parque Ecologico Industrial Altamira S.A. (PEISA) for \$2,000 from a related party of its shareholder, LAIF. The only asset of PEISA is land for expansion of the maritime oil products terminal in Mexico.

On June 25, 2003 we signed an administration agreement with UP Offshore. Under this agreement we agree to assist UP Offshore by providing management services required by the latter, including providing the services of the Chief Executive Officer and to provide ongoing management and commercial advisory services up to 2013. The parties agreed that Ultrapetrol professional fees under this agreement shall be the 2% of UP Offshore annual EBITDA. None of such fees has been recognized in 2003 and 2004, because UP Offshore has not commenced its commercial operations.

Certain of our directors and senior management hold similar positions with our related parties. Felipe Menendez R., who is our President, Chief Executive Officer and a director, is also a director of Oceanmarine, Maritima SIPSA S.A., Ravenscroft and I. Shipping Services S.A. Ricardo Menendez R., who is one of our directors, is also the President of Oceanmarine and I. Shipping Services S.A., a director of Maritima SIPSA S.A., and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Ravenscroft. Leonard J. Hoskinson, who is one of our directors, is also General Manager and a director of Ravenscroft. Although it is not their current intention to do so, in light of their positions with such entities, these officers and directors may experience conflicts of interest in selecting between our interests and those of Ravenscroft, Oceanmarine, Maritima SIPSA S.A. and I. Shipping Services Inc.

Certain of our subsidiaries repurchased approximately \$12.4 million of our Prior Notes in the secondary market. We canceled these repurchased notes prior to the closing of the offering of our New Notes.

Prior to the commencement of the offering of our New Notes, an affiliate of one of our shareholders, who also purchased New Notes in the offering, provided advice to the initial purchaser on the terms and structure of the proposed offering for which it was paid a fee of \$0.5 million.

In connection with the registration of the new notes, we and the subsidiary guarantors have appointed Ravenscroft as an authorized representative in the United States for a fee plus reimbursement of expenses. We and the subsidiary guarantors will also indemnify Ravenscroft of its services as authorized representative.

C. INTERESTS OF EXPERTS AND COUNSEL

Not Applicable.

ITEM 8 - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

A. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS AND OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION

See Item 18.

B. SIGNIFICANT CHANGES

Not Applicable.

ITEM 9 - THE OFFER AND LISTING

No active market within or outside the United States exists for the equity securities of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited. Our equity securities have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

As of the date of this report, there is no active trading market within or outside the United States for our Registered Notes. The registration statement covering \$180,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of our 9 % First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2014 was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 4, 2005, and we then offered the Registered Notes in exchange for all the New Notes. The offer to exchange closed April 8, 2005 and all New Notes were exchanged for Registered Notes.

ITEM 10 - ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. SHARE CAPITAL

Not Applicable.

B. MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

The following summarizes certain provisions of the Company's Amended and Restated Memorandum and Articles of Association. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the International Business Companies Act, 2000 and the Company's Amended and Restated Memorandum and Articles of Association. Information on where investors can obtain copies of the Memorandum and Articles of Association is described under the heading "Documents on Display" under this

Item.

#### Objects and Purposes

The Company is incorporated in the Commonwealth of the Bahamas ("The Bahamas") under the name Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited. The Registered Office of the Company is situated at H & J Corporate Services Ltd., Shirlaw House, 87 Shirley Street, P.O. Box SS-19084, Nassau, Bahamas. The Registered Agent of the Company is H & J Corporate Services Ltd., Shirlaw House, 87 Shirley Street, P.O. Box SS-19084, Nassau, Bahamas.

Clause 4 of the Company's Memorandum of Association provides that its objects include the carrying out of the management and exploitation of vessels of its own or third parties, as well as to act as representatives of other owners and ship owners or to engage in other related activities; the handling of maritime, fluvial and lacustrine transportation, domestic and international, of cargoes, correspondence and maritime works and services in general; the rendering of training services to personnel relative to sea navigation; the owning, hiring, and leasing vessels on time charter, bareboat charter, or under any other charter; to engage in the activities of transportation, transshipment and unloading operations and cargo complement; to develop loading, discharge and stowing operations; to render towage services; to act as ship brokers and/or freighters, to act as maritime agents and to represent vessels of its own or of third parties; to build vessels and naval appliances as well as to exploit public and private franchises of any kind, to participate in bids, to construct ports and also to operate them and represent third parties in any manner in the maritime business; to effect the purchase, sale, building, management and exploitation of real estates; and to execute all kinds of acts, representations, agencies, commissions, consignments, business activities, and management of properties, stocks and enterprises in general.

#### Directors

The business and affairs of the Company shall be managed by the directors. The Company shall pay to each director an annual fee of US\$75,000.00 which salary shall be paid out of the funds of the Company. Directors shall also be paid out of funds of the Company all expenses, including travelling expenses, properly incurred by them in connection with the business of the Company, as may be approved by resolution of directors and subject to any resolution of the shareholders. The Amended and Restated Memorandum and Articles of Association do not place a general prohibition on a director voting in respect of any agreement or transaction in which he has a financial interest other than by virtue of his interest in shares of the Company. To this extent, the Company is governed by the International Business Companies Act, 2000, which states that subject to any limitations in the Memorandum and Articles of Association and any unanimous shareholder agreement, no such agreement or transaction is void or voidable by reason that the director is present at the meeting of directors that approves the agreement or transaction or that the vote of the director is counted for that purpose. Such agreement or transaction is valid if the material facts of the director's interest in the agreement or transaction and his interest in or relationship to any other party to the agreement or transaction are disclosed in good faith or are known to the shareholders entitled to vote at a meeting of the shareholders and the agreement or transaction is approved or ratified by resolution of the shareholders. A director who has an interest in any particular business to be considered at a meeting of directors may be counted for the purpose of determining whether the meeting is duly constituted. A director need not be a member of the Company and no shareholding qualification shall be necessary to qualify a person as a director.

#### Share Rights, Preferences, Restrictions

Subject to the rights of holders of shares entitled to special rights as to dividends, all dividends shall be declared and ranked pari passu to shareholders of record at the date of the declaration of the dividend; but no dividend shall be paid on those shares which are held by the Company as Treasury shares. Dividends shall only be paid out of the net profits of the Company and shall only be paid in cash. It is policy of the Company, unless the members decide otherwise, at the annual general meeting to distribute a dividend of at least thirty percent (30%) of the Company's net after-tax profit. But such distributions shall be subject to the restrictions, terms and conditions which may be imposed on the Company by lenders, bondholders or other financial institutions, and/or those limitations imposed by the contracts, agreements or other financial instruments, including any trust indenture into which the Company may have entered. Also before making distributions, the Company shall also make adequate reserves as the Board of Directors may deem necessary for the Company's commitments (even if after giving effect to such reserves the distribution would be lower than thirty per cent (30%)). The directors may, before recommending any dividend, set aside out of the profits of the Company such sum as they think proper as a reserve fund to meet contingencies, or for equalizing dividends, or for special dividends or bonuses, or for repairing, improving, maintaining any of the property of the Company, and for such other purposes as the directors shall in their absolute discretion think conducive to the interests of the Company. If several persons are registered as joint holders of any share, any of them may give effectual receipt for any dividend or other

moneys payable in respect of the share.

Subject to certain voting requirements, the Company may alter or modify the conditions contained in the Articles and Memorandum of Association as originally prepared or as amended by resolution of the shareholders.

No business shall be transacted at any general meeting unless a quorum of members is present. A quorum shall consist of a shareholder or shareholders holding not less than sixty-five percent (65%) of the issued and outstanding shares entitled to vote, provided that for the period beginning on the date of the Shareholders Agreement and ending on the last Installment Date (both as defined in the Amended and Restated Articles of Association of the Company), the presence of Solimar Holdings LDC shall be required to constitute a quorum. Subject to certain voting requirements contained in Section 1.7 (c) and (e) of Article I and the exceptions thereto contained in Section 1.8 of Article I, any question presented to or action taken by the shareholders shall be approved or disapproved at a meeting at which a quorum shall be present and acting throughout in accordance with the votes of the shareholders holding a majority of the shares of Common Stock or other voting Capital Stock of the Company present at such meeting. At any general meeting of the shareholders unless a poll is demanded by a shareholder present in person or by proxy, a declaration by the Chairman of the meeting that a resolution has been carried and an entry to that effect in the book of proceedings of the shareholders shall be sufficient evidence of the fact, without proof of the number or proportion of the votes recorded in favor of or against such resolution. If a poll is demanded it shall be taken in such manner as the Chairman directs and the result of such poll shall be deemed to be the resolution of the shareholders. Notice of meetings of shareholders and other information or written statement required to be given to shareholders, shall be given by personal service, or sent by airmail, or by telex, telegram, telefax, cable or other electronic means at the discretion of the directors.

There are no limitations under the laws of The Bahamas on the rights of non-resident or foreign shareholders to hold or exercise voting rights.

C. MATERIAL CONTRACTS

Not applicable

D. Exchange Controls

We are incorporated as an International Business Company, or IBC, in the Commonwealth of The Bahamas. Under the International Business Companies Act, (Chapter 309 of the Statute Laws of The Bahamas, 2000 Edition), or the IBC Act, provides that so long as our operations are conducted exclusively overseas, we are exempt from the Exchange Control Regulations Act, (Chapter 360 of the Statute Laws of The Bahamas, 2000 Edition) (the "ECR Act"), and any regulations made thereunder. Accordingly, the import or export of capital and the remittance of dividends, interest or other payments to non-resident holders of our securities will not require the prior approval of The Central Bank of the Bahamas, or the Central Bank, other than in respect of local Bahamian currency. However, the prior approval of the Central Bank must be obtained in respect of our operations that will not be exclusively overseas.

With regard to an IBC whose operations are exclusively overseas, the transfer of shares between non-resident persons and the issuance of shares to or by such persons may be effected without specific consent under the ECR Act, and any regulations made thereunder. Issues and transfers of shares involving any person regarded as resident in The Bahamas for Exchange Control purposes require specific prior approval under the ECR Act and any regulations made thereunder.

The IBC Act states that an IBC shall be exempt for a period of twenty years from its date of incorporation from any business licence fee, corporation tax, capital gains tax or any other tax on income or distributions accruing to such IBC provided that the IBC is not resident for Exchange Control purposes. There is a tax information exchange agreement between the United States and the Bahamas that came into effect in relation to criminal tax matters for the taxable period commencing in January 2004 and that comes into effect in relation to civil matters for the taxable period commencing in January 2006. That arrangement cannot be used by the United States in relation to persons that do not have U.S. tax liability. Further, there are anti-third party provisions, which means that the U.S. cannot share this information with any other country or its agents or employees.

E. TAXATION

We have been advised by our Argentinean counsel, Perez Alati, Grondona, Benites, Arnsten & Martinez de Hoz, that there is some uncertainty under Argentinean law as to whether payments of interest by Ultrapetrol S.A., our Argentinean subsidiary, under its guarantee of our Registered Notes, may be subjected to Argentinean income tax withholding. Perez, Alati, have advised us that payments by Ultrapetrol S.A. made in respect of interest on our Registered Notes might be subject to withholding imposed by Argentinean taxing authorities. They have advised us that the withholding determination would depend on whether

the Argentinean taxing authorities view such guarantee payments as equivalent to loan interest payments.

