

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly report pursuant to sections 13 or 15(d)

Filing Date: **2013-01-10** | Period of Report: **2012-12-01**  
SEC Accession No. [0001193125-13-009544](#)

([HTML Version](#) on [secdatabase.com](#))

FILER

**SUPERVALU INC**

CIK:[95521](#) | IRS No.: **410617000** | State of Incorp.:**DE** | Fiscal Year End: **0225**  
Type: **10-Q** | Act: **34** | File No.: **001-05418** | Film No.: **13523639**  
SIC: **5411** Grocery stores

Mailing Address

*11840 VALLEY VIEW ROAD  
EDEN PRAIRIE MN 55344*

Business Address

*11840 VALLEY VIEW RD  
EDEN PRAIRIE MN 55344  
9528284000*

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period (12 weeks) ended December 1, 2012.

OR

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File Number: 1-5418

**SUPERVALU.**

**SUPERVALU INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

41-0617000

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

7075 FLYING CLOUD DRIVE

EDEN PRAIRIE, MINNESOTA

(Address of principal executive offices)

55344

(Zip Code)

(952) 828-4000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

As of January 4, 2013, there were 213,457,753 shares of the issuer' s common stock outstanding.

---

---

---

## Table of Contents

### SUPERVALU INC. and Subsidiaries

### Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Item</u>	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<b><u>1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u></b>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Segment Financial Information for the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011</u>	1
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the third quarter ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011</u>	2
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
<b><u>2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u></b>	19
<b><u>3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u></b>	31
<b><u>4. Controls and Procedures</u></b>	31
<b><u>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<b><u>1. Legal Proceedings</u></b>	32
<b><u>1A. Risk Factors</u></b>	33
<b><u>2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u></b>	33
<b><u>3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u></b>	33
<b><u>4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u></b>	33
<b><u>5. Other Information</u></b>	33
<b><u>6. Exhibits</u></b>	34

## PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**SUPERVALU INC. and Subsidiaries**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SEGMENT FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

(Unaudited)

(In millions, except percent data)

	Third Quarter Ended		Year-to-Date Ended	
	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011
<b>Net sales</b>				
Retail Food	\$ 4,961	\$ 5,359	\$ 16,982	\$ 18,297
% of total	62.7 %	64.3 %	64.0 %	65.7 %
Save-A-Lot	966	982	3,226	3,236
% of total	12.2 %	11.8 %	12.2 %	11.6 %
Independent Business	1,986	1,986	6,334	6,336
% of total	25.1 %	23.9 %	23.8 %	22.7 %
Total net sales	\$ 7,913	\$ 8,327	\$ 26,542	\$ 27,869
	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
<b>Operating earnings (loss)</b>				
Retail Food	\$ 84	\$ (818 )	\$ 100	\$ (541 )
% of sales	1.7 %	(15.3 %)	0.6 %	(3.0 %)
Save-A-Lot	28	59	105	178
% of sales	2.8 %	6.1 %	3.2 %	5.5 %
Independent Business	49	66	164	199
% of sales	2.5 %	3.3 %	2.6 %	3.1 %
Corporate	(4 )	(15 )	(44 )	(48 )
Total operating earnings (loss)	157	(708 )	325	(212 )
% of sales	2.0 %	8.5 %	1.2 %	(0.8 %)
<b>Interest expense, net</b>	126	119	422	394
<b>Earnings (loss) before income taxes</b>	31	(827 )	(97 )	(606 )
<b>Income tax provision (benefit)</b>	15	(77 )	(43 )	10
<b>Net earnings (loss)</b>	\$ 16	\$ (750 )	\$ (54 )	\$ (616 )

In the first quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company disaggregated its previous reportable segment, Retail Food, into two separate reportable segments: Retail Food and Save-A-Lot (formerly referred to as the Hard Discount operating segment within the Retail Food reportable segment). These changes do not revise or restate information previously reported in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings, Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, Consolidated Balance Sheets, Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity or Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Company for any period.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

**SUPERVALU INC. and Subsidiaries**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS**  
**(Unaudited)**

(In millions, except percent and per share data)

	Third Quarter Ended			
	December 1, 2012	% of Net sales	December 3, 2011	% of Net sales
Net sales	\$ 7,913	100.0 %	\$ 8,327	100.0 %
Cost of sales	6,234	78.8	6,518	78.3
Gross profit	1,679	21.2	1,809	21.7
Selling and administrative expenses	1,522	19.3	1,610	19.3
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges	-	0.0	907	10.9
Operating earnings (loss)	157	2.0	(708 )	(8.5 )
Interest expense, net	126	1.6	119	1.4
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	31	0.4	(827 )	(9.9 )
Income tax provision (benefit)	15	0.2	(77 )	(0.9 )
Net earnings (loss)	<u>\$16</u>	<u>0.2 %</u>	<u>\$(750 )</u>	<u>(9.0 %)</u>
Net earnings (loss) per share—basic	\$0.08		\$(3.54 )	
Net earnings (loss) per share—diluted	\$0.08		\$(3.54 )	
Dividends declared per share	\$-		\$0.0875	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:				
Basic	212		212	
Diluted	214		212	

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

SUPERVALU INC. and Subsidiaries  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS  
(Unaudited)

(In millions, except percent and per share data)

	Year-to-Date Ended			
	December 1, 2012	% of Net sales	December 3, 2011	% of Net sales
Net sales	\$ 26,542	100.0 %	\$ 27,869	100.0 %
Cost of sales	20,818	78.4	21,728	78.0
Gross profit	5,724	21.6	6,141	22.0
Selling and administrative expenses	5,325	20.1	5,446	19.5
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges	74	0.3	907	3.3
Operating earnings (loss)	325	1.2	(212 )	(0.8 )
Interest expense, net	422	1.6	394	1.4
Loss before income taxes	(97 )	(0.4 )	(606 )	(2.2 )
Income tax provision (benefit)	(43 )	(0.2 )	10	0.0
Net loss	<u>\$ (54 )</u>	<u>(0.2 )%</u>	<u>\$ (616 )</u>	<u>(2.2 )%</u>
Net loss per share—basic	\$ (0.26 )		\$ (2.91 )	
Net loss per share—diluted	\$ (0.26 )		\$ (2.91 )	
Dividends declared per share	\$ 0.0875		\$ 0.2625	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:				
Basic	212		212	
Diluted	212		212	

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

---

[Table of Contents](#)

## SUPERVALU INC. and Subsidiaries

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

(In millions)

	Third Quarter Ended		Year-to-Date Ended	
	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011
<b>Net earnings (loss)</b>	\$ 16	\$ (750 )	\$ (54 )	\$ (616 )
<b>Other comprehensive income:</b>				
Recognition of pension and other postretirement benefits gain, net of tax of \$10, \$8, \$30, and \$42, respectively	16	14	56	67
<b>Comprehensive income (loss)</b>	<u>\$ 32</u>	<u>\$ (736 )</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ (549 )</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



[Table of Contents](#)

**SUPERVALU INC. and Subsidiaries**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
**(In millions, except par value data)**

	December 1, 2012	February 25, 2012
	(Unaudited)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 155	\$ 157
Receivables, net	713	730
Inventories	2,402	2,150
Other current assets	195	188
<b>Total current assets</b>	<u>3,465</u>	<u>3,225</u>
<b>Property, plant and equipment, net</b>	5,980	6,362
<b>Goodwill</b>	847	847
<b>Intangible assets, net</b>	703	809
<b>Other assets</b>	862	810
<b>Total assets</b>	<u>\$ 11,857</u>	<u>\$ 12,053</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 2,423	\$ 2,519
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	259	388
Other current liabilities	592	683
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<u>3,274</u>	<u>3,590</u>
<b>Long-term debt and capital lease obligations</b>	6,180	5,868
<b>Pension and other postretirement benefit obligations</b>	1,027	1,126
<b>Other long-term liabilities</b>	1,369	1,448
<b>Commitments and contingencies</b>		
<b>Stockholders' equity</b>		
Common stock, \$0.01 and \$1.00 par value, respectively: 400 shares authorized; 230 shares issued	2	230
Capital in excess of par value	3,044	2,855
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(601 )	(657 )
Retained deficit	(1,965 )	(1,892 )
Treasury stock, at cost, 17 and 18 shares, respectively	(473 )	(515 )
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<u>7</u>	<u>21</u>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<u>\$ 11,857</u>	<u>\$ 12,053</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

**SUPERVALU INC. and Subsidiaries**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**(In millions)**

	Year-to-Date Ended	
	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>		
Net loss	\$(54 )	\$ (616 )
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges	74	907
Depreciation and amortization	679	680
LIFO charge	15	57
Asset impairment and other charges	172	10
Net gain on sale of assets and exits of surplus leases	(59 )	(12 )
Deferred income taxes	(63 )	(37 )
Stock-based compensation	12	12
Net pension and other postretirement benefits cost	91	93
Contributions to pension and other postretirement benefit plans	(103 )	(87 )
Other adjustments	24	13
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	(431 )	(502 )
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<u>357</u>	<u>518</u>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>		
Proceeds from sale of assets	80	123
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(438 )	(412 )
Other	(2 )	4
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<u>(360 )</u>	<u>(285 )</u>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of debt	1,713	291
Payment of debt and capital lease obligations	(1,609 )	(437 )
Dividends paid	(37 )	(56 )
Other	(66 )	(7 )
<b>Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities</b>	<u>1</u>	<u>(209 )</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2 )	24
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	157	172
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at the end of period</b>	<u>\$ 155</u>	<u>\$ 196</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

---

## Table of Contents

### SUPERVALU INC. and Subsidiaries

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(Dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

##### *Statement of Registrant*

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of SUPERVALU INC. (“SUPERVALU” or the “Company”) for the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011 are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, contain all adjustments that are of a normal and recurring nature necessary to present fairly the financial condition and results of operations for such periods. The condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012. The results of operations for the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full year. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of February 25, 2012 has been derived from the audited Consolidated Balance Sheet as of that date.

##### *Accounting Policies*

The summary of significant accounting policies is included in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012.

##### *Fiscal Year*

The Company’s fiscal year ends on the last Saturday in February. The Company’s first quarter consists of 16 weeks, while the second, third and fourth quarters each consist of 12 weeks. Because of differences in the accounting calendars of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, New Albertson’s, Inc., the accompanying December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets include the assets and liabilities related to New Albertson’s, Inc. as of November 29, 2012 and February 23, 2012, respectively.

##### *Use of Estimates*

The preparation of the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

##### *Reclassifications*

During the first quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company revised the presentation of its reportable segments, which resulted in the reclassification of certain prior year amounts in the Company’s Condensed Consolidated Segment Financial Information to conform to the current period’s presentation.

##### *Cash and Cash Equivalents*

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. The Company’s banking arrangements allow the Company to fund outstanding checks when presented to the financial institution for payment, resulting in book overdrafts. Book overdrafts are recorded in Accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and are reflected as an operating activity in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, the Company had net book overdrafts of \$279 and \$268, respectively.

##### *Inventories*

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Substantially all of the Company’s inventory consists of finished goods.



---

## Table of Contents

The Company uses one of either replacement cost, weighted average cost, or the retail inventory method (“RIM”) to value discrete inventory items at lower of cost or market before application of any last-in, first-out (“LIFO”) reserve. As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, approximately 77 percent and 78 percent, respectively, of the Company’s inventories were valued under the LIFO method.

As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, approximately 39 percent and 42 percent, respectively, of the Company’s inventories were valued under the replacement cost method before application of any LIFO reserve. The weighted average cost and RIM methods of inventory valuation together comprised approximately 38 percent and 36 percent of inventory as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, respectively, before application of any LIFO reserve.

Under the replacement cost method applied on a LIFO basis, the most recent purchase cost is used to calculate the current cost of inventory before application of any LIFO reserve. The replacement cost approach results in inventories being valued at the lower of cost or market because of the high inventory turnover and the resulting low inventory days supply on hand combined with infrequent vendor price changes for these items of inventory.

The Company uses one of either cost, weighted average cost, RIM or replacement cost to value certain discrete inventory items under the first-in, first-out method (“FIFO”). The replacement cost approach under the FIFO method is predominantly utilized in determining the value of high turnover perishable items, including Produce, Deli, Bakery, Meat and Floral.

As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, approximately 19 percent and 18 percent, respectively, of the Company’s inventories were valued using the cost, weighted average cost and RIM methods under the FIFO method of inventory accounting. The remaining 4 percent of the Company’s inventories as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012 were valued using the replacement cost approach under the FIFO method of inventory accounting. The replacement cost approach applied under the FIFO method results in inventories recorded at the lower of cost or market because of the very high inventory turnover and the resulting low inventory days supply for these items of inventory.

### *Impairment of Long-Lived Assets*

The Company monitors the recoverability of its long-lived assets such as buildings and equipment, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable, including current period losses combined with a history of losses or a projection of continuing losses, a significant decrease in the market value of an asset or the Company’s plans for store closures. When such events or changes in circumstances occur, a recoverability test is performed by comparing projected undiscounted future cash flows to the carrying value of the group of assets being tested.

If impairment is identified for long-lived assets to be held and used, the fair value is compared to the carrying value of the group of assets and an impairment charge is recorded for the excess of the carrying value over the discounted future cash flows.

For long-lived assets that are classified as assets held for sale, the Company recognizes impairment charges for the excess of the carrying value plus estimated costs of disposal over the estimated fair value. Fair value is based on current market values or discounted future cash flows using Level 3 inputs. The Company estimates fair value based on the Company’s experience and knowledge of the market in which the property is located and, when necessary, utilizes local real estate brokers.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company announced the closure of approximately 60 non-strategic stores, resulting in an impairment of \$35 on certain of these stores’ long-lived assets.