Certain of our subsidiaries who are guarantors of our Registered Notes are incorporated in Panama. We have been advised by our Panamanian counsel, Tapia, Linares y Alfaro, that there are no taxes or withholding provisions of any nature to which United States holders of our Registered Notes are subject under the laws of Panama in respect of any payments that might be made by our Panamanian subsidiaries under their guarantees of our Registered Notes.

We have been advised by our Bahamas counsel, Higgs & Johnson, that there are presently no tax or withholding provisions of the Commonwealth of The Bahamas to which any United States holders of our Registered Notes would be subject.

There is currently no reciprocal tax treaty in force between the United States and any of Panama, the Bahamas or Argentina.

F. DIVIDEND AND PAYING AGENTS

Not Applicable.

G. STATEMENTS BY EXPERTS

Not Applicable.

H. DOCUMENTS ON DISPLAY

The Company is subject to the informational requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. In accordance with these requirements we file reports and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These materials, including this annual report and the accompanying exhibits may be inspected and copied at the public reference facilities maintained by the Commission at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Room 1024, Washington, D.C. 20549 and at the Commission's Regional Offices at 233 Broadway, New York, New York 10048 and 500 West Madison Street, Suite 1400, Northwestern Atrium Center, Chicago, Illinois 60661. You may obtain information on the operation of the public reference room by calling 1 (800) SEC-0330, and you may obtain copies at prescribed rates from the Public Reference Section of the Commission at its principal office in Washington, D.C. 20549. The SEC maintains a website (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the SEC. In addition, documents referred to in this annual report may be inspected at the Company's headquarters at Eighty-Seven Shirley Street, Nassau, Bahamas.

I. SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Not Applicable.

ITEM 11 - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates, which may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Our policy is not to use financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes, and we are not a party to any leveraged financial instruments. A discussion of the fair value of financial instruments and our credit risk is included in Notes 2 and 10, respectively of the consolidated financial statements.

Exposure To Interest Rates. Short-term variable rate debt, comprised approximately \$6.2 million of our total debt as of December 31, 2004, including accrued interest. Long-term variable rate debt, comprised approximately \$27.9 million of our total debt as of December 31, 2004. Our variable rate debt had an average interest rate of approximately 5.5% as of December 31, 2004. A hypothetical 1.0% increase in interest rates on \$34.1 million of debt would cause our interest expense to increase on average approximately \$0.3 million per year over the term of the loans, with a corresponding decrease in income before taxes.

ITEM 12 - DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES

Not Applicable.

PART II

ITEM 13 - DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

Not Applicable.

ITEM 14 - MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not Applicable.

ITEM 15 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Within the 90 days prior to the date of this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them timely to material information relating to the Company required to be included in the Company's periodic SEC filings.

Changes in Internal Controls

There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could have significantly affected those controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation of internal controls, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

ITEM 16A AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

The Company's equity securities are not publicly traded, and its obligations and duties to Note holders are defined in the indenture to the Registered Notes. Accordingly, the Company is not required to appoint an audit committee or select a financial expert. The Board of Directors does not believe that the role played by an audit committee as defined in the Sarbanes Oxley Act and other regulations in force is applicable to the Company at this time.

ITEM 16B CODE OF ETHICS

The Company's equity securities are not publicly traded, and its obligations and duties to Note holders are defined in the indenture to the Registered Notes. Accordingly, the Company has not adopted a business code of ethics because the Board of Directors does not believe that role played by a business code of ethics is applicable to the Company.

ITEM 16C PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Pistrelli, Henry Martin y Asociados S.R.L. member of Ernst & Young Global is the independent accounting firm that audits the financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries and is the principal accountant for the audit of the Company.

Aggregate fee for professional services rendered for the Company by Pistrelli, Henry Martin y Asociados S.R.L. in 2004 and 2003 were:

	2004	2003
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Audit fees	\$440	\$200
Tax fees	\$27	\$8

Audit fees include fees associated with the annual audit of the Company and subsidiaries, statutory audits required internationally, comfort letters and SEC filings. Tax fees relate to transfer pricing analysis.

The Board of Directors pre-approves all audit, audit - related, and non audit services provides by the Company's independent auditor prior to the engagement of the independent auditor with respect to such services.

ITEM 16E. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PERSONS.

Name of purchaser: Avemar Holding (Bahamas) Limited

Date of the transaction: Oct 12, 2000

- (a) Total number of shares purchased: 537.144
- (b) Average price paid per share: \$37,85 (Price with the related expenses to this transaction) bare price 37,65
- (c) Total number of Shares purchased as part of publicly announced plan or program: N/A
- (d) Maximum number (or approx. dollar value) of Shares that may be purchased under the plan or program: N/A

PART III

ITEM 17 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Not Applicable.

The following financial statements listed below and set forth on pages F-1 through F-30, together with the report of independent registered public accounting firm are filed as part of this annual report:

## Index to Financial Statements of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited and Subsidiaries

Report of independent registered public accounting firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and 2003	F-3
Consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-4
Consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-5
Consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-6
Notes to consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-7

## ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of  
ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited and subsidiaries, as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Buenos Aires, Argentina  
March 10, 2005

PISTRELLI, HENRY MARTIN Y ASOCIADOS S.R.L.  
Member of Ernst & Young Global

DANIEL G. MINENNA  
Partner

<TABLE>

## ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2004 AND 2003

(stated in thousands of US dollars, except par value and share amounts)

<CAPTION>

	December 31,	
	2004	2003
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
<s>	<c>	<c>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,602	\$ 8,248
Restricted cash	2,975	1,155
Investments	217	194
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$739 and \$1,142 in 2004 and 2003, respectively	6,385	5,734
Receivables from related parties	3,933	9,357
Marine and river operating supplies	2,194	1,009
Prepaid expenses	4,101	2,574
Other receivables	5,724	4,624
Total current assets	37,131	32,895
NONCURRENT ASSETS		
Dry dock	11,716	3,492
Other receivables	7,944	6,414
Receivables from related parties	2,540	-
Property and equipment, net	160,535	120,803
Restricted cash	30,010	16,461
Investment in affiliates	15,607	25,729
Other assets	8,165	2,367
Total noncurrent assets	236,517	175,266
Total assets	\$ 273,648	\$ 208,161
LIABILITIES, MINORITY INTERESTS AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 11,487	\$ 4,991
Payables to related parties	768	1,655
Other financial debt	10,108	10,462
Current portion of capital lease obligation	695	-
Other payables	632	371
Total current liabilities	23,690	17,479
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		
Long-term notes	180,000	128,341
Other financial debt, net of current portion	29,430	17,011
Capital lease obligation, less current portion	180	-
Account payable and accrued expenses	219	-
Total noncurrent liabilities	209,829	145,352
Total liabilities	\$ 233,519	\$ 162,831
MINORITY INTEREST	\$ 6,468	\$ 16,716
MINORITY INTEREST SUBJECT TO PUT RIGHTS	\$ 4,751	\$ 4,821
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Common stock, \$.01 par value: authorized shares 2,134,452, issued and outstanding 2,109,240	21	21
Treasury stock	(20,332)	(20,332)
Additional paid-in capital	68,884	68,884
Accumulated deficit	(19,863)	(25,002)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	200	222
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 28,910	\$ 23,793
Total liabilities, minority interests and shareholders' equity	\$ 273,648	\$ 208,161

See accompanying notes.

</TABLE>

<TABLE>

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2004 AND 2003

(stated in thousands of US dollars, except par value and share amounts)

<CAPTION>

	Year ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
	<C>	<C>	<C>
REVENUES			
Revenues form third parties	\$ 89,956	\$ 62,996	\$ 63,152
Revenues from related parties	5,204	12,237	9,972
Total revenues	95,160	75,233	73,124
OPERATING EXPENSES (1)			
Voyage expenses	(15,923)	(12,644)	(10,185)
Running costs	(24,892)	(28,659)	(27,397)
Amortization of dry dock	(5,195)	(7,232)	(8,839)
Depreciation of property and equipment	(13,493)	(15,335)	(15,968)
Management fees to related parties	(1,513)	(2,863)	(3,176)
Administrative and selling expenses	(7,494)	(4,955)	(3,642)
Loss on involuntary conversion of Argentine receivables	-	-	(2,704)
Other operating income (expenses)	784	(2,124)	1,741
	(67,726)	(73,812)	(70,170)
Operating profit	27,434	1,421	2,954
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES)			
Financial expense	(16,134)	(16,207)	(16,763)
Financial gain on extinguishment of debt	1,344	1,782	-
Financial loss on extinguishment of debt	(6,422)	-	-
Financial income	119	201	326
Investment in affiliates	406	3,140	(45)
Other income (expenses)	174	(337)	(43)
Total other expenses	(20,513)	(11,421)	(16,525)
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	6,921	(10,000)	(13,571)
Income taxes	(642)	(185)	(150)
Minority interest	(1,140)	(1,333)	(132)
Net income (loss)	\$ 5,139	\$ (11,518)	\$ (13,853)

(1) In addition to management fees to related parties, operating expenses included \$1,757, \$6,833 and \$7,669 in 2004, 2003, and 2002, respectively, related principally to ship management fees due to related parties.

See accompanying notes.

</TABLE>

<TABLE>

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004, 2003 AND 2002

(stated in thousands of US dollars)

<CAPTION>

	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Treasury stock	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Accumulated deficit	Total
--	--------------	----------------------------	----------------	--	---------------------	-------



<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
December 31, 2001	\$ 20	\$ 67,781	\$ (20,332)	\$ -	\$ 369	\$ 47,838
- Capital stock increase	1	1,103	-	-	-	1,104
- Net loss and comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	(13,853)	(13,853)
December 31, 2002	21	68,884	(20,332)	-	(13,484)	35,089
Comprehensive loss:						
- Changes in value of derivatives	-	-	-	222	-	222
- Net loss	-	-	-	-	(11,518)	(11,518)
Total comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	-	(11,296)
December 31, 2003	21	68,884	(20,332)	222	(25,002)	23,793
Comprehensive income:						
- Changes in value of derivatives	-	-	-	(22)	-	(22)
- Net income	-	-	-	-	5,139	5,139
Total comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	5,117
December 31, 2004	\$ 21	\$ 68,884	\$ (20,332)	\$ 200	\$ (19,863)	\$ 28,910

See accompanying notes.