The Company groups long-lived assets with other assets at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets, which historically has been at the geographic market level.

In response to leadership changes during the second quarter of fiscal 2013 and strategic decisions, the Company initiated the evaluation of its current long-lived asset policy of assessing cashflow and grouping long-lived assets at the geographic market level.

During the initial review of its current policy during the second quarter of fiscal 2013 the Company determined it would be more appropriate to evaluate long-lived assets for impairment at the store level for certain geographic markets which have continued to show higher indicators of economic decline. During the third quarter of fiscal 2013 the Company identified a further geographic market at which it would be more appropriate to evaluate long-lived assets for impairment at the store level, and recorded a pre-tax non-cash impairment charge of approximately \$2.

The Company determined that impairment of its long-lived assets for certain asset groups had occurred based on reviewing estimated cash flows for the asset groups, which were less than their carrying values. The Company's estimate of undiscounted cash flows attributable to the asset groups included only future cash flows that are directly associated with and that are expected to arise as a direct result of the use and eventual disposition of the asset group.

During the second quarter and third quarter of fiscal 2013, based upon the results of impairment testing which indicated the carrying value exceeded the fair value of the asset groups, the Company recorded a pre-tax non-cash impairment charge of approximately \$24 and \$2, respectively, related to equipment, capitalized lease assets, leasehold improvements, and favorable operating lease intangible assets in the Retail Food segment. This charge is a component of Selling and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

## Table of Contents

Due to the ongoing business transformation and highly competitive environment, the Company will continue to evaluate its long-lived asset policy and current asset groups, to determine if additional modifications to the policy are necessary. Future changes to the Company's assessment of its long-lived asset policy and changes in circumstances, operating results or other events may result in additional asset impairment testing and charges.

### *Net Earnings (Loss) Per Share*

Basic net earnings (loss) per share is calculated using net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net earnings per share is similar to basic net earnings per share except that the weighted average number of shares outstanding is after giving effect to the dilutive impacts of stock options, restricted stock awards and other dilutive securities. In addition, during fiscal 2012 for the calculation of diluted net earnings per share, net earnings are adjusted to eliminate the after-tax interest expense recognized during the period related to contingently convertible debentures, if dilutive.

The following table reflects the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per share:

	Third Quarter Ended		Year-to-Date Ended	
	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011
<b>Net earnings (loss) per share—basic</b>				
Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 16	\$ (750 )	\$ (54 )	\$ (616 )
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	212	212	212	212
Net earnings (loss) per share—basic	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54 )	\$ (0.26 )	\$ (2.91 )
<b>Net earnings (loss) per share—diluted</b>				
Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 16	\$ (750 )	\$ (54 )	\$ (616 )
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	212	212	212	212
Dilutive impact of options and restricted stock outstanding	2	—	—	—
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted	214	212	212	212
Net earnings (loss) per share—diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54 )	\$ (0.26 )	\$ (2.91 )

Options of 25 shares were outstanding during the third quarter ended December 1, 2012, but were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive. Options and restricted stock of 21 shares were outstanding during the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012, but were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive when applied to a net loss. Options and restricted stock of 20 and 21 shares were outstanding during the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 3, 2011, respectively, but were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share as the effect of their inclusion would be antidilutive when applied to a net loss.

### *Stockholders' Equity*

On July 17, 2012, the Company changed the par value of the Company's common stock from \$1.00 to \$0.01 per share. This amendment resulted in a reduction to Common stock of approximately \$228 and a corresponding increase of \$228 to Capital in excess of par value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 8, 2012. In addition, on July 11, 2012, the Company announced that it had suspended the payment of the regular quarterly dividend. The Board of Directors will continue to review its dividend policy annually.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### NOTE 2 – GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Changes in the Company's Goodwill and Intangible assets consisted of the following:

	February 25, 2012	Additions	Impairments	Other net adjustments	December 1, 2012
<b>Goodwill:</b>					
Independent Business goodwill	\$ 710	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 710
Save-A-Lot goodwill	137	–	–	–	137
Total goodwill	<u>\$ 847</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 847</u>
	February 25, 2012	Additions/ Amortization	Impairments	Other net adjustments	December 1, 2012
<b>Intangible assets:</b>					
Trademarks and tradenames - indefinite useful lives	\$ 469	\$ –	\$ (74 )	\$ –	\$ 395
Favorable operating leases, customer lists, customer relationships and other (accumulated amortization of \$361 and \$331 as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, respectively)	664	3	(14 )	11	664
Non-compete agreements (accumulated amortization of \$4 and \$4 as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, respectively)	11	–	–	(1 )	10
Total intangible assets	1,144	3	(88 )	10	1,069
Accumulated amortization	(335 )	(37 )		6	(366 )
Total intangible assets, net	<u>\$ 809</u>				<u>\$ 703</u>

The Company applies a fair value based impairment test to the net book value of goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives on an annual basis and on an interim basis if events or circumstances indicate that an impairment loss may have occurred.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013 the Company experienced declines in revenues and announced the closure of certain stores that are operated under tradenames with assigned carrying values. As a result, the Company performed an interim impairment test of certain intangible assets with indefinite useful lives, which indicated the carrying values of certain intangible assets with indefinite useful lives exceeded their estimated fair values. The Company recorded a pre-tax non-cash impairment charge of \$74 in the Retail Food segment for Trademarks and tradenames with indefinite useful lives during the second quarter of fiscal 2013. There were no impairment charges recorded in the third quarter of fiscal 2013 with respect to Trademarks and tradenames.

Fair values of the Company's tradenames were determined primarily by discounting an assumed royalty value applied to projected future revenues associated with the tradename based on management's expectations of the current and future operating environment. The royalty cash flows are discounted using rates based on the weighted average cost of capital and the specific risk profile of the tradenames relative to the Company's other assets. These estimates are impacted by variable factors including inflation, the general health of the economy and market competition. The calculation of the impairment charge contains significant judgments and estimates including weighted average cost of capital and the specified risk profile of the tradename and future revenue and profitability.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company recorded a \$14 impairment charge for favorable operating lease intangible assets in the Retail Food segment, of which \$12 related to the announced closure of approximately 60 non-strategic stores and \$2 related to underperforming stores. The impairment charges were measured using Level 3 fair value inputs and are a component of Selling and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.



During the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company recorded preliminary non-cash impairment charges of \$907, comprised of \$661 of goodwill and \$246 of intangible assets with indefinite useful lives. The impairment was due to the significant and sustained decline in the Company' s market capitalization and updated discounted future cash flows. The calculation of the preliminary impairment charges contain significant judgments and estimates including weighted average cost of capital, future revenue, profitability, cash flows and fair values of assets and liabilities.

Amortization expense of intangible assets with definite useful lives was \$37 and \$43 for the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011, respectively. Future amortization expense will average approximately \$28 per fiscal year for each of the next five fiscal years.

### **NOTE 3 – RESERVES FOR CLOSED PROPERTIES**

The Company maintains reserves for costs associated with closures of retail stores, distribution centers and other properties that are no longer being utilized in current operations. The Company provides for closed property operating lease liabilities using a discount rate to calculate the present value of the remaining noncancellable lease payments after the closing date, reduced by estimated subtenant rentals that could be reasonably obtained for the property. Adjustments to closed property reserves primarily relate to changes in subtenant income or actual exit costs differing from original estimates.

## Table of Contents

Changes in the Company' s reserves for closed properties consisted of the following:

	<u>December 1,</u> <u>2012</u>
Reserves for closed properties at beginning of fiscal year	\$ 141
Additions	40
Payments	(32 )
Adjustments	(5 )
Reserves for closed properties at end of period	<u>\$ 144</u>

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company announced the closure of approximately 60 non-strategic stores. Reserves for operating lease related to these closed properties are recorded at the time of closing. During the third quarter of fiscal 2013, the majority of these previously announced store closings were completed. The Company recorded a charge of \$28 during the third quarter of fiscal 2013. The Company expects that the majority of the remaining store closures will be completed by February 23, 2013, the end of the Company' s 2013 fiscal year. The calculation of the closed property charges requires significant judgments and estimates including estimated subtenant rentals, discount rates, and future cash flows based on the Company' s experience and knowledge of the market in which the closed property is located, and previous efforts to dispose of similar assets and existing market conditions.

### **NOTE 4 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value are categorized using defined hierarchical levels directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair value measurements, as follows:

- Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable;
- Level 3 – Unobservable inputs in which little or no market activity exists, requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions that market participants would use to value the asset or liability.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company recorded \$74 of intangible asset impairment charges, which were measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs. During the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 3, 2011, the Company recorded \$907 of goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges, which were measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs. Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges are discussed in Note 2 - Goodwill and Intangible Assets.

During the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012, Property, plant and equipment and favorable operating lease intangible related assets with carrying amounts of \$163 were written down to their fair value of \$82, resulting in an impairment charge of \$81 primarily related to the announced closing of approximately 60 non-strategic stores. During the year-to-date ended December 3, 2011, Property, plant and equipment related assets with a carrying amount of \$130 were written down to their fair value of \$120, resulting in an impairment charge of \$10. Property, plant and equipment and favorable operating lease intangible related impairment charges were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis using Level 3 inputs and are a component of Selling and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

#### *Financial Instruments*

For certain of the Company' s financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable, the fair values approximate book values due to their short maturities.

The estimated fair value of notes receivable was greater than the book value by approximately \$2 as of December 1, 2012, and the estimated fair value of notes receivable approximated the book value as of February 25, 2012. Notes receivable are valued based on a discounted cash flow approach applying a market rate for similar instruments.

The estimated fair value of the Company' s long-term debt (including current maturities) was less than the book value by approximately \$682 and \$171 as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, respectively. The estimated fair value was based on market quotes, where available, or market values for similar instruments.

## Table of Contents

### NOTE 5 – LONG-TERM DEBT

The Company's long-term debt and capital lease obligations consisted of the following:

	December 1, 2012	February 25, 2012
8.00% Secured Term Loan Facility due August 2018	\$ 848	\$ –
2.23% to 4.25% Revolving ABL Credit Facility due August 2017	636	–
3.74% to 5.00% Revolving Credit Facility and Variable Rate Notes due April 2015 - April 2018	–	1,074
8.00% Notes due May 2016	1,000	1,000
7.45% Debentures due August 2029	650	650
7.50% Notes due November 2014	490	490
6.34% to 7.15% Medium Term Notes due Feb 2013 - June 2028	434	440
8.00% Debentures due May 2031	400	400
8.00% Debentures due June 2026	272	272
8.70% Debentures due May 2030	225	225
7.75% Debentures due June 2026	200	200
7.25% Notes due May 2013	140	140
Accounts Receivable Securitization Facility	115	55
7.90% Debentures due May 2017	96	96
7.50% Notes due May 2012	–	282
Other	49	52
Net discount on debt, using an effective interest rate of 6.28% to 8.97%	(232 )	(216 )
Capital lease obligations	1,116	1,096
Total debt and capital lease obligations	6,439	6,256
Less current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(259 )	(388 )
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	<u>\$ 6,180</u>	<u>\$ 5,868</u>

Certain of the Company's credit facilities and long-term debt agreements have restrictive covenants and cross-default provisions which generally provide, subject to the Company's right to cure, for the acceleration of payments due in the event of a breach of a covenant or a default in the payment of a specified amount of indebtedness due under certain other debt agreements. The Company was in compliance with all such covenants and provisions for all periods presented.

On August 30, 2012, the Company entered into two new credit agreements: (i) a five-year \$1,650 (subject to borrowing base availability) asset-based revolving credit facility (the "Revolving ABL Credit Facility"), secured by the Company's inventory, credit card receivables and certain other assets, which will bear interest at the rate of London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 1.75 percent to 2.25 percent or prime plus 0.75 percent to 1.25 percent, with facility fees ranging from 0.25 percent to 0.375 percent, depending on utilization and (ii) a new six-year \$850 term loan (the "Secured Term Loan Facility"), secured by a portion of the Company's real estate and equipment, which will bear interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 6.75 percent and include a floor on LIBOR set at 1.25 percent. These agreements replaced the Company's senior secured credit facilities, which were composed of a \$1,500 revolving credit facility under which \$280 was outstanding, scheduled to mature in April 2015, a \$574 term loan B-2 scheduled to mature in October 2015 and a \$446 term loan B-3 scheduled to mature in April 2018. On August 30, 2012, the Company paid and capitalized \$59 in loan origination and financing costs which is included in Other Assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Revolving ABL Credit Facility is guaranteed by certain of the Company's material subsidiaries. To secure the obligations under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, the Company has granted a perfected first-priority security interest for the benefit of the Revolving ABL Credit Facility lenders in its present and future inventory, credit card and certain other receivables, prescription files and related assets. In addition, the obligations under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility will be secured by second-priority liens on and security interests in the collateral securing the Secured Term Loan Facility, limited as required by the existing public indentures of the Company, such that the respective debt issued need not be equally and ratably secured.

The Secured Term Loan Facility is also guaranteed by certain of the Company's material subsidiaries. To secure their obligations under the Secured Term Loan Facility, the Company granted a perfected first-priority mortgage lien and security interest for the benefit of the Secured Term Loan Facility lenders in certain of the Company's owned or ground-leased real estate and the equipment located on such real estate. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$1,081 of owned or ground-leased real estate and associated equipment pledged as collateral, classified in Property, plant and equipment, net in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. In addition, the obligations under the Secured Term Loan Facility are secured by second-priority secured interests in the collateral securing the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, subject to certain limitations to ensure compliance with the Company's other outstanding debt instruments.