</TABLE>

<TABLE>

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004, 2003 AND 2002

(stated in thousands of US dollars)

<CAPTION>

<S>	Year ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income (loss)	\$ 5,139	\$ (11,518)	\$ (13,853)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation of property and equipment	13,493	15,335	15,968
Amortization of dry dock	5,195	7,232	8,839
Expenditures for drydocking	(11,139)	(3,580)	(6,005)
Note issuance expenses amortization	568	585	585
Minority interest in equity of subsidiaries	1,140	1,333	132
Financial gain on extinguishment of debt	(1,344)	(1,782)	-
Financial loss on extinguishment of debt	6,422	-	-
(Gain) loss from disposal of property and equipment	(41)	3,686	1,575
Net (gain) loss from investment in affiliates	(406)	(3,140)	45
Allowance for doubtful accounts	355	882	322
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of the effects from purchase of UABL Limited and UABL Terminals companies:			
(Increase) decrease in assets:			
Accounts receivables	5,365	1,028	2,998
Due from affiliates	3,783	5,518	4,564
Marine and river operating supplies	405	583	(79)
Prepaid expenses	(994)	725	495
Other receivables	(318)	(568)	94
Increase (decrease) in liabilities:			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,801	1,404	(95)
Due to affiliates	(3,498)	1,387	(7,998)
Other payables	(251)	(363)	558
Other	(2,546)	(145)	245
Net cash provided by operating activities	23,129	18,602	8,390
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			

Purchase of property and equipment	(59,934)	(17,089)	(17,690)
Proceeds from disposals of property and equipment	6,430	14,423	1,867
Decrease (increase) in loan to affiliate	-	(1,750)	480
Purchase of UABL and UABL Terminals companies net of cash acquired	(1,713)	-	-
Investment in affiliates	(1,542)	-	-
Other	(797)	-	(80)
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash used in investing activities	(57,556)	(4,416)	(15,423)
	-----	-----	-----

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Minority interest in equity of subsidiaries	17,959	16,192	3,300
Proceeds from long-term financial debt	27,700	-	11,032
Proceeds from 2014 Senior Notes	180,000	-	-
Payments of deferred financing costs under 2014 Senior Notes	(6,655)	-	-
Payments of 2008 Senior Notes	(131,502)	(4,754)	-
Payments of long-term financial debt	(39,149)	(4,946)	(3,320)
Proceeds from issuance of redeemable preference shares of subsidiary	3,000	-	-
Increase in restricted cash	(13,333)	(15,954)	(1,662)
Capital contribution	-	-	1,104
Funds used in acquisition of treasury stock	-	(1,200)	(4,400)
Other	(239)	-	(169)
	-----	-----	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	37,781	(10,662)	5,885
	-----	-----	-----
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	3,354	3,524	(1,148)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of year	\$ 8,248	\$ 4,724	\$ 5,872
	-----	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of year	\$ 11,602	\$ 8,248	\$ 4,724
	=====	=====	=====

See accompanying notes.

</TABLE>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(stated in thousands of US dollars, except otherwise indicated)

1. CORPORATE ORGANIZATION

Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited ("Ultrapetrol Bahamas", "the Company", "us" or "we") is a company organized and registered as a Bahamas Corporation since December 1997.

The Company is a diversified ocean and river transportation company involved in the carriage of dry and liquid cargoes. In its Ocean Business, it is an owner and operator of oceangoing vessels that transport petroleum products and dry cargo around the world. In its River Business is operator of river barges and push boats in the Hidrovia region of South America, a region on navigable waters on the Parana, Paraguay and Uruguay Rivers and part of the River Plate, which flow through Brazil, Bolivia, Uruguay, Paraguay and Argentina. In addition we recently made an investment in an offshore services transportation company, which will commence operations in 2005.

On June 28, 2001, the Company issued 138,443 new shares for \$5,295 which were totally subscribed by Los Avellanos, one of the Company's original shareholders and was paid \$3,297 in 2001 and \$1,104 in 2002 and the balance are payable in July 2005. As of December 31, 2004 and 2003 the outstanding payment was \$894 and was shown as a reduction of shareholders' equity. The Company has an option to repurchases 25,212 of its shares for a total price of \$894 from Inversiones Los Avellanos S.A. until July 31, 2005.

As of December 31, 2004 the shareholders of Ultrapetrol Bahamas are Solimar Holdings LDC, Inversiones Los Avellanos S.A. and Avemar Holdings (Bahamas), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (see Note 13), in the proportion of 46.66%, 28.17% and 25.17%, respectively. Since Avemar Holdings (Bahamas) granted an irrevocable proxy to Inversiones Los Avellanos S.A. in full for all of its voting powers related to its interest in the Company, as of December 31, 2004, Inversiones Los Avellanos S.A. held 53.30% of the Company's voting rights.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

a) Basis of presentation and principles of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("US GAAP").

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the

Company and its subsidiaries, both majority and wholly owned. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Investments in 50% or less owned affiliates, in which the Company exercises significant influence, are accounted for by the equity method.

The consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for 2003 and 2002 have been reclassified to conform with the 2004 presentation of certain items.

b) Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents consist of money market instruments and overnight investments. The credit risk associated with cash and cash equivalents is considered low due to the high credit quality of the financial institutions. c) Restricted cash

At December 31, 2004 and 2003 current restricted cash consisted in cash generated from the operations of our Cape Pampas vessels (see Note 6) and our river fleet required to fund the next installment under the debt agreements. As of December 31, 2003 the noncurrent restricted cash consisted to the proceeds from the sales of our single-hull vessels (see Note 5) to which under the terms and conditions of the Prior Notes, should only be used to acquire another vessels to guarantee the Prior Notes. As of December 31, 2004 the noncurrent restricted cash consisted on \$30 million from the proceeds of the issuance of the 9% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2014, which will be released in connection with the acquisition by the Company of additional vessels upon satisfaction of certain conditions (see Note 6).

d) Accounts receivables

Substantially all of the Company's accounts receivable are due from international oil companies and traders. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its trade customers and generally does not require collateral. Expected credit losses are provided for in the consolidated financial statements.

Changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts for the three years ended December 31, 2004, were as follow:

	2004 ----	2003 ----	2002 ----
Balance at January 1	\$ 1,142	\$ 810	\$ 540
Provision	679	882	322
Recovery	(324)	-	-
Amounts written off	(758)	(550)	(52)
	-----	-----	-----
Balance at December 31	\$ 739 =====	\$ 1,142 =====	\$ 810 =====

e) Insurance claims receivables

Insurance claims receivables represent costs incurred in connection with insurable incidents for which the Company expects it is probable it will be reimbursed by the insurance carriers, subject to applicable deductibles. Deductible amounts related to covered incidents are generally expensed in the period of occurrence of the incident. Expenses incurred for insurable incidents in excess of deductibles are recorded as receivables pending the completion of all repair work and the administrative claims process. The credit risk associated with insurance claims receivable is considered low due to the high credit quality and funded status of the insurance clubs in which the Company participates. Insurance claims receivable, included in other receivables in the accompanying balance sheets, amounts \$10,318 and \$8,784 at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

f) Marine and river operating supplies

Such amounts consist of fuel and supplies that are recorded for at the lower of cost or market and are charged to operating expenses as consumed.

g) Property and equipment, net

Vessels and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. This cost includes the purchase price and all directly attributable costs. Depreciation is computed net from the estimated scrap value and is recorded using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. At the time property is disposed

of, the assets and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts, and any resulting gain or loss is recorded in other operating income (expense). Major renewals and betterments that extend the life of the vessels and equipment are capitalized. Interest incurred on debt related to newbuild vessels is capitalized. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred except for drydocking expenditures.

Listed below are the estimated useful lives of vessels and equipment:

	Useful lives (in years) -----
Ocean-going vessels	24
River barges and push boats	35
Furniture and equipment	5 to 10

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets", whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If the sum of the expected future undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset, a loss is recognized for the difference between the fair value and carrying value of the asset.

h) Dry dock costs

The Company's vessel must be periodically drydocked and pass inspections to maintain its operating classification, as mandated by maritime regulations. Costs incurred to drydock the vessel is deferred and amortized over the period to the next drydocking, generally 24 to 36 months. Drydocking costs are comprised to painting the vessel hull and sides, recoating cargo and fuel tanks, and performing other engine and equipment maintenance activities to bring the vessel into compliance with classification standards. At the time vessel is disposed of, the vessels and related accumulated amortization are removed from the accounts, and any resulting gain or loss is recorded in other operating income (expense).

i) Investments in affiliates

These investments are accounted for by the equity method.

j) Other assets

This account includes costs incurred to issue debt net of amortization costs and are being amortized over the debts term.

k) Accounts payable and accrued expenses

Accounts payable and accrued expenses included in current liabilities as of December 31, 2004 and 2003 consist of insurance payables, operating expenses, customers advanced collected, among others.

l) Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the years. Significant estimates have been made by management, including the allowance for doubtful accounts, useful lives and valuation of vessels, realizability of deferred tax assets and certain accrued liabilities. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

m) Revenues

Revenue is generally recorded when services are rendered, the Company has a signed charter agreement or other evidence of an arrangement, pricing is fixed or determinable and collection is reasonably assured. Revenues are earned under time charters, bareboat charters, consecutive voyage charters or affreightment/voyage contracts. Revenue from time charters and bareboat charters is earned and recognized on a daily basis. Revenue and voyage expenses for the affreightment contracts and consecutive voyage charters are recognized based upon the percentage of voyage completion. The percentage of voyage completion is based on the number of voyage days worked at the balance sheet date divided by the total number of days expected on the voyage.

n) Foreign currency translation

The Company uses the US dollar as its functional currency. Operations

denominated in other currencies are remeasured into US dollars in accordance with SFAS No. 52, Foreign Currency Translation ("SFAS 52"). Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into US dollars at the rate of exchange at the balance sheet date, while revenues and expenses are translated using the average exchange rate for each month. Translation gains and losses resulting from changes in exchange rates for each year are included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

o) Comprehensive Income (Loss)

SFAS No. 130 Reporting Comprehensive Income ("SFAS 130"), establishes standards for the reporting and display of comprehensive income (loss), which is defined as the change in equity arising from non-owner sources. Comprehensive income (loss) is reflected in the consolidated statement of shareholders' equity. In addition, to net income (loss), total comprehensive income (loss) includes a loss of foreign currency forward contract of \$22 in 2004 and a gain of \$222 in 2003.

p) Fair value of financial instruments

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments included in the following categories:

- Cash, cash equivalents, accounts receivables, accounts payables and accrued liabilities: the carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of such instruments.
- Long-term notes as of December 31, 2003: the fair value has been valued at the discounted amount of future cash flows at market interest rates current available to the Company for loans with similar terms.
- Long-term notes as of December 31, 2004, other financial debt and capital lease obligations: the carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet approximate fair value as the interest rates either adjust based on LIBOR, or in the case of fixed rate borrowings, such rate approximates the Company's current borrowing rate.

The following table presents the carrying value and fair value of the financial instruments at December 31.

<TABLE>

	As of December 31,			
	2004		2003	
	Carrying value	Fair value	Carrying value	Fair value
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
<b>Assets</b>				
Windsor Financial Services				
Inc. note receivable (Note 9)	\$ 834	\$ 834	\$ 1,234	\$ 1,234
Forward contract	-	-	606	606
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Notes (Note 6)	181,620	181,620	131,708	93,206
Other financial debt (Note 6)	37,918	37,918	24,106	24,106
Capital lease obligation (Note 7)	\$ 875	\$ 875	\$ -	\$ -

</TABLE>

q) Other operating income (expense)

For the three years ended December 31, 2004, this account includes:

	2004	2003	2002
	----	----	----
Gain (Loss) on vessels disposal	\$ 41	\$ (3,686)	\$ (1,575)
Claims against insurance companies	743	1,562	3,316
	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 784	\$ (2,124)	\$ 1,741
	=====	=====	=====

3. NEW OPERATIONS AND ORGANIZATION OF NEW AFFILIATES

a) Acquisition of UABL and river fleet

On April 23, 2004, the Company acquired in a series of related transactions, through two wholly owned subsidiaries from ACBL Hidrovias Ltd. ("ACBL"), the remaining 50% equity interest in UABL Limited and UABL Terminals that it did not own (together "UABL"). In addition, it acquired from the same vendor a fleet of 50 river barges and 7 push boats, which UABL Limited and its subsidiaries previously leased from ACBL, certain receivables and liabilities.