---

## Table of Contents

The loans under the Secured Term Loan Facility may be voluntarily prepaid at any time, subject to a prepayment fee in certain re-pricing circumstances. The Secured Term Loan Facility has required repayments, equal to 1.00 percent of the initial drawn balance each year, payable quarterly, with the entire remaining balance due at the six year anniversary of the inception date. In addition, the Company must apply net cash proceeds (as defined in the Secured Term Loan Facility) from certain types of asset sales in amounts ranging from 50 percent to 100 percent of the net cash proceeds of such transactions (excluding proceeds of the collateral security of the Revolving ABL Credit Facility and other secured indebtedness) to prepay the loans outstanding under the Secured Term Loan Facility. The Company must also prepay the loans outstanding by up to 50 percent of its annual excess cash flow (as defined in the Secured Term Loan Facility). As of December 1, 2012, the loans outstanding under the Secured Term Loan Facility had a remaining principal balance of \$848 at LIBOR plus 6.75 percent and include a LIBOR floor of 1.25 percent, of which \$9 was classified as current.

The Revolving ABL Credit Facility and Secured Term Loan Facility contain customary representations and warranties. These facilities also contain certain operating covenants, which restrict the ability of the Company to take certain actions without the permission of the lenders or as otherwise permitted under the facilities. Although these facilities do not require the Company to comply with any financial ratio maintenance covenants, if the excess availability is less than 10 percent of the aggregate commitments under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, the Company is not permitted to borrow any additional amounts thereunder unless the Company meets a financial ratio set forth in the Revolving ABL Credit Facility.

As of December 1, 2012, there was \$636 of outstanding borrowings under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility at rates ranging from LIBOR plus 2.00 percent to prime plus 1.00 percent. Facility fees under this facility were 0.375 percent. Letters of credit outstanding under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility were \$354 at fees up to 2.125 percent and the unused available credit under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility was \$599. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$2,385 of inventories collateralized by the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, as well as all of the Company's pharmacy scripts, included in Intangible assets, net and all credit card receivables of wholly-owned stores, included in Cash and cash equivalents in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In November 2011, the Company amended and extended its accounts receivable securitization program until November 2014. The Company can borrow up to \$200 on a revolving basis, with borrowings secured by eligible accounts receivable, which remain under the Company's control. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$115 of outstanding borrowings under this facility at 2.01 percent. Facility fees on the unused portion are 0.70 percent. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$285 of accounts receivable pledged as collateral, included in Receivables in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, the Company had \$23 and \$28, respectively, of debt with current maturities that are classified as long-term debt due to the Company's intent to refinance such obligations with the Revolving ABL Credit Facility or other long-term debt.

### **NOTE 6 – INCOME TAXES**

During the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 the Company's unrecognized tax benefits decreased by \$14 from the amount disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012, which was primarily the result of filing amended tax returns in states where uncertain tax positions existed. The Company does not anticipate that its total unrecognized tax benefits will change significantly in the next 12 months.

The effective tax rate for the quarter ended December 1, 2012 of 47.1 percent of earnings before income taxes, included a net \$3 of additional provision primarily to reflect amounts taken on tax filings. The effective tax rate for the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 of 43.9 percent included \$5 of net discrete tax benefit resulting from tax planning strategies which is non-recurring. The tax rates for the quarter and year-to-date ended December 3, 2011 of 9.3 percent and 1.6 percent of loss before income taxes, respectively, and reflect the impact of goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges, the majority of which are non-deductible for income tax purposes.

### **NOTE 7 – STOCK-BASED AWARDS**

The Company recognized total stock-based compensation of \$3 for the third quarter ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011. The Company recognized total stock-based compensation of \$12 for the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011. These costs were included in Selling and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

*Long-Term Incentive Plans*

In May 2012, the Company granted 5 performance award units to certain employees under the SUPERVALU INC. 2007 Stock Plan as part of the Company' s long-term incentive program ("2013 LTIP"). Payout of the award will be based on the increase in share price over the three-year service period ending May 1, 2015, and will be settled in the Company' s stock.



## Table of Contents

The grant date fair value used to determine compensation expense associated with the performance grant was calculated utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation. The assumptions related to the valuation of the Company's 2013 LTIP consisted of the following:

	<u>2013</u>
Dividend yield	4.1%
Volatility rate	44.8 – 45.8%
Risk-free interest rate	0.4%
Expected life	3.0 years

The grant date fair value of the 2013 LTIP award was \$1.38 per award unit. At the end of the three-year service period, the award units will be converted to shares based on the aggregate award value as determined by multiplying the award units by the increase in the Company share price over the service period above the \$5.77 grant date share price. The aggregate award will be divided by the closing market price as of May 1, 2015, to determine the number of shares awarded.

In April 2011, the Company granted performance awards to employees under the SUPERVALU INC. 2007 Stock Plan as part of the Company's long-term incentive program ("2012 LTIP"). Payout of the award, if at all, will be based on the highest payout under the terms of the grant based on the increase in market capitalization over the service period, or the achievement of financial goals for the three-year period ending February 22, 2014. Awards will be settled equally in cash and the Company's stock.

The fair value used to determine compensation expense associated with the Company's 2012 LTIP performance grant is calculated utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation. The assumptions related to the valuation of the Company's 2012 LTIP consisted of the following:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Dividend yield	– %	4.2%
Volatility rate	76.7 – 79.1%	47.4 – 51.6%
Risk-free interest rate	0.2 – 1.2%	0.3 – 1.2%
Expected life	1.7 – 3.1 years	2.7 – 3.1 years

The grant date fair value of the 2012 LTIP award was \$2.40 per share. The fair value of the cash portion of the award is classified as a liability and is remeasured at fair value each reporting period. As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, the fair value of the cash portion of the award was \$0.13 and \$0.57 per share, respectively. The minimum payout value of cash and stock is \$0 and the aggregate maximum amount the Company could be required to payout is \$177.

### *Stock Options*

On July 17, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors granted non-qualified stock options to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, and the Leadership Development and Compensation Committee of the Board granted non-qualified stock options to certain employees, under the Company's 2012 Stock Plan. The Company granted 8 stock options with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$0.98 per share as part of a broad-based employee incentive initiative designed to retain and motivate employees across the Company as it pursues its business transformation strategy. These options vest over three years.

The Company used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of the options at grant date based upon the following assumptions.

	<u>2013</u>
Dividend yield	2.1%
Volatility rate	60.5 – 61.2%
Risk-free interest rate	0.6%
Expected life	4.5 – 5.0 years

The Company did not grant any shares under stock options during the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 3, 2011.

### *Restricted Stock Awards*

In April 2012, the Company granted 1 share of restricted stock awards (“RSAs”) to certain employees under the Company’ s fiscal 2012 bonus plan at a fair value of \$6.15 per share. The RSAs will vest over a three year period from the date of grant.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### NOTE 8 – BENEFIT PLANS

Substantially all employees of the Company and its subsidiaries are covered by various contributory and non-contributory pension, profit sharing or 401(k) plans. Most union employees participate in multiemployer retirement plans under collective bargaining agreements, unless the collective bargaining agreement provides for participation in plans sponsored by the Company. In addition to sponsoring both defined benefit and defined contribution pension plans, the Company provides healthcare and life insurance benefits for eligible retired employees under postretirement benefit plans. The Company also provides certain health and welfare benefits, including short-term and long-term disability benefits to inactive disabled employees prior to retirement. The terms of the postretirement benefit plans vary based on employment history, age and date of retirement. For most retirees, the Company provides a fixed dollar contribution and retirees pay contributions to fund the remaining cost.

Effective December 31, 2007, the Company authorized amendments to the SUPERVALU Retirement Plan and certain supplemental executive retirement benefit plans whereby service crediting ended in these plans and no employees will become eligible to participate in these plans after December 31, 2007. Pay increases will continue to be reflected in the amount of benefit earned in these plans until December 31, 2012.

Effective August 23, 2011, the Company amended the SUPERVALU Retiree Benefit Plan to modify benefits provided by the plan. The result of this amendment was a reduction in the other postretirement benefit obligation of \$39 with a corresponding decrease to other comprehensive loss, net of tax.

Net periodic benefit expense for defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans consisted of the following:

	Third Quarter Ended			
	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	December 1,	December 3,	December 1,	December 3,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 3	\$ 2	\$ –	\$ 1
Interest cost	31	32	1	2
Expected return on assets	(34 )	(29 )	–	–
Amortization of prior service benefit	–	–	(3 )	(3 )
Amortization of net actuarial loss	27	21	2	1
Net periodic benefit expense	<u>\$ 27</u>	<u>\$ 26</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>

  

	Year-to-Date Ended			
	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	December 1,	December 3,	December 1,	December 3,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 9	\$ 7	\$ 1	\$ 2
Interest cost	104	106	4	6
Expected return on assets	(113 )	(96 )	–	–
Amortization of prior service benefit	–	–	(10 )	(6 )
Amortization of net actuarial loss	91	71	5	3
Net periodic benefit expense	<u>\$ 91</u>	<u>\$ 88</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 5</u>

During the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012, the Company made contributions of \$99 to its pension plans and \$4 to its other postretirement benefit plans. During the year-to-date ended December 3, 2011, the Company made contributions of \$85 to its pension plans and \$2 to its other postretirement benefit plans.

#### *Multi-Employer Plans*

The Company contributes to various multi-employer pension plans under collective bargaining agreements, primarily defined benefit pension plans. These plans generally provide retirement benefits to participants based on their service to contributing employers. Based

on available information, the Company believes that some of the multi-employer plans to which it contributes are underfunded. Company contributions to these plans could increase in the near term. However, the amount of any increase or decrease in contributions will depend on a variety of factors, including the results of the Company's collective bargaining efforts, investment returns on the assets held in the plans, actions taken by the trustees who manage the plans and requirements under the Pension Protection Act and Section 412(e) of the Internal Revenue Code. Furthermore, if the Company was to significantly reduce contributions, exit certain markets or otherwise cease making contributions to these plans, it could trigger a partial or complete withdrawal that would require the Company to recognize its proportionate share of a plan's unfunded vested benefits. During the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011, the Company contributed \$105 and \$101 to these plans, respectively.

---

## [Table of Contents](#)

The Company also makes contributions to multiemployer health and welfare plans in amounts set forth in the related collective bargaining agreements. A small minority of collective bargaining agreements contain reserve requirements that may trigger unanticipated contributions resulting in increased healthcare expenses. If these healthcare provisions cannot be renegotiated in a manner that reduces the prospective healthcare cost as the Company intends, the Company's Selling and administrative expenses could increase in the future.

### **NOTE 9 – COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES AND OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

#### *Guarantees and Commitments*

The Company has outstanding guarantees related to certain leases, fixture financing loans and other debt obligations of various retailers as of December 1, 2012. These guarantees were generally made to support the business growth of independent retail customers. The guarantees are generally for the entire terms of the leases or other debt obligations with remaining terms that range from less than one year to 17 years, with a weighted average remaining term of approximately eight years. For each guarantee issued, if the independent retail customer defaults on a payment, the Company would be required to make payments under its guarantee. Generally, the guarantees are secured by indemnification agreements or personal guarantees of the independent retail customer. The Company reviews performance risk related to its guarantees of independent retail customers based on internal measures of credit performance. As of December 1, 2012, the maximum amount of undiscounted payments the Company would be required to make in the event of default of all of these guarantees was \$82 and represented \$54 on a discounted basis. Based on the indemnification agreements, personal guarantees and results of the reviews of performance risk, the Company believes the likelihood that it will be required to assume a material amount of these obligations is remote. Accordingly, no amount has been recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for these contingent obligations under the Company's guarantee arrangements.

The Company is contingently liable for leases that have been assigned to various third parties in connection with facility closings and dispositions. The Company could be required to satisfy the obligations under the leases if any of the assignees are unable to fulfill their lease obligations. Due to the wide distribution of the Company's assignments among third parties, and various other remedies available, the Company believes the likelihood that it will be required to assume a material amount of these obligations is remote.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into supply contracts to purchase products for resale and purchase and service contracts for fixed asset and information technology commitments. These contracts typically include either volume commitments or fixed expiration dates, termination provisions and other standard contractual considerations. As of December 1, 2012, the Company had \$505 of non-cancelable future purchase obligations primarily related to supply contracts. As of February 25, 2012, the Company had approximately \$650 of non-cancelable future purchase obligations.

The Company is a party to a variety of contractual agreements under which the Company may be obligated to indemnify the other party for certain matters, which indemnities may be secured by operation of law or otherwise, in the ordinary course of business. These contracts primarily relate to the Company's commercial contracts, operating leases and other real estate contracts, financial agreements, agreements to provide services to the Company and agreements to indemnify officers, directors and employees in the performance of their work. While the Company's aggregate indemnification obligation could result in a material liability, the Company is not aware of any matters that are expected to result in a material liability.

On July 11, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors and management, in conjunction with its financial advisors, Goldman Sachs & Co. and Greenhill & Co., LLC, have initiated a review of strategic alternatives to create value for the Company's stockholders. See Note 11 - Subsequent Events for a description of the proposed transactions that have resulted from this review.

#### *Legal Proceedings*

The Company is subject to various lawsuits, claims and other legal matters that arise in the ordinary course of conducting business. In the opinion of management, based upon currently-available facts, it is remote that the ultimate outcome of any lawsuits, claims and other proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the overall results of the Company's operations, its cash flows or its financial position.

In September 2008, a class action complaint was filed against the Company, as well as International Outsourcing Services, LLC ("IOS"), Inmar, Inc., Carolina Manufacturer's Services, Inc., Carolina Coupon Clearing, Inc. and Carolina Services, in the United

States District Court in the Eastern District of Wisconsin. The plaintiffs in the case are a consumer goods manufacturer, a grocery cooperative and a retailer marketing services company who allege on behalf of a purported class that the Company and the other defendants (i) conspired to restrict the markets for coupon processing services under the Sherman Act and (ii) were part of an illegal enterprise to defraud the plaintiffs under the Federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act. The plaintiffs seek monetary damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief. The Company intends to vigorously defend this lawsuit, however all proceedings have been stayed in the case pending the result of the criminal prosecution of certain former officers of IOS.