UABL operates a river transportation business on the Parana, Paraguay and Uruguay rivers in Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay. As a result of the acquisition, the Company is the leading barge transportation company in South America, has consolidated its position in the river business through the Hidrovia and is well-positioned to grow. The results of UABL's operations have been consolidated in the consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition.

The aggregate purchase price was \$26,100, including \$24,100 in cash and 2,000 shares of ACBL acquired by the Company for \$2,000 shortly before this transaction.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and allocation of purchase price at the date of acquisition.

Current assets		\$	10,472
Property and equipment:			
X  Fair value	\$	68,627	
X  Re-allocation of purchase credit	(34,497)		34,130
	-----		
Other noncurrent assets			3,967
			-----
Total assets acquired			48,569
			-----
Current liabilities			(8,592)
Noncurrent liabilities			(13,877)
			-----
Total liabilities assumed			(22,469)
			-----
Total purchase price		\$	26,100
			=====

If the transaction had been consummated on January 1, 2003 the Company's unaudited pro forma revenues and net income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, would have been as shown below. However, such pro forma information is not necessarily indicative of what actually would have occurred had the transaction occurred on such date.

	For the year ended December 31, (unaudited)	
	2004	2003
	-----	-----
Revenues	\$ 103,473	\$ 111,131
Net income (loss)	\$ 5,591	\$ (6,543)

b) UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd. and subsidiaries

In April 2002, the Company formed a company in Bahamas, named UP Offshore (Bahamas) Ltd (UP Offshore). The Company has a 27.78% interest in UP Offshore. UP Offshore has contracted for the construction of six Platform Supply Vessels (PSVs) whose deliveries are expected to commence in the second quarter of 2005. At December 31, 2004 the Company contributed \$12,500. The Company has not guaranteed any debt of UP Offshore.

UP Offshore was a restricted subsidiary under the indenture governing of the Notes due 2008, since the Company had the right to control its Board of Directors. Accordingly, the Company has consolidated UP Offshore' financial statements with its financial statements for 2003 and 2002. In connection with the discharge of the indenture governing the Notes due 2008, on November 24, 2004, the Company relinquished control of the Board of Directors of UP Offshore. Accordingly, UP Offshore is accounted for by the equity method since such date. As of December 31, 2004, the Company's investment in UP Offshore was \$13,097 and is presented in investment in affiliates in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

c) UP River (Holdings) Ltd.

On June, 2003, the Company sold to the International Finance Corporation (IFC) a 7.14% interest in UP River (Holdings) Ltd.

Also, the Company agreed to pay to the IFC the 7.14% of the amount of the respective Charter Party Payments pursuant to the Charter Party Agreements between Ultrapetrol and UABL.

In full consideration for (a) the sale of the shares, and (b) the right to receive a portion of the Charter Party Payments, the IFC paid to the Company \$5 million.

During the period beginning on December 31, 2009 and ending on December 31, 2010 the IFC will be able to exercise an option to put all of the shares of UP River (Holdings) Ltd. then owned by it to UP River (Holdings) Ltd. for an amount in cash equal to \$5 million minus the amount of any cash received by IFC in respect of ownership of such shares (whether by dividend, proceeds from Charter Party Payments or otherwise) plus interest thereon, compounded annually calculated as a rate equal to LIBOR plus 200 basic points.

Also, Ultrapetrol shall have the right, exercisable from time to time to purchase from the IFC all of the shares of UP River (Holdings) Ltd. then owned by IFC. The purchase price for the shares to be purchased, which shall be an amount sufficient to result in a realized internal return rate to the IFC on such shares equal to 18% per annum.

As of December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company presents \$4,751 and \$4,821, respectively, as a "Minority interest subject to put rights", which represents the initial proceeds received by the IFC plus accrued interest less Charter Party Payments made to the IFC.

4. DRY DOCK

The capitalized amounts in dry dock as of December 31, 2004 and 2003 were as follows:

	2004 ----	2003 ----
Original book value	\$ 28,196	\$ 18,997
Accumulated amortization	(16,480)	(15,505)
	-----	-----
Net book value	\$ 11,716	\$ 3,492
	=====	=====

5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

The capitalized cost of all property and equipment and the related accumulated depreciation as of December 31, 2004 and 2003 were as follows:

	2004 -----	2003 -----
	Original book value	
	-----	-----
Ocean-going vessels	\$ 134,825	\$ 155,870
River barges and pushboats	105,426	24,870
Furniture and equipment	4,672	106
Construction in progress	-	14,309
Land and operating base	4,758	-
Prepayment to supplies	1,242	-
	-----	-----
Total original book value	250,923	195,155
Accumulated depreciation	(90,388)	(74,352)
	-----	-----
Net book value	\$ 160,535	\$ 120,803
	=====	=====

As of December 31, 2004 the net book value of the assets pledged as a guarantee of the debt was approximately \$152 million.

During the last three years the Company sold certain older single hull tankers serving the regional trade of Argentina and Brazil. Gain or loss on disposal of such vessels is presented in other operating income (expense).

During 2004 the Company incurred interest cost of \$15,162 of which \$685 was capitalized. During 2003 the Company incurred interest cost of \$15,190.

6. LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER FINANCIAL DEBT

10.5% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2008

As of December 31, 2003 the aggregating outstanding amount related with its 10.5% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2008 ("Notes due 2008") was

\$128,341, due in full in 2008.

In connection with the issue of its 9% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2014, the Company repaid all its Notes due 2008.

#### 9% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2014

On November 24, 2004 the Company completed the Offering of \$180 million of 9% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2014 (the "2014 Senior Notes"), through a private placement to institutional investors eligible for resale under Rule 144A and Regulation S. The net proceeds of the offering were used to repay the Notes due 2008, certain other existing credit facilities and to fund the Escrow Account.

Interest on the 2014 Senior Notes is payable semi-annually on May 24 and November 24 of each year. The 2014 Senior Notes are senior obligations guaranteed by the majority of the Company's subsidiaries directly involved in our ocean business. The Notes are secured by first preferred ship mortgages on 16 vessels, 2 oceangoing barges and 193 river barges.

The 2014 Senior Notes are subject to certain covenants, including, among other things, limiting the parent's and guarantees subsidiaries' ability to incur additional indebtedness or issued preferred stock, pay dividends to stockholders, make investments or sell assets, under certain conditions.

On January 24, 2005 the Company filed a registration statement with the SEC to register substantially identical senior notes to be exchanged for the 2014 Senior Notes pursuant to a registration rights agreement, to allow the 2014 Senior Notes be eligible for trading in the public markets. On March 4, 2005 the registration statement was declared effective and the Company completed the exchange offer on April 8, 2005.

At the time of the Offering, approximately \$30,000, was deposited in an Escrow Account which will be released in connection with the acquisition by the Company of additional vessels upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. To the extent that after December 31, 2005 amounts on deposit in the Escrow Account exceed \$1,000, the Company will be required to redeem as much principal amount of Notes as can be redeemed with such amounts on deposit at a redemption price equal to 101% of the principal amount of such Notes together with accrued and unpaid interest thereon to the date of such redemption.

Although Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited, the parent company, subscribed the issued Notes, principal and related expenses will be paid through funds obtained from the operations of the Company's subsidiaries.

#### Early Extinguishment of Debt

In connection with the 2014 Senior Notes offering, the Company paid \$122,641 to redeem principal of its Notes due 2008. In addition, an early extinguishment premium of \$4,600 was paid. Such premium and a \$1,822 balance of unamortized deferred financial cost were charged to expenses for a total of \$6,422 in 2004.

Previously the Company recognized a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$1,344 and \$1,782 in 2004 and 2003, respectively related to the repurchases of its Notes due 2008.

#### Loan Agreement with Deutsche Schiffbank Aktiengesellschaft ("DSB")

On October 27, 2004, Braddock Shipping Inc. a 60% owned subsidiary ("Braddock"), entered into a \$10 million loan agreement with DSB for the purpose of refinancing debt previously incurred in connection with the purchase of the vessel Cape Pampas. The loan accrues interest at LIBOR rate plus 1.625% per annum. The term of the loan is five years and is scheduled to be repaid in 20 quarter-annual installments, including a balloon payment of \$2.5 million at maturity. The loan is secured by a mortgage on the Cape Pampas and a pledge of 100% of the stock of Braddock and is guaranteed by both the direct and indirect parents of Braddock.

The loan also contains customary covenants that limit, among other things, Braddock's ability to incur additional indebtedness, grant liens over its assets, sell assets, pay dividends, repay indebtedness, make investments, merge or consolidate, change its lines of business, and amend the terms of subordinated debt. The agreement governing the facility also contains customary events of default. If an event of default occurs and is continuing, DSB may require the entire amount of the loan be immediately repaid in full. Further, the loan agreement requires at all times that the vessels pledged as security have a fair market value of at least 150% of the then outstanding debt amount.

#### Loan Agreements with IFC and KfW

On December 17, 2002, UABL Barges, a subsidiary in the river business,



entered into a loan agreement with the International Financial Corporation (IFC) in an aggregate principal amount of \$20 million.

This loan is divided into two tranches:

- Tranche A, amounting to \$15 million, is payable in 14 semiannual installments of 1,071 each, beginning on June 15, 2005 and ending on December 15, 2011 and accrues interest at LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum, and
- Tranche B, amounting to \$5 million, is payable in 10 semiannual installments of 500 each, beginning on June 15, 2005 and ending on December 15, 2009 and accrues interest at LIBOR plus 3.50% per annum.

As of December 31, 2004 \$15 million had been borrowed under the IFC Loan and remained outstanding. UABL Barges pays a fee of 0.50% per annum on the unused portion of the credit facility on a semiannually basis.

In addition, on February 27, 2003, UABL Barges, a subsidiary in our river business, entered into a loan agreement with Kreditanstalt fur Wiederaufbau (KfW) in an aggregate principal amount of \$10 million.

This loan is payable in 10 semiannual installments of \$1,000 each, beginning on June 15, 2005 and ending on December 15, 2009 and accrues interest at LIBOR plus 3.50% per annum.

As of December 31, 2004 \$7.5 million had been borrowed under the KfW Loan and remained outstanding. UABL Barges pays a fee of 0.50% per annum on the unused portion of the credit facility on a semiannually basis.

Each of the IFC Loan and the KfW Loan is guaranteed by UABL Limited, the parent of UABL Barges. Each loan is also secured by mortgages on existing and future barges and push boats belonging to the subsidiaries of UABL Limited and by a stock pledge of 100% of the stock of UABL Barges. Each loan requires that at all times, the vessels pledged as security have a fair market value of at least 175% of the then outstanding loan amount. Each loan also contains certain restrictive covenants applicable to UABL Barges, including, among other customary covenants and restrictions: a minimum debt service coverage ratio not lower than 1.00; a limitation on the incurrence of additional debt; a limitation on making expenditures for assets; a prohibition on paying dividends or other distributions or repurchasing, redeeming or otherwise acquiring its stock without the consent of IFC or KfW, as applicable; a limitation on transactions with affiliates; and a limitation on selling, leasing, transferring, pledging or disposing of its assets. Each loan also contains customary events of default. If an event of default occurs and is continuing, IFC or KfW, as applicable, may require that the entire amount of the applicable loan be immediately repaid in full.

In addition, as guarantor of the loans, UABL Limited is subject to certain restrictive covenants, including, among other customary covenants and restrictions: a minimum consolidated debt service coverage ratio of 1.25 until June 15, 2005, and 1.5 thereafter; a maximum consolidated debt to equity ratio of 1.0; a limitation on its or its subsidiaries incurrence of indebtedness; a limitation on it or its subsidiaries making expenditures for assets; a prohibition on its payment of dividends or other distributions, or the purchase, redemption or other acquisition of its shares of stock, unless the proposed distribution or payment is out of retained earnings; a limitation on its and its subsidiaries ability to enter into transactions with affiliates; and a limitation on its or its subsidiaries selling, transferring, pledging or disposing of their respective assets. Each loan also contains a limitation on changes of control, including the sale or pledge by Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited of the stock it holds in the parent entities of UABL Limited.

Neither UABL Barges nor UABL Limited, nor any subsidiaries of UABL Limited, will be a subsidiary guarantor of the 2014 Senior Notes. With IFC's and KfW's consent, approximately \$16 million of barges owned by certain subsidiaries of UABL Limited will be pledged as collateral to secure the 2014 Senior Notes.

During March 2003, UABL Paraguay S.A., a river subsidiary of the Company, entered into a fuel supply contract that could be considered as a "take or pay" contract. UABL Limited, the parent company of UABL Paraguay S.A. and our river subsidiary guaranteed the compliance with this contract and UABL S.A., a river subsidiary of the Company, mortgaged its port tug "San Jose V" to secure such contract. These facts resulted in the noncompliance with sections 16 (g) and (h) of the Guarantee Agreement by UABL Limited in favor of IFC and KfW. On February 18, 2004 (for the years 2003 and 2004) and on February 28, 2005 (for the year 2005), the IFC signed a waiver to sections 16 (g) and (h) of the Guarantee Agreement in order to allow UABL Paraguay S.A. to enter into the "take or pay" fuel supply contract, allow UABL S.A. to mortgage its port tug "San Jose V" as a security for the fuel supply contract and UABL Limited to guarantee the compliance with this contract.

On February 12, 2004, KfW signed a waiver to sections 16 (g) and (h) of the Guarantee Agreement for the three years.

<TABLE>

Balances of financial debt as of December 31, 2004:

<CAPTION>

	Financial institution / other	Due-year	Nominal value		Accrued interest	Total	Average rate
			Current	Noncurrent			
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Ultrapetrol Bahamas	Notes	2014	\$ -	\$ 180,000	\$ 1,620	\$ 181,620	9.00%
Braddock	DSB	Through 2010	2,000	8,000	78	10,078	Libor + 1.625%
UABL Barges	IFC	Through 2011	1,607	9,643	35	11,285	Libor + 3.75%
UABL Barges	IFC	Through 2009	750	3,000	11	3,761	Libor + 3.50%
UABL Barges	KfW	Through 2009	1,500	6,000	23	7,523	Libor + 3.50%
UABL Paraguay	Citibank N.A.	Through 2010	247	1,235	-	1,482	Libor + 2.75%
UABL Paraguay	Citibank N.A.	Through 2005	500	-	4	504	5.60%
UABL Limited	Transamerica Leasing Inc.	Through 2006	1,733	1,552	-	3,285	8.00%
December 31, 2004			\$ 8,337	\$ 209,430	\$ 1,771	\$ 219,538	
December 31, 2003			\$ 7,024	\$ 145,352	\$ 3,438	\$ 155,814	

</TABLE>

Aggregate annual future payments due on the long-term debt:

Year ending December 31:

2005	\$ 10,108
2006	8,156
2007	5,104
2008	5,104
2009	5,104
Thereafter	185,962
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 219,538</b>

## 7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is subject to legal proceedings, claims and contingencies arising in the ordinary course of business. When such amounts can be estimated and the contingency is probable, management accrues the corresponding liability. While the ultimate outcome of lawsuits or other proceedings against the Company cannot be predicted with certainty, management does not believe the costs of such actions will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

### Ursa and Valero Complaints

On February 21, 2003, Ursa Shipping Ltd. ("Ursa") brought suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against M/T Princess Susana and Noble Shipping Ltd., "Noble", (a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company) seeking damages arising out of the delay in delivery of a cargo of Kirkuk crude oil to the Valero terminal in Paulsboro, New Jersey. Also in February, 2003, Valero Marketing and Supply Co. ("Valero") commenced an action against Noble. The Valero and Ursa complaints sought damages in excess of \$9,000.

On May 26, 2004 the parties reached a global settlement of all the issues in the litigation and full releases were exchanged. In connection with the settlement, among other things, Noble's protection and indemnity insurers paid \$2,250 in full settlement of Valero's claims and Noble's freight and demurrage counterclaim was paid in the amount of \$275. The matter is now fully concluded.

### Bahia Blanca Customs Dispute

Ultrapetrol S.A., one of the Company's subsidiaries, is involved in a customs dispute with the Customs Authority of Bahia Blanca in Argentina over the alleged unauthorized operation of the Princess Pia in Argentina during 2001. As a result, the Customs Authority of Bahia Blanca issued a resolution claiming the equivalent to \$1,610 as import taxes and the equivalent to \$1,610 as fines. In response to said resolution, on March 16, 2004, Ultrapetrol S.A. submitted an appeal with the Argentine Tax Court arguing that it did not breach any applicable customs laws since the Princess Pia operated within Argentine territory only during the periods in which it was expressly authorized by the competent authorities. Said appeal

is pending resolution by the Argentine Tax Court. Based upon the facts and circumstances of the case, the existing regulations and applicable insurance coverage, the Company does not believe that the outcome of this matter should have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations.

Brazilian Customs Dispute

Ultrapetrol S.A. is involved in a customs dispute with the Brazilian Customs tax authorities over the alleged infringement of customs regulations by the Alianza G3 and Alianza Campana (collectively, the "Vessel") in Brazil during 2004. As a result, the Brazilian Customs tax authorities commenced an administrative proceeding and applied the penalty of apprehension of the Vessel which required the Vessel to remain in port or within a maximum of five nautical miles from the Brazilian maritime coast. The maximum custom penalty that could be imposed would be confiscation of the Vessel, which is estimated by the Brazilian Customs tax authorities to be valued at \$4,560. On the same day that Ultrapetrol S.A. presented its defense to this administrative proceeding, a writ of injunction was filed on behalf of Ultrapetrol S.A. seeking a judicial authorization allowing the return of the Vessel to Boias de Xareu, which is located almost 20 nautical miles from the Brazilian maritime coast, so the Vessel could resume its prior services. The preliminary injunction was granted by the court in favor of Ultrapetrol S.A. on September 17, 2004, conditioned on the weekly presentation of shipping letters describing the location of the Vessel.

On February 22, 2005, the Company was notified of the decision that grounds on which the tax assessment was based were ratified. In response to this decision, on February 28, 2005, the Company presented a specific request for clarification of the decision. The Company simultaneously presented a petition to the Secretary of the Brazilian Internal Revenue Service requesting the replacement of the confiscation penalty applied to the vessel by a penalty corresponding to 1% (one percent) of the value of the vessel. Both of the Company request made on February 28, 2005 are still pending judgment.

In case the Company is not successful on the merits, under applicable insurance coverage, it could request from The Standard Club, the Vessel's P&I insurer, an indemnity corresponding to the value of the Vessel. Based upon the facts and circumstances of the case, including the fact that the Vessel was operating under a specific written authorization officially granted by the Brazilian government, the existing regulations and applicable insurance coverage, the Company does not believe that the outcome of this matter should have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations.

Capital Leases of UABL Paraguay

UABL Paraguay, a subsidiary in our river business, leases a push boat and 16 barges under capital leases until 2006.

Included in property and equipment at December 31, 2004 are a push boat and 16 barges under capital leases until 2006 of \$2,798 net of accumulated depreciation of \$551 and recorded depreciation expense in the amount of \$104 on assets recorded under capital leases for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Future minimum payments under capital leases with initial terms of one year or more consisted of the following as of December 31, 2004:

2005	\$	732
2006		183
		-----
Total minimum lease payments		915
Amount representing interest		(40)
		-----
Present value of net minimum lease payments (including current portion of \$695)	\$	875
		=====

Fuel supply contract of UABL Paraguay

In January 2005, UABL Paraguay, a river subsidiary of the Company, entered into a fuel supply contract. Under this contract, UABL Paraguay, has contracted to purchase a minimum amount of fuel per month through the year 2005 and to make a minimum annual payment of approximately \$14 millions, whether or not it is able to take delivery. The minimum amount of fuel guaranteed is 36,000 cubic meter and is reasonable and used solely for the river subsidiaries operations. The price for the cubic meter is equivalent to the price in the international market plus a margin.

Other

As of December 31, 2004, we employed 88 land-based employees and approximately 381 seafarers as crew on our vessels. These seafarers are covered by industry-wide collective bargaining agreements that set basic standards applicable to all companies who hire such individuals as crew. Because most of our employees are covered by these industry-wide collective bargaining agreements, failure of industry groups to renew these agreements may disrupt our operations and adversely affect our earnings. In addition, we cannot assure you that these agreements will prevent labor interruptions. Any labor interruptions could disrupt our operations and harm our financial performance.

#### 8. INCOME TAXES

The Company operates through its subsidiaries, which are subject to several tax jurisdictions, as follows:

##### a) Panama

The earnings from shipping operations were derived from sources outside Panama and such earnings were not subject to Panamanian taxes.

##### b) Paraguay

Two of the Company's subsidiaries, Parfina S.A. and Oceanpar S.A. are subject to Paraguayan corporate income taxes. In addition, since acquisition of UABL, four subsidiaries of UABL Limited, UABL Paraguay, Parabal S.A., Yataiti and Riverpar are subject to Paraguayan corporate income taxes.

##### c) Argentina

Ultrapetrol S.A. is subject to Argentine corporate income taxes. Since the UABL acquisition, in addition to this subsidiary, two subsidiaries, UABL S.A. and Sernova S.A. are subject to Argentine corporate income taxes.

In Argentina, the tax on minimum presumed income ("TOMPI"), supplements income tax since it applies a minimum tax on the potential income from certain income generating-assets at a 1% tax rate. The Company's tax obligation in any given year will be the higher of these two tax amounts. However, if in any given tax year tax on minimum presumed income exceeds income tax, such excess may be computed as payment on account of any excess of income tax over TOMPI that may arise in any of the ten following years.