---

## Table of Contents

In December 2008, a class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin against the Company alleging that a 2003 transaction between the Company and C&S Wholesale Grocers, Inc. (“C&S”) was a conspiracy to restrain trade and allocate markets. In the 2003 transaction, the Company purchased certain assets of the Fleming Corporation as part of Fleming Corporation’s bankruptcy proceedings and sold certain assets of the Company to C&S which were located in New England. Since December 2008, three other retailers have filed similar complaints in other jurisdictions. The cases have been consolidated and are proceeding in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. The complaints allege that the conspiracy was concealed and continued through the use of non-compete and non-solicitation agreements and the closing down of the distribution facilities that the Company and C&S purchased from each other. Plaintiffs are seeking monetary damages, injunctive relief and attorneys’ fees. On July 16, 2012, the Court denied plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification. The case is now limited to the two named retailers as plaintiffs. The Company is vigorously defending these lawsuits.

On October 24, 2012, the Office of Self-Insurance Plans, a program within the director’s office of the California Department of Industrial Relations (the “DIR”), notified the Company that additional security was required to be posted in connection with the Company’s California self-insured workers’ compensation obligations of New Albertson’s Inc., a subsidiary of the Company, and certain other subsidiaries pursuant to applicable regulations. The notice from the DIR stated that the additional security was required as a result of an increase in estimated future liabilities, as determined by the DIR pursuant to a review of the self-insured California workers’ compensation claims with respect to the applicable businesses, and a decline in the Company’s net worth. A security deposit of \$271 was demanded in addition to security of \$427 currently provided through the Company’s participation in California’s Self-Insurer’s Security Fund. The Company has appealed this demand. The California Self-Insurers’ Security Fund (the “Fund”) has attempted to create a secured interest in certain assets of New Albertson’s, Inc. for the total amount of the additional security deposit. The Company has conducted negotiations with the Fund and disputes that the Fund has any security interest in such assets. The Company’s workers compensation liabilities have previously been reserved by the Company and are reflected in Other long-term liabilities in the Company’s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Company, NAI, the Fund and AB Acquisition LLC have reached a binding agreement which would be effective as of the closing of the Stock Purchase Agreement referenced in Note 11 which would resolve the dispute with the Fund.

Predicting the outcomes of claims and litigation and estimating related costs and exposures involves substantial uncertainties that could cause actual outcomes, costs and exposures to vary materially from current expectations. The Company regularly monitors its exposure to the loss contingencies associated with these matters and may from time to time change its predictions with respect to outcomes and its estimates with respect to related costs and exposures. With respect to the three matters discussed above, the Company believes the chance of a negative outcome is remote. It is possible, although management believes it is remote, that material differences in actual outcomes, costs and exposures relative to current predictions and estimates, or material changes in such predictions or estimates, could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

### **NOTE 10 – SEGMENT INFORMATION**

Refer to the Condensed Consolidated Segment Financial Information for the Company’s segment information.

### **NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On January 10, 2013, the Company, AB Acquisition LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“AB Acquisition,” or the “Buyer”), and New Albertson’s, Inc., an Ohio corporation and a direct wholly owned subsidiary of SUPERVALU (“NAI”), entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement (the “Stock Purchase Agreement”) providing for the sale by SUPERVALU of its Albertsons, Acme, Jewel-Osco, Shaw’s and Star Market banners and related Osco and Sav-on in-store pharmacies (collectively, the “NAI Banners”) to AB Acquisition, an affiliate of a Cerberus Capital Management, L.P. (“Cerberus”)-led consortium which also includes Kimco Realty, Klaff Realty LP, Lubert-Adler Partners and Schottenstein Real Estate Group, in a stock sale valued at \$3,300. The sale will consist of the acquisition by AB Acquisition of the stock of NAI, which owns the NAI Banners, for \$100 in cash, subject to certain adjustments (the “NAI Banner Sale”). NAI will be sold to AB Acquisition subject to approximately \$3,200 in debt and capital lease obligations, which will be retained by NAI.

The consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement is subject to certain closing conditions including, among others, the receipt of regulatory approvals, accuracy of the representations and warranties of the parties (generally subject to a material adverse effect standard), the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to the Tender Offer Agreement (as defined below), the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to certain refinancing documents, receipt of an escrow agreement collateralizing certain obligations relating to certain notes of a subsidiary of NAI, and material compliance by the parties with their respective obligations under the Stock Purchase Agreement. The transaction is expected to close in the first calendar quarter of 2013.

Following the closing of the NAI Banner Sale, SUPERVALU will consist of the Independent Business, which serves approximately 1,950 stores across the country; Save-A-Lot, the Company's hard discount grocery chain with approximately 1,300 owned and licensed stores; and SUPERVALU's 191 regional retail food stores under the Cub Foods, Farm Fresh, Shoppers, Shop 'n Save and Hornbacher's banners.

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase agreement and subject to recorded amounts at closing, the Buyer will receive total current assets including, Cash and cash equivalents, Receivables net, Inventories and Other current assets estimated to be in the range of approximately \$1,400 to \$1,600, Property, plant and equipment, net, Intangible assets, net and Other assets estimated to be in the range of approximately \$4,900 to \$5,100, total current liabilities including Accounts payable, Accrued vacation, compensation and benefits, Current maturities of long-term debt and Capital lease obligations and Other current liabilities estimated to be in the range of approximately \$1,500 to \$1,600 and Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, Pension and other postretirement benefit obligations, Other long-term liabilities estimated in the range of approximately \$3,600 to \$3,700. In addition, Buyer will assume the underfunded status of New Albertson's, Inc. related share of the multiemployer pension plans to which the Company contributes. The Buyer's portion of the unfunded status is estimated to be approximately \$1,200 before tax based on the Company's estimated proportionate "share" of underfunding calculated as of February 25, 2012. The January 10, 2013, Stock Purchase announcement will require assets and liabilities of the disposal group as described above being classified as held-for-sale as of the Company's fourth quarter of fiscal 2013. The Company will assess long-lived assets held-for-sale and recognize an impairment charge for any excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair value.

Concurrently with the execution of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company entered into a Tender Offer Agreement, dated as of January 10, 2013 (the "Tender Offer Agreement") with Symphony Investors, LLC, a newly formed acquisition entity owned by a Cerberus-led investor consortium ("Symphony Investors"), pursuant to which, upon the terms and subject to the conditions of the Tender Offer Agreement, Symphony Investors will commence, within ten business days of January 10, 2013, a tender offer for up to 30 percent of the issued and outstanding common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$4.00 per share in cash (the "Tender Offer"). In the event that Symphony Investors does not obtain at least 19.9 percent of the outstanding shares of SUPERVALU common stock pursuant to the Tender Offer, SUPERVALU will be obligated to issue additional shares of common stock to Symphony Investors (the "Issuance") at the Tender Offer price such that after giving effect to the Tender Offer and the Issuance, Symphony Investors would own a number of shares representing at least 19.9 percent of SUPERVALU's outstanding common stock prior to the Issuance. SUPERVALU also will have the option to require Symphony Investors to purchase



---

## Table of Contents

additional shares of SUPERVALU common stock at the Tender Offer price (the “Optional Issuance”), subject to (i) an overall cap of approximately \$250 on Symphony Investors’ s purchase of common stock pursuant to the Tender Offer, the Issuance and the Optional Issuance (collectively, the “Tender Offer Process”) and (ii) a total issuance of primary common shares of not more than 19.9 percent of the Company’ s outstanding shares prior to the issuance. The Tender Offer Agreement provides that until the second anniversary of the closing of the Tender Offer Process, transfers of shares acquired by Symphony Investors in the Tender Offer Process will be generally restricted, with more limited restrictions thereafter. Following that period, SUPERVALU has agreed to customary obligations to register such shares acquired with the Securities and Exchange Commission if requested by Symphony Investors.

The Tender Offer Agreement also provides for certain governance changes following the closing of the NAI Banner Sale and the Tender Offer Process (together the “Proposed Transactions”). Sam Duncan will be elected as President and Chief Executive Officer, replacing current Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Wayne Sales. Five incumbent directors on the SUPERVALU Board of Directors, as identified by the Board, will resign from the Board and the size of the Board of Directors will initially be decreased to seven members from the current ten members. This seven member Board will consist of five current SUPERVALU directors and two Board members designated by Symphony Investors, including Robert Miller, current President and CEO of Albertson’ s LLC, who will serve as non-executive Chairman of the Board. Following a search, the Board will be increased to a size of eleven directors with the four new directors to consist of (i) Sam Duncan, (ii) an additional director to be appointed by Symphony Investors and (iii) two additional independent Board members to be selected by the initial seven directors.

Consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Tender Offer is subject to certain customary closing conditions, including, among others, the accuracy of the representations and warranties of the Company (generally subject to a material adverse effect standard), the satisfaction or waiver of conditions to the Stock Purchase Agreement and the consummation of the Banner Sale, and material compliance by the parties with their respective obligations under the Tender Offer Agreement.

Pursuant to the Tender Offer Agreement, Symphony Investors, Cerberus and their affiliates and equity investors have agreed to certain “standstill” provisions that apply after the closing, certain of which will terminate upon the second anniversary of the closing of the Tender Offer Process (or earlier under certain circumstances) or on the seventh anniversary of closing.

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, SUPERVALU and Albertson’ s LLC have agreed to enter into a Transition Services Agreement upon the consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement (the “Albertson’ s TSA”), pursuant to which SUPERVALU will provide to Albertson’ s LLC, and Albertson’ s LLC will provide to SUPERVALU, certain services following the closing of the NAI Banner Sale. In addition, SUPERVALU and NAI will enter into a Transition Services Agreement upon the consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement (the “NAI TSA”), pursuant to which SUPERVALU will provide to NAI, and NAI will provide to SUPERVALU, certain services following the closing of the Banner Sale. SUPERVALU and AB Acquisition will also enter into a Cross-License Agreement upon consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement (the “Cross-License Agreement”) pursuant to which SUPERVALU and AB Acquisition will license to the other party certain names and marks following the Banner Sale.

Closing of the Transactions is expected to occur in the first calendar quarter of 2013. The Transactions are not subject to shareholder approval. The Company has undertaken not to solicit, initiate, or knowingly encourage any takeover proposal other than the Transactions; provided that the Company’ s Board of Directors may recommend a proposal that is superior to the Transactions and that was made after the Company’ s execution of the Stock Purchase Agreement and did not arise as a result of a breach of the Company’ s covenant of non-solicitation.

The Stock Purchase Agreement and Tender Offer Agreement (the “Agreements”) contain certain termination rights for both the Company, on the one hand, and AB Acquisition or Symphony Investors, as the case may be, on the other hand, applicable upon, among other events, (i) the Closing having not been completed on or prior to six months after the execution of the Agreements, or (ii) a material breach by the other party that causes a condition to closing not to be satisfied that is or cannot be cured within thirty days’ notice of such breach, subject to certain conditions. The Stock Purchase Agreement further provides that, upon termination of the Stock Purchase Agreement under specified circumstances, AB Acquisition would be required to pay the Company a termination fee of either \$175 or \$75. The Tender Offer Agreement further provides that, upon termination of the Tender Offer Agreement under specified

circumstances, the Company would be required to pay Symphony Investors a termination fee of \$50 or up to \$25 in expense reimbursement.

Cerberus has provided two limited guarantees to SUPERVALU guaranteeing certain payment obligations under the Agreements.

Following the closing of the Banner Sale, AB Acquisition will own each of NAI and Albertson's LLC. Albertson's LLC (an affiliate of Cerberus, of which Cerberus holds a 30 percent equity stake) owns Albertsons stores in the South and Southwest.

On January 10, 2013, SUPERVALU also announced that, in connection with the Transaction, the Company executed commitment letters (the "Commitment Letters") with lenders for a new five-year \$900 senior secured asset-based loan facility (the "ABL Facility"), secured by certain of the Company's inventory, credit card, pharmacy and wholesale receivables and certain other assets, and a new six-year \$1,500 senior secured term loan credit facility (the "Term Loan Facility"), secured by substantially all of the Company's real estate and equipment, substantially all of the Company's intellectual property, and the equity interests in Moran Foods, LLC, the parent company of SUPERVALU's Save-A-Lot banner. The proceeds of these financings will be used to replace the existing \$1,650 asset-based revolving credit facility, the existing \$846 term loan, and to call and refinance \$490 of 7.5% bonds scheduled to mature in November 2014. The lead arrangers in respect of the ABL Facility are Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, U.S. Bank, National Association, Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., Barclays Bank PLC and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated. The arrangers in respect of the Term Loan Facility are Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Barclays Bank PLC.

---

## [Table of Contents](#)

### **ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

(Dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

#### **OVERVIEW**

In the third quarter of fiscal 2013, Net sales were \$7,913 and Net earnings were \$16, or \$0.08 per basic and diluted share. Results for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 include a cash settlement received from credit card companies of \$41 before tax (\$26 after tax), non-cash non-strategic store closure charges of \$30 before tax (\$19 after tax), a gain on sale of surplus properties closed and disposed of \$7 before tax (\$4 after tax) and severance costs of \$1 before tax (\$0 after tax). In the third quarter of fiscal 2012, Net sales were \$8,327 and Net loss was \$750, or \$3.54 per basic and diluted share. Results for the third quarter of fiscal 2012 include the non-cash goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges of \$907 before tax (\$800 after tax).

The challenging economic environment has stressed the consumer and continued to negatively impact consumer confidence and spending in fiscal 2013. As a result, consumer spending has been pressured and shoppers are seeking quality at lower prices. Total units sold across the supermarket channel continues to run below last year, which has created pressure for food retailers to promote more aggressively to attract customers, particularly in several of the markets in which SUPERVALU INC. ("SUPERVALU" or the "Company") operates.

On July 11, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors and management, in conjunction with its financial advisors, Goldman Sachs & Co. and Greenhill & Co., LLC, had initiated a review of strategic alternatives to create value for the Company's stockholders. This review has resulted in the proposed transactions described below (the "Proposed Transactions").

On July 30, 2012, Wayne C. Sales was named president and chief executive officer, replacing Craig R. Herkert. Mr. Sales has been non-executive chairman of the Board since 2010 and brings extensive retail experience to the Company having served as president and chief executive officer of Canadian Tire Corporation Limited from 2000 to 2006.

The Company has outlined four key business imperatives to drive forward its business transformation plan: 1) driving profitable sales in its Retail Food stores, which it will continue to do for its continuing Retail Food store operations following the Proposed Transactions, 2) repositioning Save-A-Lot for growth, 3) building its network of independent retailers, and 4) lowering its cost structure.

The Company is focused on disciplined cost control and expects to realize significant administrative and operational expense reduction over the next two years. The Company is also focused on the implementation of a number of business support tools. These tools enable management to design more effective promotions, determine more appropriate display sizes, order product quantities that better match sales forecasts, and take actions to improve product availability based on historical data.

The grocery sector experienced higher-than-normal levels of commodity inflation during fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, and the Company is anticipating modest inflation to continue through fiscal 2013. The Company analyzes inflation by product or product group and evaluates whether to pass on the inflation in conjunction with its overall price investment strategy. The Company does not expect inflation to materially impact its ability to execute its business strategy.

#### **PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS**

On January 10, 2013, the Company, AB Acquisition LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("AB Acquisition," or the "Buyer"), and New Albertson's, Inc., an Ohio corporation and a direct wholly owned subsidiary of SUPERVALU ("NAI"), entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Stock Purchase Agreement") providing for the sale by SUPERVALU of its Albertsons, Acme, Jewel-Osco, Shaw's and Star Market banners and related Osco and Sav-on in-store pharmacies (collectively, the "NAI Banners") to AB Acquisition, in a stock sale valued at \$3,300. AB Acquisition is owned by an investor consortium led by Cerberus Capital Management L.P. The sale will consist of the acquisition by AB Acquisition of the stock of NAI, which owns the NAI Banners, for \$100 in cash, subject to certain adjustments (the "NAI Banner Sale"). The NAI Banners will be sold to AB Acquisition subject to approximately \$3,200 in debt and capital lease obligations, which will be retained by NAI.

The consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement is subject to certain closing conditions including, among others, the receipt of regulatory approvals, accuracy of the representations and warranties of the parties (generally subject to a material adverse effect

standard), the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to the Tender Offer (as defined below), the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to certain refinancing documents, receipt of an escrow agreement collateralizing Company obligations relating to certain notes of a subsidiary of NAI, and material compliance by the parties with their respective obligations under the Stock Purchase Agreement. The transaction is expected to close in the first calendar quarter of 2013.

Following the closing of the NAI Banner Sale, SUPERVALU will consist of the Independent Business, which serves approximately 1,950 stores across the country; Save-A-Lot, the Company's hard discount grocery chain with approximately 1,300 owned and licensed stores; and SUPERVALU's 191 regional retail food stores under the Cub Foods, Farm Fresh, Shoppers, Shop 'n Save and Hornbacher's banners.

---

## Table of Contents

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase agreement and subject to recorded amounts at closing, the Buyer will receive total current assets including, Cash and cash equivalents, Receivables net, Inventories and Other current assets estimated to be in the range of approximately \$1,400 to \$1,600, Property, plant and equipment, net, Intangible assets, net and Other assets estimated to be in the range of approximately \$4,900 to \$5,100, total current liabilities including Accounts payable, Accrued vacation, compensation and benefits, Current maturities of long-term debt and Capital lease obligations and Other current liabilities estimated to be in the range of approximately \$1,500 to \$1,600 and Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, Pension and other postretirement benefit obligations, Other long-term liabilities estimated in the range of approximately \$3,600 to \$3,700. In addition, Buyer will assume the underfunded status of New Albertson's, Inc. related share of the multiemployer pension plans to which the Company contributes. The Buyer's portion of the unfunded status is estimated to be approximately \$1,200 before tax based on the Company's estimated proportionate "share" of underfunding calculated as of February 25, 2012. The January 10, 2013, Stock Purchase announcement will require assets and liabilities of the disposal group as described above being classified as held-for-sale as of the Company's fourth quarter of fiscal 2013. The Company will assess long-lived assets held-for-sale and recognize an impairment charge for any excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair value.

Concurrently with the execution of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company entered into a Tender Offer Agreement, dated as of January 10, 2013 (the "Tender Offer Agreement") with Symphony Investors, LLC, a newly formed acquisition entity owned by a Cerberus-led investor consortium ("Symphony Investors"), pursuant to which, upon the terms and subject to the conditions of the Tender Offer Agreement, Symphony Investors will commence, within ten business days of January 10, 2013, a tender offer for up to 30 percent of the issued and outstanding common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$4.00 per share in cash (the "Tender Offer"). In the event that Symphony Investors does not obtain at least 19.9 percent of the outstanding shares of SUPERVALU common stock pursuant to the Tender Offer, SUPERVALU will be obligated to issue additional shares of common stock to Symphony Investors (the "Issuance") at the Tender Offer price such that after giving effect to the Tender Offer and the Issuance, Symphony Investors would own a number of shares representing at least 19.9 percent of SUPERVALU's outstanding common stock prior to the Issuance. SUPERVALU also will have the option to require Symphony Investors to purchase additional shares of SUPERVALU common stock at the Tender Offer price (the "Optional Issuance"), subject to (i) an overall cap of approximately \$250 on Symphony Investors purchase of common stock pursuant to the Tender Offer, the Issuance and the Optional Issuance (collectively, the "Tender Offer Process") and (ii) a total issuance of primary common shares of not more than 19.9 percent of the Company's outstanding shares prior to the issuance. The Tender Offer Agreement provides that until the second anniversary of the closing of the Tender Offer Process, transfers of shares acquired by Symphony Investors in the Tender Offer Process will be generally restricted, with more limited restrictions thereafter. Following that period, SUPERVALU has agreed to customary obligations to register such shares acquired with the Securities and Exchange Commission if requested by Symphony Investors.

The Tender Offer Agreement also provides for certain governance changes following the closing of the NAI Banner Sale and the Tender Offer Process (together the "Proposed Transactions"). Sam Duncan will be elected as President and Chief Executive Officer, replacing current Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Wayne Sales. Five incumbent directors on the SUPERVALU Board of Directors, as identified by the Board, will resign from the Board and the size of the Board of Directors will initially be decreased to seven members from the current ten members. This seven member Board will consist of five current SUPERVALU directors and two Board members designated by Symphony Investors, including Robert Miller, current President and CEO of Albertson's LLC, who will serve as non-executive Chairman of the Board. Following a search, the Board will be increased to a size of eleven directors with the four new directors to consist of (i) Sam Duncan, (ii) an additional director to be appointed by Symphony Investors and (iii) two additional independent Board members to be selected by the initial seven directors.

Consummation of the Tender Offer is subject to certain customary closing conditions, including, among others, the receipt of regulatory approvals, accuracy of the representations and warranties of the Company (generally subject to a material adverse effect standard), the satisfaction or waiver of conditions to the Stock Purchase Agreement and the consummation of the Banner Sale, and material compliance by the parties with their respective obligations under the Tender Offer Agreement.

Pursuant to the Tender Offer Agreement, Symphony Investors, Cerberus and their affiliates and equity investors have agreed to certain "standstill" provisions, certain of which will terminate upon the second anniversary of the closing of the Tender Offer Process (or earlier under certain circumstances) or on the seventh anniversary of closing.

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, SUPERVALU and Albertson' s LLC have agreed to enter into a Transition Services Agreement upon the consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Albertson' s TSA"), pursuant to which SUPERVALU will provide to Albertson' s LLC, and Albertson' s LLC will provide to SUPERVALU, certain services following the closing of the NAI Banner Sale. In addition, SUPERVALU and NAI will enter into a Transition Services Agreement upon the consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement (the "NAI TSA"), pursuant to which SUPERVALU will provide to NAI, and NAI will provide to SUPERVALU, certain services following the closing of the Banner Sale. SUPERVALU and AB Acquisition will also enter into a Cross-License Agreement upon consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Cross-License Agreement") pursuant to which SUPERVALU and AB Acquisition will license to the other party certain names and marks following the Banner Sale.

Closing of the Transactions is expected to occur in the first calendar quarter of 2013. The Transactions are not subject to shareholder approval. The Company has undertaken not to solicit, initiate, or knowingly encourage any takeover proposal other than the Transactions; provided that the Company' s Board of Directors may recommend a proposal that is superior to the Transactions and that did not arise as a result of a breach of the Company' s covenant of non-solicitation.

---

## **Table of Contents**

The Stock Purchase Agreement and Tender Offer Agreement (the “Agreements”) contain certain termination rights for both the Company, on the one hand, and AB Acquisition or Symphony Investors, as the case may be, on the other hand, applicable upon, among other events, (i) the Closing having not been completed on or prior to six months after the execution of the Agreements, or (ii) a material breach by the other party that causes a condition to closing not to be satisfied that is or cannot be cured within thirty days’ notice of such breach, subject to certain conditions. The Stock Purchase Agreement further provides that, upon termination of the Stock Purchase Agreement under specified circumstances, AB Acquisition would be required to pay the Company a termination fee of either \$175 or \$75. The Tender Offer Agreement further provides that, upon termination of the Tender Offer Agreement under specified circumstances, the Company would be required to pay Symphony Investors a termination fee of \$50 or up to \$25 in expense reimbursement.

Cerberus has provided two limited guarantees to SUPERVALU guaranteeing certain payment obligations under the Agreements.

Following the closing of the Banner Sale, AB Acquisition will own each of NAI and Albertson’s LLC. Albertson’s LLC (an affiliate of Cerberus, of which Cerberus holds a 30 percent equity stake) owns Albertsons stores in the South and Southwest.

On January 10, 2013, SUPERVALU also announced that, in connection with the Transaction, the Company executed commitment letters (the “Commitment Letters”) with lenders for a new five-year \$900 senior secured asset-based loan facility (the “ABL Facility”), secured by certain of the Company’s inventory, credit card, pharmacy and wholesale receivables and certain other assets, and a new six-year \$1,500 senior secured term loan credit facility (the “Term Loan Facility”), secured by substantially all of the Company’s real estate and equipment, substantially all of the Company’s intellectual property, and the equity interests in Moran Foods, LLC, the parent company of SUPERVALU’s Save-A-Lot banner. The proceeds of these financings will be used to replace the existing \$1,650 asset-based revolving credit facility, the existing \$846 term loan, and to call and refinance \$490 of 7.5% bonds scheduled to mature in November 2014. The lead arrangers in respect of the ABL Facility are Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, U.S. Bank, National Association, Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., Barclays Bank PLC and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated. The arrangers in respect of the Term Loan Facility are Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Barclays Bank PLC.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### **THIRD QUARTER RESULTS**

#### **Net Sales**

Third quarter fiscal 2013 Net sales were \$7,913, compared with \$8,327 last year. The decrease in Net sales was primarily attributable to a \$398 decrease in the Retail Food segment. Retail Food sales were 62.7 percent of Net sales compared with 64.3 percent last year, Save-A-Lot sales were 12.2 percent of Net sales for the current quarter and 11.8 percent last year, and Independent Business sales were 25.1 percent of Net sales for the current quarter and 23.9 percent last year.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company added 19 new stores through new store development, comprised of 19 Save-A-Lot food stores, and sold or closed 62 stores, including previously announced store closures, of which 31 were Retail Food stores and 31 were Save-A-Lot food stores. As of December 1, 2012, the majority of the previously announced store closings outlined on September 5, 2012, have been completed, with the remaining previously announced store closures expected to be complete in the fourth quarter of this fiscal year. Total Company operated store square footage (total square footage at Retail Food stores and Company-owned Save-A-Lot stores) as of the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2013, was approximately 62 million, a decrease of 2.7 percent from the end of fiscal 2012. Total store square footage (Retail Food and Company-owned Save-A-Lot stores square footage), decreased 2.6 percent from the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2012.

Retail Food’s Net sales for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were \$4,961, compared with \$5,359 last year, a decrease of \$398 or 7.4 percent. The decrease is primarily attributable to a decline of \$228 related to negative identical store sales of 4.5 percent inclusive of the negative impacts of lower retail prices (defined as stores operating for four full quarters, including store expansions, excluding fuel and planned store dispositions), a decrease in fuel sales of \$112 due to the divestiture of more than 100 fuel center stores during the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2012, and a decrease of \$64 from net store dispositions. Retail Food’s negative identical store sales was primarily impacted by the impact of the challenging economic environment, consumers’ increased focus on price, increasing value-

focused competitive activity and the continued investment by the Company in achieving competitive pricing. During the third quarter of fiscal 2013, Retail Food customer counts declined approximately 2.8 percent and average basket size decreased approximately 1.7 percent primarily driven by fewer items per customer and the impact of investments in lower retail prices, offset in part by moderate levels of inflation.