##### d) Chile

Cor.Na.Mu S.A. is subject to Chilean corporate income taxes.

##### e) The United States

Certain entities, defined as "Qualified Foreign Corporations", are exempt from United States of America ("U.S.") corporate income tax on U.S. source income from their international shipping operations ("shipping income"), pursuant to Section 883 of the US tax code. A corporation will be considered a Qualified Foreign Corporation if (i) its country of incorporation is a "Qualified Foreign Country" which, as defined, is a foreign country that exempts US corporations from income tax on its shipping income (the "Incorporation Test"), (ii) it meets the "Ultimate Owner Test", and (iii) it files a US Federal income tax return (Form 1120F) to claim the Section 883 exemption. A corporation meets the Ultimate Owner Test if (a) more than 50% of the value of its stocks is ultimately owned by "Qualified Shareholders" which, as defined, includes individuals who are tax residents of one or more Qualified Foreign Countries that exempt U.S. persons from tax on shipping earnings, (b) the scope of the exemption provided by such jurisdictions is broad enough to cover the type of shipping income (e.g. freight income, time charter hire or bareboat charter hire) earned by the foreign corporation and (c) the corporation obtains ownership statements, signed under penalties of perjury, from its beneficial owners and all intermediate owners, that enable the corporation to evidence that more than 50% of the value of its stock is ultimately owned by individuals who are tax residents of one or more foreign countries that exempt U.S. persons from tax on shipping earnings. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 Princely and Ultracape (Holdings) Ltd. satisfied the Incorporation Test because they are incorporated in Panama and Bahamas, respectively, which provide the required exemption to U.S. corporations as confirmed by Revenue Ruling 2001-48. In addition, we believe that each of Princely and Ultracape have obtained ownership statements from their ultimate individual owners and all intermediate owners to evidence that more than 50% of the value of their outstanding shares are ultimately owned by Qualified Shareholders.

Consistent with the prior years, Princely and Ultracape will file IRS Form 1120F for the year 2004 to claim the Section 883 exemption.

Based on the foregoing, the Company expects all of its income to remain exempt from U.S. income taxes.

Ultrapetrol Bahamas accounts for income taxes under the liability method in accordance with SFAS No. 109 Accounting for Income Taxes.

Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are established for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities at each period end. Deferred tax assets are recognized for all temporary items and an offsetting valuation allowance is recorded to the extent that it is not more likely than not that the asset will be realized.

<TABLE>

The provision for income taxes (which includes TOMPI) is comprised of:

<CAPTION>

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Current expense	\$ 570	\$ 108	\$ 150
Deferred	72	77	-
	\$ 642	\$ 185	\$ 150

</TABLE>

Ultrapetrol's pre-tax income for the three years ended December 31, 2004 was taxed in foreign jurisdictions (principally Argentina and Paraguay).

<TABLE>

Reconciliation of tax provision to taxes calculated based on the statutory tax rate is as follows:

<CAPTION>

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Pre-tax income (loss)	\$ 6,921	\$ (10,000)	\$ (13,571)
Sources not subject to income tax (tax exempt income)	(7,468)	5,271	6,475
	(547)	(4,729)	(7,096)
Statutory tax rate	35%	35%	35%
Tax benefit at statutory tax rate	(192)	(1,655)	(2,484)
Decrease in valuation allowance	-	(33)	(368)
Effects of foreign exchange changes related to Argentine subsidiary	527	1,873	2,887
TOMPI	-	-	115
Others	307	-	-
Income tax provision	\$ 642	\$ 185	\$ 150

</TABLE>

As of December 31, 2004, Argentine subsidiaries had a consolidated credit related to TOMPI of \$1,250 which expires \$262 in 2010, \$244 in 2011, \$331 in 2012, \$177 in 2013 and \$236 in 2014.

As of December 31, 2004, Argentine subsidiaries had accumulated net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs") for a consolidated total of \$2,463 that expire \$643 in 2005, \$46 in 2006 and \$1,774 in 2009. The use of the NOLs will depend upon future taxable income in Argentina.

	As of December 31,	
	2004	2003
Deferred tax assets		
NOLs	\$ 886	\$ -
TOMPI credit	1,250	274
Other, net	149	119
Total deferred assets	\$ 2,285	\$ 393

Deferred tax liabilities

Property and equipment	793	339
Dry dock	519	131
	-----	-----
Total deferred liabilities	\$ 1,312	\$ 470
	-----	-----
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$ 973	\$ (77)
	=====	=====

9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

As of December 31, 2004 and 2003, the balances of receivables from related parties, were as follows:

	As of December 31,	
	2004	2003
	----	----
Current receivables:		
- Ravenscroft Shipping Inc.	\$ 2,533	\$ 3,966
- Maritima Sipsa S.A.	754	1,890
- Comintra	250	-
- Oceanmarine	204	-
- UP Offshore and its subsidiaries	76	-
- UABL and its subsidiaries	-	3,501
- Other	116	-
	-----	-----
Receivables from affiliates	\$ 3,933	\$ 9,357
	=====	=====

Noncurrent loans:

- OTS S.A. (1)	\$ 260	\$ -
- Puerto del Sur S.A. (2)	2,280	-
	-----	-----
	\$ 2,540	\$ -
	=====	=====

(1) This loan accrues no interest and has no maturity date.

(2) This loan accrues interest at a nominal interest rate of 3% per year, payable semiannually. The principal will be repaid in 8 equal semiannual installments, beginning on June 30, 2006.

As of December 31, 2004 and 2003 the balance of payables to related parties was as follows:

	As of December 31,	
	2004	2003
	----	----
- Ravenscroft Shipping Inc.	\$ 587	\$ -
- OTS S.A.	146	-
- UABL and its subsidiaries	-	1,550
- Oceanmarine	-	98
- Other	35	7
	-----	-----
Payables to affiliates	\$ 768	\$ 1,655
	=====	=====

<TABLE>

For the three years ended December 31, 2004, the revenues derived from related parties were as follows:

<CAPTION>

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
	-----	-----	-----
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>
- UABL and its subsidiaries	\$ 2,737	\$ 10,284	\$ 9,972
- Maritima Sipsa S.A.	2,467	1,953	-
	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 5,204	\$ 12,237	\$ 9,972
	=====	=====	=====

</TABLE>

Management fee and other services

The Company through certain of its subsidiaries has contracted with Oceanmarine, a company under the same common control as Ultrapetrol, for

certain administrative services. This agreement stipulates a fee of \$10 per month and per vessel. Pursuant to the individual ship management agreement between Ravenscroft Ship Management Ltd., a Bahamas Corporation ("Ravenscroft Bahamas") under the same common control as Ultrapetrol, and the Company's relevant vessel-owning subsidiaries, Ravenscroft Bahamas has agreed to provide certain ship management services for all of the Company's vessels. Ravenscroft Bahamas has subcontracted the provision of these services to Ravenscroft Shipping Inc., a Miami-based related party of the Company. This agreement stipulates a fee of \$12.5 per month and per vessel.

Under these contracts, these related parties are to provide all services necessary for such companies to operate, including but not limited to crewing, insurance, accounting and other required services. Additionally, commissions and agency fees are paid to those related parties.

<TABLE>

For the three years ended December 31, 2004, management fees expensed to these related parties for such services amounted to:

<CAPTION>

	2004	2003	2002
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Oceanmarine	\$ 680	\$ 1,215	\$ 1,316
Ravenscroft	833	1,648	1,860
Total	\$ 1,513	\$ 2,863	\$ 3,176

</TABLE>

Additionally, during December 2004 the Company paid in advance to Oceanmarine some administrative fees for the year 2005 for about \$240. As of December 31, 2004, such amount was recorded in Prepaid expenses.

For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, Ravenscroft has provided certain other services to the Company in the amount of \$694, \$429 and \$151, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, ship management fees expensed for Lonehort S.A., a shipping agent wholly owned by UABL, for vessel administration services amounted to \$1,736, \$6,691 and \$6,599, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 the Company outsourced freight from UABL for \$42 and \$835, respectively. Besides the expense recovery from UABL totaled \$131, \$906 and \$543 for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and the administrative services fees to UABL totaled \$87, \$348 and \$348 during the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Pursuant to an agency agreement with Ultrapetrol S.A., I. Shipping Services S.A., a company under the same common control as Ultrapetrol, has agreed to perform the duties of port agent for the Company in Argentina. For each of the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 the amounts expensed for such services amounted to \$21, \$100 and \$235, respectively.

Venecia stock sold

In October 2001, the Company sold Venecia, a wholly owned subsidiary, to a related party, Windsor Financial Services Inc., at its book value with a remaining other receivable balance of \$834 and \$1,234 as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Financial advisory services

Prior to the commencement of the offering of its 2014 Senior Notes, an affiliate of one of Ultrapetrol shareholder, provided advice to the initial purchaser on the terms and structure of the proposed offering for which it was paid a fee of \$500.

In connection with the registration of the exchange notes, the Company and its subsidiary guarantors have appointed Ravenscroft as an authorized representative in the United States for a fee plus reimbursement of expenses. The Company and the subsidiary guarantors will also indemnify Ravenscroft of its services as authorized representative.

Sale and repurchase of vessel Princess Marina

In 2003 the Company entered into certain transactions to sell, and repurchase in 2006, to and from Maritima Sipsa S.A., a 49% owned company, the vessel Princess Marina.

The combined effect of the sale at \$15,100, repurchase at \$7,700 and a loan granted to Maritima Sipsa S.A. for \$7,400 resulted in no cash flow on consolidated basis at the time of execution. The loan is repaid to the

Company on a quarterly basis over a three-year period ending in June 2006, when the vessel will be delivered to the Company.

The transaction was recognized in the Company's statements of operations as a lease, reflecting quarterly payments as charter revenues for \$2,467 and \$1,953 in 2004 and 2003, respectively, while the vessel remains presented in the accompanying balance sheets as an asset.

#### Administration agreement with UP Offshore

On June 25, 2003 the Company signed an administration agreement with UP Offshore.

Under this agreement Ultrapetrol agrees to assist UP Offshore by providing management services required by the latter, including providing the services of the Chief Executive Officer and to provide ongoing management and commercial advisory services up to 2013.

The parties agreed that Ultrapetrol professional fees under this agreement shall be the 2% of UP Offshore annual EBITDA. None of such fees has been recognized in 2003 and 2004, because UP Offshore has not commenced its commercial operations.

#### Acquisition of land for a maritime terminal in Mexico

In October 2004 the Company through a subsidiary, purchased the 99.99% of Parque Ecologico Industrial Altamira S.A. (PEISA) for \$2,000 from a related party of its shareholder, LAIF. The only asset of PEISA is a land for expansion of the maritime oil products terminal in Mexico.

### 10. MAJOR CUSTOMERS AND CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

#### Major customers

As of December 31, 2003 revenues from three customers of the Company represented approximately \$20 million, \$10 million and \$9 million, respectively, of the Company's consolidated revenues. As of December 31, 2002 revenues from four customers of the Company represented approximately \$30 million, \$13 million, \$10 million and \$6 million, respectively, of the Company's consolidated revenues.