Save-A-Lot Net sales for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were \$966, compared with \$982 last year, a decrease of \$16 or 1.6 percent. These decreases were primarily the result of declines of network identical store sales of \$37 or 4.1 percent (defined as net sales from Company owned stores and sale of product to licensee stores operating for four full quarters, including store expansions and excluding planned store dispositions), partially offset by a net \$21 increase in sales derived from a net increase in Company and licensee operated stores. During the third quarter of fiscal 2013, customer counts at Company owned stores declined approximately 4.3 percent.

Independent Business Net sales for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were \$1,986 and equal to last year. Increases in Net sales related to net new independent retail customers were offset primarily by decreases related to independent customers no longer being served.

### **Gross Profit**

Gross profit for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 was \$1,679, compared with \$1,809 last year, a decrease of \$130. Retail Food segment gross profit decreased \$95, mainly due to a decline in Retail Food identical store sales. Retail Food's negative identical store sales and store closures, along with the impact from divested fuel centers comprised \$94 of the decrease, while the net Retail Food gross margin rate declines accounted for the remaining decrease.

Gross profit for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 declined 50 basis points to 21.2 percent, compared with 21.7 percent last year. Retail Food gross profit as a percent of Retail Food Net sales increased by 40 basis points to 29.2 percent for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 compared with 28.8 percent last year. The increase in Retail Food gross profit rate as a percent of Retail Food Net sales is due to a 40 basis point improvement from the Company's divestiture of fuel centers, a 30 basis point benefit from a lower last-in, first-out ("LIFO") charge, a 20 basis point improvement from reduced marketing costs, and a 30 basis point benefit from lower generic drug costs and higher generic product mix within pharmacy. These were partially offset by the combined 80 basis point negative impacts from an increase in markdowns and reduced promotional effectiveness as well as investments to lower retail prices.

Save-A-Lot segment gross profit decreased \$22, primarily due to a decline in gross profit rate. Save-A-Lot gross profit as a percent of Save-A-Lot Net sales declined 200 basis points to 15.4 percent for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 compared with 17.4 percent last year. The decline in Save-A-Lot gross profit rate is due primarily to a 150 basis point negative impact from competitive price investments and a negative 50 basis point impact from higher advertising costs and market development costs.



---

## **Table of Contents**

Independent Business gross profit as a percent of Independent Business Net sales declined 60 basis points to 4.3 percent for the third quarter of fiscal 2013, compared with 4.9 percent last year. The decline in Independent Business gross profit is due primarily to a 100 basis point impact from gross margin investment and higher freight, partially offset by a 40 basis point benefit from lower salaries and wages and a lower LIFO charge.

### **Selling and Administrative Expenses**

Selling and administrative expenses for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were \$1,522, compared with \$1,610 last year, a decrease of \$88. Selling and administrative expenses for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were favorably impacted by the net \$19 benefit from a cash settlement received from credit card companies of \$41, a gain on sale of \$7 on surplus properties closed and disposed of in the third quarter of fiscal 2013, expenses related to non-cash non-strategic store closures of \$28, and severance expenses of \$1. The remaining net \$69 decrease in Selling and administrative expenses were mainly the result of decreases in Retail Foods Selling and administrative expenses of \$68 due primarily to lower retail store operating costs associated with lower Retail sales, store closures and divested fuel centers.

Selling and administrative expenses for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were 19.3 percent of Net sales, compared to 19.3 percent of Net sales last year. The Selling and administrative expenses as a percent of Net sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2013, benefited 20 basis points from the \$19 net favorable impact from a cash settlement received from credit card companies and a gain on sale of surplus properties closed and disposed of in the third quarter of fiscal 2013, net of non-cash strategic store closure costs and severance. Excluding the 20 basis point benefits previously described, Selling and administrative expenses as a percent of Net sales increased by 20 basis points due to a net deleveraging impact of 20 basis points from lower sales attributable to negative identical store sales, divested fuel centers and store closures.

### **Goodwill and Intangible Asset Impairment Charges**

The Company applies a fair value based impairment test to the net book value of goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives on an annual basis and on an interim basis if certain events or circumstances indicate that an impairment loss may have occurred. There were no goodwill or intangible asset impairment charges recorded during the third quarter of fiscal 2013.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company recorded preliminary non-cash impairment charges of \$907, comprised of \$661 of goodwill and \$246 of intangible assets with indefinite useful lives in its Retail Food Segment. The impairment was due to the significant and sustained decline in the Company's market capitalization and updated discounted future cash flows, of which contain significant judgments and estimates including weighted average cost of capital, future revenue, profitability, cash flows and fair values of assets and liabilities.

### **Operating Earnings (Loss)**

Operating earnings for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were \$157 or 2.0 percent of Net sales compared to an Operating loss of \$708 or 8.5 percent of Net sales last year.

Retail Food operating earnings for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were \$84, or 1.7 percent of Retail Food Net sales, compared with an operating loss of \$818 or 15.3 percent of Retail Food Net sales last year. The \$902 increase in the third quarter fiscal 2013 Retail Food operating earnings is due primarily to the impact of \$907 of goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges recorded in third quarter of fiscal 2012. In addition, third quarter of fiscal 2013 includes a net \$11 benefit comprised of a cash settlement received from credit card companies of \$41 and expenses related to non-cash non-strategic store closures of \$30. After taking into account these disclosed items in both periods, Retail Food operating earnings were \$63 in the third quarter of fiscal 2013 compared to \$89 last year. Retail Food operating earnings were lower due to lower gross profit, primarily as a result of lower volumes due to negative identical store sales, store dispositions, and divested fuel centers, partially offset by lower selling and administrative expenses due to lower volumes and cost reduction efforts.

Save-A-Lot operating earnings for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were \$28, or 2.8 percent of Save-A-Lot Net sales, compared with \$59 or 6.1 percent of Save-A-Lot Net sales last year. The \$31 decrease in the third quarter fiscal 2013 Save-A-Lot operating earnings reflect

\$10 of net charges related to the closure of 22 non-strategic stores and \$21 negative gross profit impact primarily from competitive price investment.

Independent Business operating earnings for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were \$49, or 2.5 percent of Independent Business Net sales, compared with \$66, or 3.3 percent of Independent Business Net sales last year. The decrease in Independent Business operating earnings is due primarily to the impact of investment in price and higher freight, partially offset by a lower LIFO charge.

Net Corporate expenses for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were \$4, compared with \$15 last year. The decrease was primarily due to \$7 related to a gain on the sale of surplus properties closed and disposed of in the third quarter of fiscal 2013 and higher lease reserve charges recorded on surplus properties last year.

---

## [Table of Contents](#)

### **Interest Expense, Net**

Net interest expense was \$126 in the third quarter of fiscal 2013, compared with \$119 last year. The increase in net interest expense is due primarily to higher average interest rates associated with the Company's recently refinanced Secured Term Loan Facility.

### **Income Tax Provision**

Income tax expense for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 was \$15, or 47.1 percent of earnings before income taxes, compared with an income tax benefit of \$77 last year. The income tax rate for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 included net \$3, of additional provision primarily to reflect amounts taken on tax filings. Income taxes for the third quarter of fiscal 2012 reflect the impact of goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges, the majority of which are non-deductible for income tax purposes.

### **Net Earnings (Loss)**

Net earnings were \$16, or \$0.08 per basic and diluted share, in the third quarter of fiscal 2013 compared with a Net loss of \$750, or \$3.54 per basic and diluted share, for the same period of fiscal 2012.

## **YEAR-TO-DATE RESULTS**

### **Net Sales**

Net sales for fiscal 2013 year-to-date were \$26,542, compared with \$27,869 last year a decrease of \$1,327. The decrease in sales was due primarily to a \$1,315 decrease in sales in the Retail Food segment. Retail Food sales were 64.0 percent of Net sales for fiscal 2013 year-to-date compared with 65.7 percent last year, Save-A-Lot sales were 12.2 percent of Net sales for fiscal 2013 year-to-date and 11.6 percent last year, and Independent Business sales were 23.8 percent of Net sales for fiscal 2013 year-to-date and 22.7 percent last year.

During fiscal 2013 year-to-date the Company added 57 new stores through new store development, comprised of two Retail Food stores and 55 Save-A-Lot food stores, and sold or closed 94 stores, including store closures previously announced on September 5, 2012, of which 36 were Retail Food stores and 58 were Save-A-Lot food stores.

Retail Food's Net sales for fiscal 2013 year-to-date were \$16,982, compared with \$18,297 last year, a decrease of \$1,315 or 7.2 percent. The decrease is due primarily to a decline of \$722 related to negative identical store sales of 4.1 percent (defined as stores operating for four full quarters, including store expansions and excluding fuel and planned store dispositions) inclusive of impacts of lower retail prices over fiscal 2012 year-to-date, a decrease in fuel sales of \$479 due to the divestiture of more than 100 fuel center stores during the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2012, and a decrease of \$146 from net store dispositions. Retail Food's identical store sales were primarily impacted by continued price-focused competitive activity, the continued challenging economic environment and investment in lowering prices to customers. During fiscal 2013 year-to-date, Retail Food customer count declined approximately 3.6 percent and average basket size declined approximately 0.5 percent driven by fewer items per customer and the impact of investments to lower retail prices, offset in part by moderate levels of inflation.

Save-A-Lot Net sales for fiscal 2013 year-to-date were \$3,226, compared with \$3,236 last year, a decrease of \$10 or 0.3 percent. The decrease is due primarily to \$106 related to negative network identical store sales of 3.6 percent (defined as net sales from Company owned stores and sale of product to licensee stores operating for four full quarters, including store expansions and excluding planned store dispositions), offset in part by net \$96 of additional sales derived from a net increase in Company and licensee operated stores. During fiscal 2013 year-to-date customer counts at Company owned stores declined approximately 4.2 percent.

Independent Business sales for fiscal 2013 year-to-date were \$6,334, compared with \$6,336 last year, a decrease of \$2, primarily due to slightly lower sales to existing customers being offset by increased sales from net new business.

### **Gross Profit**

Gross profit for fiscal 2013 year-to-date was \$5,724, compared with \$6,141 last year, a decrease of \$417. Retail Food segment gross profit decreased \$350, mainly due to a decline in Retail Food's identical store sales. Retail Food's negative identical store sales, store closures and divested fuel centers comprised \$279 of the decline. The remaining decline in gross profit is due primarily to the negative impact from investments to lower retail prices to customers, higher promotional and marketing costs and increased shrink, offset in part due to a lower LIFO charge and improved margins on generic drugs.

Gross profit for fiscal 2013 year-to-date declined 40 basis points to 21.6 percent for fiscal 2013 year-to-date compared with 22.0 percent last year. Retail Food gross profit as a percent of Retail Food Net sales increased 20 basis points to 29.1 percent for fiscal 2013 year-to-date compared with 28.9 percent last year. The improvement in Retail Food gross profit rate as percent of Retail Food Net sales is due to the benefits from a 60 basis point increase from the Company's divestiture of fuel centers and a combined 30 basis point benefit from a lower LIFO charge and improved margins on generic drugs, partly offset by a combined 70 basis point negative impact from investments to lower retail prices, declines in promotional effectiveness, increases in promotional activity, and higher shrink levels.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Save-A-Lot gross profit as a percent of Save-A-Lot Net sales declined 120 basis points to 15.8 percent for fiscal 2013 year-to-date compared with 17.0 percent last year. The decline in Save-A-Lot gross profit rate is due primarily to a 110 basis point negative impact from competitive price investment and increased market development costs. The remaining decrease is primarily due to higher advertising costs.

Independent Business gross profit as a percent of Independent Business Net sales declined 40 basis points to 4.4 percent for fiscal 2013 year-to-date compared with 4.8 percent last year. The decline in Independent Business gross profit is due primarily to the impact of competitive price investment and higher costs associated with military sales, partially offset by a 10 basis point benefit from a lower LIFO charge.

### **Selling and Administrative Expenses**

Selling and administrative expenses for fiscal 2013 year-to-date were \$5,325, compared with \$5,446 last year, a decrease of \$121. Included in Selling and administrative expenses is a net \$68 charge comprised of \$108 related to both the announced closures of approximately 60 non-strategic stores and impairment charges related to underperforming stores and other assets, as well as labor buy-out and severance of \$8, offset in part by the benefit from a cash settlement received from credit card companies of \$41 and a gain of \$7 on surplus properties closed and disposed of in the third quarter of fiscal 2013. Excluding these items, the net reduction in Selling and administrative expenses of \$189 is primarily due to reduced variable costs from the impact of negative identical store sales in the Retail Food segment, closed stores and divested fuel centers totaling \$252, offset in part by \$65 of higher other administrative costs.

Selling and administrative expenses for fiscal 2013 year-to-date were 20.1 percent of Net sales compared to 19.5 percent of Net sales last year. The increase in Selling and administrative expenses as a percent of Net sales is due primarily to negative impacts from net charges related to the announced closure of non-strategic stores, charges related to underperforming stores and other assets resulting in a negative 30 basis point impact, and a negative 30 basis point impact related to divested fuel centers and other net administrative costs.

### **Goodwill and Intangible Asset Impairment Charges**

The Company applies a fair value based impairment test to the net book value of goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives on an annual basis and on an interim basis if certain events or circumstances indicate that an impairment loss may have occurred.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company experienced declines in revenues and announced the closure of certain stores that are operated under tradenames with assigned carrying values. As a result, the Company performed an interim impairment test of certain intangible assets with indefinite useful lives, which indicated the carrying values of certain intangibles assets with indefinite useful lives exceeded their estimated fair values. Based on the results of impairment tests, the Company recorded a pre-tax non-cash impairment charge of \$74 in the Retail Food segment for intangible assets with indefinite useful lives for fiscal 2013 year-to-date. There were no goodwill or intangible asset impairment charges recorded during the third quarter of fiscal 2013.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company recorded preliminary non-cash impairment charges of \$907, comprised of \$661 of goodwill and \$246 of intangible assets with indefinite useful lives in its Retail Food Segment. The impairment was due to the significant and sustained decline in the Company's market capitalization and updated discounted future cash flows, of which contain significant judgments and estimates including weighted average cost of capital, future revenue, profitability, cash flows and fair values of assets and liabilities. The preliminary impairment charges were finalized during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012.