#### Concentration of credit risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, investment, accounts receivable, receivables from affiliates and other receivables.

The financial institutions are located in Argentina and the United States and the Company's cash management policy is designed to limit exposure to any one institution. As of December 31, 2004 the Company has restricted cash in Citibank N.A. for 327 and in All First Bank for 32,658.

Concentrations of credit risk with respect to accounts receivable are limited due to the large number of entities comprising the Company's customer base and their credit rating.

As of December 31, 2004 the Company's receivables from Windsor Financial Service Inc. amounted to 834.

The Company does not require collateral for this or other receivables.

### 11. BUSINESS AND GEOGRAPHIC SEGMENT INFORMATION

Since acquisition of UABL, the Company organizes its business and started to evaluate performance by its new three operating segments, ocean, river and offshore business. Prior to such acquisition the Company operated with no segments. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those for the consolidated financial statements (Note 2). The Company does not have significant intersegment transactions. These segments and their respective operations are as follows:

**Ocean business:** consists of international and inland transportation of petroleum and dry-cargo products by oceangoing vessels owned by its subsidiaries.

**River business:** consists of river transportation of refined petroleum and dry-cargo products by barges owned by its subsidiaries.

**Offshore business:** consist of platform supply vessels to provide transportation services to the offshore petroleum exploration and production companies. Such vessels are currently under construction and expected to start operations in 2005.



Ultrapetrol's oceangoing vessels operate on a worldwide basis and are not restricted to specific locations. Also, Ultrapetrol's river barges operate a river transportation business on the Parana, Paraguay and Uruguay rivers and part of River Plate in Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay. Accordingly, it is not possible to allocate the assets of these operations to specific countries. In addition, the Company does not manage its operating profit on a geographic basis.

<TABLE>

	For year ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Revenues (1)			
- South America	\$ 39,871	\$ 23,739	\$ 54,184
- Europe	30,356	21,349	5,317
- Asia	21,647	5,188	-
- Central America	3,286	10,351	10,129
- North America	-	14,606	3,494
	\$ 95,160	\$ 75,233	\$ 73,124

(1) Classified by country of domicile of charterers.

</TABLE>

Revenue by segment consists only of services provided to external customers, as reported in the consolidated statement of operations. Resources are allocated based on segment profit or loss from operations, after interest and taxes.

Identifiable assets represent those assets used in the operations of each segment.

<TABLE>

The following schedule presents segment information about the Company's operations for the year ended December 31, 2004:

<CAPTION>

	Ocean business	River business	Offshore business	Total
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Revenues	\$ 54,049	\$ 41,111	\$ -	\$ 95,160
Running and voyage expenses	12,963	27,852	-	40,815
Depreciation and amortization	13,483	5,205	-	18,688
Segment operating profit (losses)	22,831	5,217	(614)	27,434
Financial income	119	-	-	119
Financial expense	14,427	1,707	-	16,134
Financial gain on extinguishment of debt	1,344	-	-	1,344
Financial loss on extinguishment of debt	6,422	-	-	6,422
Income tax (provision) benefit	265	(1,065)	158	(642)
Segment assets	187,201	73,350	13,097	273,648
Investments in affiliates	145	2,365	13,097	15,607
Additions to long-lived assets	4,044	23,877	32,013	59,934
Contributions to affiliates	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,542	\$ 1,542

</TABLE>

In 2004 revenues from one customer of Ultrapetrol ocean and river business represents approximately \$31 million of the Company's consolidated revenues.

In 2004 revenues from one customer of Ultrapetrol ocean business represents approximately \$17 million of the Company's consolidated revenues.

## 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Interest and income taxes paid for the three years ended December 31, 2004, were as follows:

	For year ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Interest paid	\$ 18,346	\$ 14,983	\$ 15,641
Income taxes paid	\$ 784	\$ 182	\$ 108

## 13. TREASURY STOCK

On October 12, 2000 the Company through a wholly owned subsidiary, Avemar Holdings (Bahamas) Limited ("Avemar"), purchased 537,144 shares of the

Company previously owned by Societe Internationale D'Investissement S.A. (Bahamas) ("SII"). The nominal purchase price of said shares was \$20,000, \$8,000 of which was paid as of December 31, 2000 and the balance of which was payable \$6,400 in 2001, \$4,400 in 2002 and \$1,200 in 2003.

As of December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company presents \$20,332 in the "Treasury stock" account, \$20,000 of which relates to the amount paid to SII and \$332 relates to direct cost of acquisition.

14. SUMMARIZED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF UABL

Summarized financial information of UABL Limited is presented below, to the periods such affiliate was accounted for by the equity method:

a) Summarized balance sheet

	December 31, 2003
	-----
Current assets	12,311
Noncurrent assets	86,827
	-----
Total assets	99,138
	=====
Current liabilities	11,278
Noncurrent liabilities	22,497
	-----
Total liabilities	33,775
Shareholders' equity	65,363
	-----
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	99,138
	=====

b) Summarized statements of operations  
<TABLE>

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2003	2002
	-----	-----
<S>	<C>	<C>
Revenues	60,260	47,060
Operating expenses	(54,537)	(48,712)
	-----	-----
Operating income (loss)	5,723	(1,652)
Other income (expense)	(1,359)	(1,013)
	-----	-----
Income (loss) before tax on minimum presumed income and income tax	4,364	(2,665)
Recovery of tax on minimum presumed income	529	-
Tax on minimum presumed income	(3)	(200)
Income taxes	(623)	402
	-----	-----
Net income (loss) for the year	4,267	(2,463)
	=====	=====

</TABLE>

15. SUPPLEMENTAL GUARANTOR INFORMATION

On November 24, 2004, the Company issued \$180 million 9% First Preferred Ship Mortgage Notes due 2014.

The 2014 Senior Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several senior basis by the majority of the Company's subsidiaries directly involved in our ocean business.

The Indenture provides that the 2014 Senior Notes and each of the guarantees granted by Subsidiaries, other than the Mortgage, are governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the state of New York. Each of the mortgaged vessels is registered under either the Panamanian flag, or another jurisdiction with similar procedures. All of the Subsidiary Guarantors are outside of the United States.

Supplemental condensed combining financial information for the Guarantor Subsidiaries for the 2014 Senior Notes is presented below. This information is prepared in accordance with the Company's accounting policies. This supplemental financial disclosure has been prepared on the same basis described in note 2, and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements.

<TABLE>

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED COMBINING BALANCE SHEET  
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2004

(stated in thousands of US dollars)

<CAPTION>

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined non-subsiary guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Current assets					
Receivables from related parties	\$ 101,870	\$ 3,332	\$ 2,862	\$ (104,131)	\$ 3,933
Other current assets	627	11,440	21,131	-	33,198
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>102,497</b>	<b>14,772</b>	<b>23,993</b>	<b>(104,131)</b>	<b>37,131</b>
Noncurrent assets					
Property and equipment, net	-	95,353	65,182	-	160,535
Investment in affiliates	71,646	-	15,607	(71,646)	15,607
Other noncurrent assets	36,588	15,305	8,482	-	60,375
<b>Total noncurrent assets</b>	<b>108,234</b>	<b>110,658</b>	<b>89,271</b>	<b>(71,646)</b>	<b>236,517</b>
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 210,731</b>	<b>\$ 125,430</b>	<b>\$ 113,264</b>	<b>\$ (175,777)</b>	<b>\$ 273,648</b>
Current liabilities					
Payables to related parties	\$ -	\$ 97,184	\$ 7,715	\$ (104,131)	\$ 768
Other financial debt	1,620	-	9,183	-	10,803
Other current liabilities	201	4,854	7,064	-	12,119
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>1,821</b>	<b>102,038</b>	<b>23,962</b>	<b>(104,131)</b>	<b>23,690</b>
Noncurrent liabilities					
Long-term debt	180,000	-	-	-	180,000
Other financial debt, net of current portion	-	-	29,610	-	29,610
Other noncurrent liabilities	-	-	219	-	219
<b>Total noncurrent liabilities</b>	<b>180,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>29,829</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>209,829</b>
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>181,821</b>	<b>102,038</b>	<b>53,791</b>	<b>(104,131)</b>	<b>233,519</b>
Minority interests	-	-	-	6,468	6,468
Minority interests subject to put right	-	-	-	4,751	4,751
Shareholders' equity	\$ 28,910	\$ 23,392	\$ 59,473	\$ (82,865)	\$ 28,910
<b>Total liabilities, minority interests and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 210,731</b>	<b>\$ 125,430</b>	<b>\$ 113,264</b>	<b>\$ (175,777)</b>	<b>\$ 273,648</b>

</TABLE>

<TABLE>

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED COMBINING BALANCE SHEETS  
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2003

(stated in thousands of US dollars)

<CAPTION>

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined non-subsiary guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Current assets					
Receivables from related parties	\$ 97,692	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (88,335)	\$ 9,357
Other current assets	581	19,280	8,361	(4,684)	23,538
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>98,273</b>	<b>19,280</b>	<b>8,361</b>	<b>(93,019)</b>	<b>32,895</b>

Noncurrent assets					
Property and equipment, net	-	91,476	29,327	-	120,803
Investment in affiliates	45,092	-	-	(19,363)	25,729
Other noncurrent assets	18,828	9,176	730	-	28,734
Total noncurrent assets	63,920	100,652	30,057	(19,363)	175,266
Total assets	\$ 162,193	\$ 119,932	\$ 38,418	\$ (112,382)	\$ 208,161
Current liabilities					
Payables to related parties	\$ 7	\$ 89,983	\$ -	\$ (88,335)	\$ 1,655
Other financial debt	3,367	4,455	2,640	-	10,462
Other current liabilities	26	5,020	316	-	5,362
Total current liabilities	3,400	99,458	2,956	(88,335)	17,479
Noncurrent liabilities					
Long-term debt	135,000	-	-	(6,659)	128,341
Other financial debt, net of current portion	-	10,300	6,711	-	17,011
Total noncurrent liabilities	135,000	10,300	6,711	(6,659)	145,352
Total liabilities	\$ 138,400	\$ 109,758	\$ 9,667	\$ (94,994)	\$ 162,831
Minority interests	-	-	-	16,716	16,716
Minority interest subject to put rights	-	-	-	4,821	4,821
Shareholders' equity	\$ 23,793	\$ 10,174	\$ 28,751	\$ (38,925)	\$ 23,793
Total liabilities, minority interests and shareholders' equity	\$ 162,193	\$ 119,932	\$ 38,418	\$ (112,382)	\$ 208,161

</TABLE>

<TABLE>

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED COMBINING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

(stated in thousands of US dollars)

<CAPTION>

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined non-sub subsidiary guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Revenues	\$ -	\$ 61,856	\$ 54,121	\$ (20,817)	\$ 95,160
Operating expenses	(1,222)	(39,667)	(47,654)	20,817	(67,726)
Operating profit (loss)	(1,222)	22,189	6,467	-	27,434
Other income (expenses)	6,361	(9,236)	(1,396)	(16,242)	(20,513)
Income (loss) before income tax and minority interests	5,139	12,953	5,071	(16,242)	6,921
Income taxes	-	265	(907)	-	(642)
Minority interests	-	-	-	(1,140)	(1,140)
Net income (loss)	\$ 5,139	\$ 13,218	\$ 4,164	\$ (17,382)	\$ 5,139

</TABLE>

<TABLE>

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED COMBINING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

<CAPTION>

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined non-sub subsidiary guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
--	--------	--------------------------------	--	---------------------------	----------------------------

<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Revenues	\$ -	\$ 67,523	\$ 7,710	\$ -	\$ 75,233
Operating expenses	(994)	(68,943)	(3,875)	-	(73,812)
Operating profit (loss)	(994)	(1,420)	3,835	-	1,421
Other income (expenses)	(10,524)	(15,628)	(301)	15,032	(11,421)
Income before income tax and minority interests	(11,518)	(17,048)	3,534	15,032	(10,000)
Income taxes	-	(185)	-	-	(185)
Minority interests	-	-	-	(1,333)	(1,333)
Net income (loss)	\$ (11,518)	\$ (17,233)	\$ 3,534	\$ 13,699	\$ (11,518)

</TABLE>

<TABLE>

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED COMBINING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

(stated in thousands of US dollars)

<CAPTION>

<S>	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined non-sub subsidiary guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Revenues	\$ -	\$ 70,908	\$ 2,216	\$ -	\$ 73,124
Operating expenses	(1,355)	(67,208)	(1,607)	-	(70,170)
Operating profit (loss)	(1,355)	3,700	609	-	2,954
Other income (expenses)	(12,498)	(15,160)	(190)	11,323	(16,525)
Income (loss) before income tax and minority interests	(13,853)	(11,460)	419	11,323	(13,571)
Income taxes	-	(150)	-	-	(150)
Minority interests	-	-	-	(132)	(132)
Net income (loss)	\$ (13,853)	\$ (11,610)	\$ 419	\$ 11,191	\$ (13,853)

</TABLE>

<TABLE>

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

<CAPTION>

<S>	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined non-sub subsidiary guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Net income (loss)	\$ 5,139	\$ 13,218	\$ 4,164	\$ (17,382)	\$ 5,139
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:	(7,155)	10,333	(2,570)	17,382	17,990
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(2,016)	23,551	1,594	-	23,129
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(18,115)	(8,580)	(40,420)	9,559	(57,556)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	19,507	(12,526)	40,359	(9,559)	37,781
Net increase (decrease) in cash and					

cash equivalents	\$ (624)	\$ 2,445	\$ 1,533	\$ -	\$ 3,354
------------------	----------	----------	----------	------	----------

</TABLE>

<TABLE>

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

(stated in thousands of US dollars)

<CAPTION>

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined non-sub subsidiary guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Net income (loss)	\$ (11,518)	\$ (17,233)	\$ 3,534	\$ 13,699	\$ (11,518)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:	13,825	27,407	2,587	(13,699)	30,120
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	2,307	10,174	6,121	-	18,602
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	14,384	(4,491)	(14,309)	-	(4,416)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(16,644)	(3,299)	9,281	-	(10,662)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 47	\$ 2,384	\$ 1,093	\$ -	\$ 3,524

</TABLE>

<TABLE>

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED COMBINING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

<CAPTION>

	Parent	Combined subsidiary guarantors	Combined non-sub subsidiary guarantors	Consolidating adjustments	Total consolidated amounts
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Net income (loss)	\$ (13,853)	\$ (11,610)	\$ 419	\$ 11,191	\$ (13,853)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:	17,699	11,306	4,429	(11,191)	22,243
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	3,846	(304)	4,848	-	8,390
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	403	857	(16,683)	-	(15,423)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(4,490)	-	10,375	-	5,885
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (241)	\$ 553	\$ (1,460)	\$ -	\$ (1,148)

</TABLE>

16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

- Cape Pampas sale

In March 2005 Braddock Shipping Inc., entered into an agreement to sell its vessel, Cape Pampas for a total price of approximately \$39,900. The sale will be completed in the second quarter of 2005, at which time a gain on sale of approximately \$22 million will be recognized.

Braddock Shipping Inc. will use part of the proceeds from the sale mentioned above to cancel its financial obligations.

- New Flamenco acquisition

In March 2005, the Company entered into a contract with Cruise Elysia Inc. to purchase a Passengers Vessel, named New Flamenco for a total purchase price of \$13.5 million. The purchase price was funded by a combination of funds deposited in the Escrow Account (see Note 6) and available cash.

17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS TO THE DATE OF THE AUDITORS REPORT (Unaudited)

- World Renaissance acquisition

On April 6, 2005 the Company purchased at auction for a price of \$3.4 million the cruise vessel World Renaissance which was delivered and fully paid for on April 19, 2005.

- Mt sun Chemist acquisition

On April 28, 2005 the Company agreed to purchase the product tanker Mt Sun Chemist for a total price of \$10.3 million.

ITEM 19 - EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Articles of Incorporation and By-laws of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited.*
3.2	Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Baldwin Maritime Inc.*
3.3	Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Bayham Investments S.A.*
3.4	Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Cavalier Shipping Inc.*
3.5	Bylaws (English translation) of Corporacion De Navegacion Mundial S.A.*
3.6	Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Danube Maritime Inc.*
3.7	Articles of Incorporation and By-laws of General Ventures Inc.*
3.8	Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Imperial Maritime Ltd. (Bahamas) Inc.*
3.9	Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Kattegat Shipping Inc.*
3.10	Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association of Kingly Shipping Ltd.*
3.11	Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association of Majestic Maritime Ltd.*
3.12	Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws of Massena Port S.A. (English translation)*
3.13	Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association of Monarch Shipping Ltd.*
3.14	Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association of Noble Shipping Ltd.*
3.15	Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and Bylaws (English translation) of Oceanpar S.A.*
3.16	Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Oceanview Maritime Inc.*
3.17	Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws of Parfina S.A. (English translation)*
3.18	Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Parkwood Commercial Corp.*

- 3.19 Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Princely International Finance Corp.\*
- 3.20 Memorandum of Association (English translation) and Articles of Association of Regal International Investments S.A.\*
- 3.21 Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Riverview Commercial Corp.\*
- 3.22 Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association of Sovereign Maritime Ltd.\*
- 3.23 Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Stanmore Shipping Inc.\*
- 3.24 Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Tipton Marine Inc.\*
- 3.25 Articles of Incorporation (English translation) and By-laws of Ultrapetrol International S.A.\*
- 3.26 Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws of Ultrapetrol S.A. (English translation)\*
- 3.27 Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association of UP Offshore (Holdings) Ltd.\* 4.1 Form of Global Exchange Notes (attached as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.3).\*
- 4.2 Registration Rights Agreement dated November 10, 2004.\*
- 4.3 Indenture dated November 24, 2004.\*
- 4.4 Form of Subsidiary Guarantee (attached as Exhibit F to Exhibit 10.4).\*
- 12.1 Statement of Ratio of Earning to Fixed Charges
- 31.1 Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
- 32.2 Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

-----  
 \* Incorporated by reference to Exhibits of corresponding number in the Registration Statement of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited filed March 4, 2005 (Reg. No. 333-8878).

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and has duly caused this annual report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on May 1, 2005.

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED

/s/ Felipe Menendez Ross

-----  
 Felipe Menendez Ross  
 President

EXHIBIT 12.1

<TABLE>

ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED

STATEMENT OF RATIO OF EARNING TO FIXED CHARGES

<CAPTION>

Year Ended December 31,  
 -----



	2000 ----	2001 ----	2002 ----	2003 ----	2004 ----
	(dollars in thousands)				
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Consolidated income (loss) before Income tax and minority interest	\$ (-5,783)	\$ 2,805	\$ (-13,571)	\$ (-10,000)	\$ 6,921
Investment in affiliates	1,646	692	45	(-3,140)	(406)
Interest expense	16,061	17,113	16,178	(1)15,622	(1)15,566
Amortization of debt issue costs	585	585	585	585	568
	-----				
Earnings	12,509	21,195	3,237	3,067	22,649
	=====				
Interest expenses	16,061	17,113	16,178	(1)15,622	(1)15,566
Amortization of debt issue costs	585	585	585	585	568
	-----				
Fixed Charges	16,646	17,692	16,763	16,207	16,134
	=====				
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	_ (2)	1.2	_ (2)	_ (2)	1.4

(1) The interest expenses amounts presented in this exhibit do not include the Financial gain (loss) on extinguishments of debt amounting \$ 1,782 and \$ (5,078) for the year ended December 31, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

(2) In these fiscal years the earnings are inadequate to cover fixed charges.

</TABLE>

## EXHIBIT 12.1

&lt;TABLE&gt;

## ULTRAPETROL (BAHAMAS) LIMITED

## STATEMENT OF RATIO OF EARNING TO FIXED CHARGES

&lt;CAPTION&gt;

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	(dollars in thousands)				
	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Consolidated income (loss) before Income tax and minority interest	\$ (-5,783)	\$ 2,805	\$ (-13,571)	\$ (-10,000)	\$ 6,921
Investment in affiliates	1,646	692	45	(-3,140)	(406)
Interest expense	16,061	17,113	16,178	(1)15,622	(1)15,566
Amortization of debt issue costs	585	585	585	585	568
<b>Earnings</b>	<b>12,509</b>	<b>21,195</b>	<b>3,237</b>	<b>3,067</b>	<b>22,649</b>
Interest expenses	16,061	17,113	16,178	(1)15,622	(1)15,566
Amortization of debt issue costs	585	585	585	585	568
<b>Fixed Charges</b>	<b>16,646</b>	<b>17,692</b>	<b>16,763</b>	<b>16,207</b>	<b>16,134</b>
<b>Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges</b>	<b>_ (2)</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>_ (2)</b>	<b>_ (2)</b>	<b>1.4</b>

(1) The interest expenses amounts presented in this exhibit do not include the Financial gain (loss) on extinguishments of debt amounting \$ 1,782 and \$ (5,078) for the year ended December 31, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

(2) In these fiscal years the earnings are inadequate to cover fixed charges.

&lt;/TABLE&gt;

## CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, Felipe Menendez Ross, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this first amended annual report on Form 20-F of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in

the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 1, 2005

/s/ Felipe Menendez Ross

-----  
Felipe Menendez Ross

Director, Chief Executive  
Officer, and President

## CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, Alberto G. Deyros, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this first amended annual report on Form 20-F of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is

reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

2. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 1, 2005

/s/ Alberto G. Deyros

-----  
Alberto G. Deyros

Chief Financial Officer

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

In connection with this annual report of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited (the "Company") on Form 20-F for the period ending December 31, 2004, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned Chief Executive Officer of the Company, hereby certifies pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

This certification is made solely for the purposes of 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, and not for any other purpose.

Dated: May 1, 2005

/s/ Felipe Menendez Ross

-----  
Felipe Menendez Ross

Director, Chief Executive  
Officer, and President

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

In connection with this annual report of Ultrapetrol (Bahamas) Limited (the "Company") on Form 20-F for the period ending December 31, 2004, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certifies pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 that:

- (3) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (4) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

This certification is made solely for the purposes of 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, and not for any other purpose.

Date: May 1, 2005

/s/ Alberto G. Deyros

-----  
Alberto G. Deyros

Chief Financial Officer