### **Operating Earnings (Loss)**

Operating earnings for fiscal 2013 year-to-date were \$325 or 1.2 percent of Net sales compared with an Operating loss of \$212 or 0.8 percent of Net sales last year.

Retail Food operating earnings for fiscal 2013 year-to-date were \$100, or 0.6 percent of Retail Food Net sales, compared with Retail Food operating loss of \$541 or 3.0 percent of Retail Food Net sales last year. The fiscal 2012 Retail Food Operating Loss included a pre-tax non-cash intangible asset impairment charge of \$907, and fiscal 2013 included a net \$121 charge including a non-cash impairment charge of \$74 for intangible assets with indefinite useful lives, impairment charges related to underperforming stores and other assets of \$38, net charges related to the announced closure of non-strategic stores of \$46, and labor buy-out expenses of \$4, offset in part by a cash settlement received from credit card companies of \$41. Excluding the impact of these items, Retail Food operating

earnings were \$145 lower, reflecting \$350 from lower gross profit primarily as a result of lower volume due to negative identical store sales, store dispositions, and divested fuel centers as well as a decline in gross profit rate, offset in part by \$205 of reduced Selling and administrative expenses.

Save-A-Lot operating earnings for fiscal 2013 year-to-date were \$105, or 3.2 percent of Save-A-Lot Net sales, compared with \$178 or 5.5 percent of Save-A-Lot Net sales last year. The \$73 decrease in fiscal 2013 year-to-date Save-A-Lot operating earnings is due primarily to \$26 of net charges related to the closure of 22 non-strategic stores, \$39 negative gross profit impact primarily from competitive price investments and increased market development costs, as well as \$8 higher Selling and administration expenses primarily related to additional resources in connection with its growth strategy.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Independent Business operating earnings for fiscal 2013 year-to-date were \$164, or 2.6 percent of Independent Business Net sales, compared with \$199, or 3.1 percent of Independent Business Net sales last year. The decrease in Independent Business operating earnings is due primarily to the impact of investment in price and higher freight, net of a lower LIFO charge.

Corporate expenses for the fiscal 2013 year-to-date were \$44, compared with \$48 last year. The decrease in expense is due to increased lease reserves and severance charges offset by a \$7 gain on the sale of surplus properties closed and disposed of in the third quarter of fiscal 2013.

### **Interest Expense, Net**

Net interest expense was \$422 in fiscal 2013 year-to-date, compared with \$394 last year. Excluding the write-off of unamortized financing costs of \$22 during year-to-date fiscal 2013, the remaining net increase of \$6 in interest expense for fiscal 2013 year-to-date was primarily related to higher interest rates associated with the recently refinanced Secured Term Loan Facility.

### **Income Tax Provision (Benefit)**

Income tax benefit for fiscal 2013 year-to-date was \$43, or 43.9 percent of losses before income taxes, compared with an income tax provision of \$10 last year. Income taxes for fiscal 2012 year-to-date reflect the impact of goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges, the majority of which are non-deductible for income tax purposes and approximately \$4 of expense related to prior years' audit activity.

### **Net Loss**

Net loss was \$54, or \$0.26 per basic and diluted share, in fiscal 2013 year-to-date compared with net loss of \$616, or \$2.91 per basic and diluted share, last year.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Management expects that the Company will continue to replenish operating assets and pay down debt obligations with internally generated funds. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company's business will continue to generate cash flow at current levels. A significant reduction in operating earnings or the incurrence of operating losses in one or more quarterly periods could have a negative impact on the Company's operating cash flow, which would limit the Company's ability to pay down its outstanding indebtedness as planned. The Company's primary source of liquidity is from internally generated funds and borrowings under its credit facilities. To the extent that the Company's inventory levels decline as a result of reduced cash flow from operations, the Company's borrowing capacity under its credit facilities would also decline. Reduced liquidity could also result in less favorable trade terms with vendors and other third parties.

Long-term financing will be maintained through existing and new debt issuances and credit facilities. The Company's short-term and long-term financing abilities are believed to be adequate as a supplement to internally generated cash flows to fund capital expenditures as opportunities arise. Maturities of debt issued will depend on management's views with respect to the relative attractiveness of interest rates at the time of issuance and other debt maturities.

### *Cash Flow Information*

#### Operating activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$357 for fiscal 2013 year-to-date, compared with \$518 last year. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities is primarily due to the decrease in net loss of \$562, adjusted for a decrease in non-cash goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges of \$833, an increase in non-cash asset impairment and other charges and changes of \$162, an increase in gain on sale of assets of \$47 and a LIFO charge of \$42, offset by a decrease in cash used by changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$71. The decrease in cash used by changes in operating assets and liabilities was primarily due to lower levels of cash used for forward inventory buy and receivable build. Pension and other postretirement benefit obligations decreased from operating activities primarily due to cash contributions to pension and other postretirement benefit obligations offset by related non-cash expenses.

#### Investing activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$360 for fiscal 2013 year-to-date, compared with \$285 last year. The increase is due primarily to \$43 in lower proceeds from sale of assets and higher cash payments for purchases of Property, plant and equipment, which reflects paying down \$113 in purchases of Property, plant and equipment included in Accounts payable at fiscal 2012 year-end compared with \$69 paid in fiscal 2012 for Property, plant and equipment included in Accounts payable at fiscal 2011 year-end.



---

## Table of Contents

### Financing activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$1 for fiscal 2013 year-to-date, compared with net cash used in financing activities of \$209 last year. The decrease in cash used in financing activities is primarily attributable to an increase in proceeds from debt issuance of \$1,713, primarily due to net proceeds of \$825 from the Secured Term Loan Facility described below and \$425 from the Revolving ABL Credit Facility described below and draws on the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, offset by debt payments of \$1,609 to pay down the senior secured credit facility, term loan B-2 and term loan B-3, and capital lease payments of \$50. In addition, the Company paid \$59 in loan origination and financing costs associated with the two new credit agreements entered into on August 30, 2012.

On July 11, 2012 the Company announced that it had suspended the payment of the regular quarterly dividend. The Board of Directors will continue to review its dividend policy annually.

### *Debt Management and Credit Agreements*

Certain of the Company's credit facilities and long-term debt agreements have restrictive covenants and cross-default provisions which generally provide, subject to the Company's right to cure, for the acceleration of payments due in the event of a breach of a covenant or a default in the payment of a specified amount of indebtedness due under certain other debt agreements. The Company was in compliance with all such covenants and provisions for all periods presented.

On August 30, 2012, the Company entered into two new credit agreements: (i) a five-year \$1,650 (subject to borrowing base availability) asset-based revolving credit facility (the "Revolving ABL Credit Facility"), secured by the Company's inventory, credit card receivables and certain other assets, which will bear interest at the rate of London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 1.75 percent to 2.25 percent or prime plus 0.75 percent to 1.25 percent, with facility fees ranging from 0.25 percent to 0.375 percent, depending on utilization and (ii) a new six-year \$850 term loan (the "Secured Term Loan Facility"), secured by a portion of the Company's real estate and equipment, which will bear interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 6.75 percent and include a floor on LIBOR set at 1.25 percent. These agreements replaced the Company's senior secured credit facilities, which were composed of a \$1,500 revolving credit facility under which \$280 was outstanding, scheduled to mature in April 2015, a \$574 term loan B-2 scheduled to mature in October 2015 and a \$446 term loan B-3 scheduled to mature in April 2018. On August 30, 2012, the Company paid and capitalized \$59 in loan origination and financing costs which is included in Other Assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Revolving ABL Credit Facility is guaranteed by certain of the Company's material subsidiaries. To secure the obligations under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, the Company has granted a perfected first-priority security interest for the benefit of the Revolving ABL Credit Facility lenders in its present and future inventory, credit card and certain other receivables, prescription files and related assets. In addition, the obligations under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility will be secured by second-priority liens on and security interests in the collateral securing the Secured Term Loan Facility, limited as required by the existing public indentures of the Company, such that the respective debt issued need not be equally and ratably secured.

The Secured Term Loan Facility is also guaranteed by certain of the Company's material subsidiaries. To secure their obligations under the Secured Term Loan Facility, the Company granted a perfected first-priority mortgage lien and security interest for the benefit of the Secured Term Loan Facility lenders in certain of the Company's owned or ground-leased real estate and the equipment located on such real estate. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$1,081 of owned or ground-leased real estate and associated equipment pledged as collateral, classified in Property, plant and equipment, net in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. In addition, the obligations under the Secured Term Loan Facility are secured by second-priority secured interests in the collateral securing the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, subject to certain limitations to ensure compliance with the Company's other outstanding debt instruments.

The loans under the Secured Term Loan Facility may be voluntarily prepaid at any time, subject to a prepayment fee in certain repricing circumstances. The Secured Term Loan Facility has required repayments, equal to 1.00 percent of the initial drawn balance each year, payable quarterly, with the entire remaining balance due at the six year anniversary of the inception date. In addition, the Company must apply net cash proceeds (as defined in the Secured Term Loan Facility) from certain types of asset sales in amounts ranging from 50 percent to 100 percent of the net cash proceeds of such transactions (excluding proceeds of the collateral security of the Revolving ABL Credit Facility and other secured indebtedness) to prepay the loans outstanding under the Secured Term Loan Facility. The Company must also prepay the loans outstanding by up to 50 percent of its annual excess cash flow (as defined in the Secured Term

Loan Facility). As of December 1, 2012, the loans outstanding under the Secured Term Loan Facility had a remaining principal balance of \$848 at LIBOR plus 6.75 percent and include a LIBOR floor of 1.25 percent, of which \$9 was classified as current.

The Revolving ABL Credit Facility and Secured Term Loan Facility contain customary representations and warranties. These facilities also contain certain operating covenants, which restrict the ability of the Company to take certain actions without the permission of the lenders or as otherwise permitted under the facilities. Although these facilities do not require the Company to

---

## Table of Contents

comply with any financial ratio maintenance covenants, if the excess availability is less than 10 percent of the aggregate commitments under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, the Company is not permitted to borrow any additional amounts thereunder unless the Company meets a financial ratio set forth in the Revolving ABL Credit Facility.

As of December 1, 2012, there was \$636 of outstanding borrowings under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility at rates ranging from LIBOR plus 2.00 percent to Prime plus 1.00 percent. Facility fees under this facility were 0.375 percent. Letters of credit outstanding under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility were \$354 at fees up to 2.125 percent and the unused available credit under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility was \$599. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$2,385 of inventories collateralized by the ABL Credit Facility, as well as all of the Company's pharmacy scripts, included in Intangible assets, net and all credit card receivables of wholly-owned stores, included in Cash and cash equivalents in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In November 2011, the Company amended and extended its accounts receivable securitization program until November 2014. The Company can borrow up to \$200 on a revolving basis, with borrowings secured by eligible accounts receivable, which remain under the Company's control. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$115 of outstanding borrowings under this facility at 2.01 percent. Facility fees on the unused portion are 0.70 percent. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$285 of accounts receivable pledged as collateral, included in Receivables in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, the Company had \$23 and \$28, respectively, of debt with current maturities that are classified as long-term debt due to the Company's intent to refinance such obligations with the Revolving ABL Credit Facility or other long-term debt.

On January 10, 2013, the Company announced that, in connection with the Proposed Transaction, the Company received commitment letters (the "Commitment Letters") from lenders for a new five-year \$900 asset-based revolving credit facility, secured by certain of the Company's inventory, credit card pharmacy, and wholesale receivables and certain other assets, and a new six-year \$1,500 term loan, secured by substantially all of the Company's real estate and equipment, substantially all of the Company's intellectual property, and the equity interests in Moran Foods, LLC, the parent company of SUPERVALU's Save-A-Lot banner. The proceeds of these financings will be used to replace the existing \$1,650 asset-based revolving credit facility, the existing \$846 term loan, and to call and refinance \$490 of 7.5 percent bonds scheduled to mature in November 2014.

### *Capital Expenditures*

Cash payments for capital assets during fiscal 2013 year-to-date were \$438. The Company also capitalized \$35 of capital leases during fiscal 2013 year-to-date. Capital expenditures primarily included technology expenditures, new Save-A-Lot stores and remodeling activity. The Company's cash payments for capital assets for fiscal 2013 is projected to be approximately \$475.

### *Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Obligations*

Cash contributions to defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans were \$103 and \$87 for year-to-date fiscal 2013 and 2012, respectively, in accordance with minimum Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA") requirements. Cash contributions increased for the year-to-date period ended December 1, 2012 compared to the year-to-date period ended December 3, 2011, due to pre-funding of \$63 in fiscal 2011 for fiscal 2012 contributions.

The Company's funding policy for the defined benefit pension plans is to contribute the minimum contribution amount required under ERISA and the Pension Protection Act of 2006 as determined by the Company's external actuarial consultant. At the Company's discretion, additional funds may be contributed to the pension plan. The Company may accelerate contributions or undertake contributions in excess of the minimum requirements from time to time subject to the availability of cash in excess of operating and financing needs. The Company assesses the relative attractiveness of the use of cash including, expected return on assets, discount rates, cost of debt, reducing or eliminating required Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation variable rate premiums or in order to achieve exemption from participant notices of underfunding.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Fiscal 2013 total defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plan contributions are estimated to be approximately \$105.

### *Liquidity Needs*

The Company has approximately \$3, \$195 and \$650 in aggregate bank debt maturities due for the remainder of fiscal 2013, fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015, respectively. Payments to reduce capital lease obligations will approximate \$15, \$65 and \$65 for the remainder of fiscal 2013, fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015, respectively. The Company currently expects its total cash payments toward reducing debt and capital lease obligations for fiscal 2013 to be approximately \$400.

## **COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES AND OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

### *Guarantees and Commitments*

The Company has outstanding guarantees related to certain leases, fixture financing loans and other debt obligations of various retailers as of December 1, 2012. These guarantees were generally made to support the business growth of independent retail customers. The guarantees are generally for the entire terms of the leases or other debt obligations with remaining terms that range from less than one year to 17 years, with a weighted average remaining term of approximately eight years. For each guarantee issued, if the independent retail customer defaults on a payment, the Company would be required to make payments under its guarantee. Generally, the guarantees are secured by indemnification agreements or personal guarantees of the independent retail customer. The Company reviews performance risk related to its guarantees of independent retail customers based on internal measures of credit performance. As of December 1, 2012, the maximum amount of undiscounted payments the Company would be required to make in the event of default of all of these guarantees was \$82 and represented \$54 on a discounted basis. Based on the indemnification agreements, personal guarantees and results of the reviews of performance risk, the Company believes the likelihood that it will be required to assume a material amount of these obligations is remote. Accordingly, no amount has been recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for these contingent obligations under the Company's guarantee arrangements.

The Company is contingently liable for leases that have been assigned to various third parties in connection with facility closings and dispositions. The Company could be required to satisfy the obligations under the leases if any of the assignees are unable to fulfill their lease obligations. Due to the wide distribution of the Company's assignments among third parties, and various other remedies available, the Company believes the likelihood that it will be required to assume a material amount of these obligations is remote.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into supply contracts to purchase products for resale and purchase and service contracts for fixed asset and information technology commitments. These contracts typically include either volume commitments or fixed expiration dates, termination provisions and other standard contractual considerations. As of December 1, 2012, the Company had \$505 of non-cancelable future purchase obligations primarily related to supply contracts. As of February 25, 2012, the Company had approximately \$650 of non-cancelable future purchase obligations.

The Company is a party to a variety of contractual agreements under which the Company may be obligated to indemnify the other party for certain matters, which indemnities may be secured by operation of law or otherwise, in the ordinary course of business. These contracts primarily relate to the Company's commercial contracts, operating leases and other real estate contracts, financial agreements, agreements to provide services to the Company and agreements to indemnify officers, directors and employees in the performance of their work. While the Company's aggregate indemnification obligation could result in a material liability, the Company is not aware of any matters that are expected to result in a material liability.

On July 11, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors and management, in conjunction with its financial advisors, Goldman Sachs & Co. and Greenhill & Co., LLC, have initiated a review of strategic alternatives to create value for the Company's stockholders. This review has resulted in the Proposed Transactions.

### *Legal Proceedings*

The Company is a party to various legal proceedings arising from the normal course of business as described in Part II—Other Information, Item 1, under the caption “Legal Proceedings” and in Note 9 - Commitments, Contingencies and Off-Balance Sheet

Arrangements, none of which, in management' s opinion, is expected to have a material adverse impact on the Company' s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### *Multi-Employer Plans*

The Company contributes to various multi-employer pension plans under collective bargaining agreements, primarily defined benefit pension plans. These plans generally provide retirement benefits to participants based on their service to contributing employers.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Based on available information, the Company believes that some of the multi-employer plans to which it contributes are underfunded. Company contributions to these plans could increase in the near term. However, the amount of any increase or decrease in contributions will depend on a variety of factors, including the results of the Company's collective bargaining efforts, investment returns on the assets held in the plans, actions taken by the trustees who manage the plans and requirements under the Pension Protection Act and Section 412(e) of the Internal Revenue Code. Furthermore, if the Company was to significantly reduce contributions, exit certain markets or otherwise cease making contributions to these plans, it could trigger a partial or complete withdrawal that would require the Company to recognize its proportionate share of a plan's unfunded vested benefits. During the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011, the Company contributed \$105 and \$101 to these plans, respectively.

The Company also makes contributions to multi-employer health and welfare plans in amounts set forth in the related collective bargaining agreements. A small minority of collective bargaining agreements contain reserve requirements that may trigger unanticipated contributions resulting in increased healthcare expenses. If these healthcare provisions cannot be renegotiated in a manner that reduces the prospective healthcare cost as the Company intends, the Company's Selling and administrative expenses could increase in the future.

### *Contractual Obligations*

There have been no material changes in the Company's contractual obligations since the end of fiscal 2012. Refer to Item 7 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012, for additional information regarding the Company's contractual obligations.

## **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The description of critical accounting policies is included in Item 7 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012.

## **CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS FOR PURPOSES OF THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT**

Any statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q regarding the outlook for the Company's businesses and their respective markets, such as projections of future performance, guidance, statements of the Company's plans and objectives, forecasts of market trends and other matters, are forward-looking statements based on the Company's assumptions and beliefs. Such statements may be identified by such words or phrases as "will likely result," "are expected to," "will continue," "outlook," "will benefit," "is anticipated," "estimate," "project," "management believes" or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in such statements and no assurance can be given that the results in any forward-looking statement will be achieved. For these statements, SUPERVALU INC. claims the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made, and we disclaim any obligation to subsequently revise any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after such date or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

Certain factors could cause the Company's future results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements contained in this report. These factors include the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012, under the heading "Risk Factors," Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under the heading "Risk Factors," the factors discussed below and any other cautionary statements, written or oral, which may be made or referred to in connection with any such forward-looking statements. Since it is not possible to foresee all such factors, these factors should not be considered as complete or exhaustive.

### *Competitive Practices*

The Company's ability to attract and retain customers

Competition from other food or drug retail chains, supercenters, non-traditional competitors and alternative formats in the Company's markets

Competition for employees, store sites and products

The ability of the Company' s Independent Business to maintain or increase sales due to wholesaler competition or increased customer self-distribution

Changes in demographics or consumer preferences that affect consumer spending or buying habits

The success of the Company' s promotional and sales programs and the Company' s ability to respond to the promotional and pricing practices of competitors

***Execution of Initiatives***

The Company' s ability to execute customer-focused initiatives designed to support the Company' s vision of becoming "America' s Neighborhood Grocer"

---

## **Table of Contents**

The effectiveness of cost reduction strategies

The adequacy of the Company' s capital resources to fund new store growth and remodeling activities that achieve appropriate returns on capital investment

The effectiveness of the Company' s price investment strategy and its ability to successfully implement that strategy on a timely basis

The ability to execute the Proposed Transactions

### ***Substantial Indebtedness***

The impact of the Company' s substantial indebtedness on its business and financial flexibility

The Company' s ability to comply with debt covenants or to refinance the Company' s debt obligations

A downgrade in the Company' s debt ratings, which may increase the cost of borrowing or adversely affect the Company' s ability to access one or more financial markets

The availability of favorable credit and trade terms

### ***Economic Conditions***

Continued volatility in the economy and financial markets, the decline in the housing market, the low level of consumer confidence and high unemployment rates that affect consumer spending or buying habits

Increases in unemployment, healthcare costs, energy costs and commodity prices, which could impact consumer spending or buying habits and the cost of doing business

Changes in interest rates

Food and drug inflation or deflation

### ***Labor Relations***

The Company' s ability to renegotiate labor agreements with its unions

Resolution of issues associated with rising pension, healthcare and employee benefits costs

Potential for work disruption from labor disputes

### ***Employee Benefit Costs***

Increased operating costs resulting from rising employee benefit costs and pension funding obligations

Required funding of multiemployer pension plans

### ***Governmental Regulations***

The ability to timely obtain permits, comply with government regulations or make capital expenditures required to maintain compliance with government regulations

Changes in applicable laws and regulations that impose additional requirements or restrictions on the operation of the Company' s businesses

### ***Food Safety***

Events that give rise to actual or potential food contamination, drug contamination or foodborne illness or any adverse publicity relating to these types of concerns, whether or not valid

### ***Self-Insurance***

Variability in actuarial projections regarding workers' compensation, automobile and general liability



Potential increase in the number or severity of claims for which the Company is self-insured

***Legal and Administrative Proceedings***

Unfavorable outcomes in litigation, governmental or administrative proceedings or other disputes

Adverse publicity related to such unfavorable outcomes

***Information Technology***

Dependence of the Company' s businesses on computer hardware and software systems which are vulnerable to security breach by computer hackers and cyber terrorists

Difficulties in developing, maintaining or upgrading information technology systems

Business disruptions or losses resulting from data theft, information espionage, or other criminal activity directed at the Company' s computer or communications systems

***Severe Weather, Natural Disasters and Adverse Climate Changes***

Property damage or business disruption resulting from severe weather conditions and natural disasters that affect the Company and the Company' s customers or suppliers

Unseasonably adverse climate conditions that impact the availability or cost of certain products in the grocery supply chain

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Goodwill and Intangible Asset Impairment Charges***

Unfavorable changes in the Company' s industry, the broader economy, market conditions, business operations, competition or the Company' s stock price and market capitalization

### ***Accounting Matters***

Changes in accounting standards that impact the Company' s financial statements

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

There were no material changes in market risk for the Company in the period covered by this report. See the discussion of market risk in Item 7A of the Company' s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012.

### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Management of the Company, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company' s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of December 1, 2012. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company' s disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission' s rules and forms and (2) accumulated and communicated to the Company' s management, including the Company' s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, in a manner that allows timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In connection with the evaluation described above, there were no changes in the Company' s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company' s most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company' s internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II – OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Company is subject to various lawsuits, claims and other legal matters that arise in the ordinary course of conducting business. In the opinion of management, based upon currently-available facts, it is remote that the ultimate outcome of any lawsuits, claims and other proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the overall results of the Company's operations, its cash flows or its financial position.

In September 2008, a class action complaint was filed against the Company, as well as International Outsourcing Services, LLC ("IOS"), Inmar, Inc., Carolina Manufacturer's Services, Inc., Carolina Coupon Clearing, Inc. and Carolina Services, in the United States District Court in the Eastern District of Wisconsin. The plaintiffs in the case are a consumer goods manufacturer, a grocery co-operative and a retailer marketing services company who allege on behalf of a purported class that the Company and the other defendants (i) conspired to restrict the markets for coupon processing services under the Sherman Act and (ii) were part of an illegal enterprise to defraud the plaintiffs under the Federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act. The plaintiffs seek monetary damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief. The Company intends to vigorously defend this lawsuit, however all proceedings have been stayed in the case pending the result of the criminal prosecution of certain former officers of IOS.

In December 2008, a class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin against the Company alleging that a 2003 transaction between the Company and C&S Wholesale Grocers, Inc. ("C&S") was a conspiracy to restrain trade and allocate markets. In the 2003 transaction, the Company purchased certain assets of the Fleming Corporation as part of Fleming Corporation's bankruptcy proceedings and sold certain assets of the Company to C&S which were located in New England. Since December 2008, three other retailers have filed similar complaints in other jurisdictions. The cases have been consolidated and are proceeding in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. The complaints allege that the conspiracy was concealed and continued through the use of non-compete and non-solicitation agreements and the closing down of the distribution facilities that the Company and C&S purchased from each other. Plaintiffs are seeking monetary damages, injunctive relief and attorneys' fees. On July 16, 2012, the Court denied plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification. The case is now limited to the two named retailers as plaintiffs. The Company is vigorously defending these lawsuits.

On October 24, 2012, the Office of Self-Insurance Plans, a program within the director's office of the California Department of Industrial Relations (the "DIR"), notified the Company that additional security was required to be posted in connection with the Company's California self-insured workers' compensation obligations of New Albertson's Inc., a subsidiary of the Company ("NAI"), and certain other subsidiaries pursuant to applicable regulations. The notice from the DIR stated that the additional security was required as a result of an increase in estimated future liabilities, as determined by the DIR pursuant to a review of the self-insured California workers' compensation claims with respect to the applicable businesses, and a decline in the Company's net worth. A security deposit of \$271 was demanded in addition to security of \$427 currently provided through the Company's participation in California's Self-Insurer's Security Fund. The Company has appealed this demand. The California Self-Insurers' Security Fund (the "Fund") has attempted to create a secured interest in certain assets of New Albertson's, Inc. for the total amount of the additional security deposit. The Company has conducted negotiations with the Fund and disputes that the Fund has any security interest in such assets. The Company's workers compensation liabilities have previously been reserved by the Company and are reflected in Other long-term liabilities in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Company, NAI, the Fund and AB Acquisition LLC have reached a binding agreement which would be effective as of the closing of the Stock Purchase Agreement referenced in Note 11 which would resolve the dispute with the Fund.

Predicting the outcomes of claims and litigation and estimating related costs and exposures involves substantial uncertainties that could cause actual outcomes, costs and exposures to vary materially from current expectations. The Company regularly monitors its exposure to the loss contingencies associated with these matters and may from time to time change its predictions with respect to outcomes and its estimates with respect to related costs and exposures. With respect to the three matters discussed above, the Company believes the chance of a negative outcome is remote. It is possible, although management believes it is remote, that material differences in actual outcomes, costs and exposures relative to current predictions and estimates, or material changes in such predictions or estimates, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.



## [Table of Contents](#)

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Except as set forth below, there were no material changes in risk factors for the Company in the period covered by this report. See the discussion of risk factors in Part I, Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012 and in Part II, Item 1A of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 8, 2012.

#### Completion of Proposed Transactions

The Company has entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Stock Purchase Agreement") providing for the sale by SUPERVALU of its Albertsons, Acme, Jewel-Osco, Shaw's and Star Market banners and related Osco and Sav-on in-store pharmacies (the "NAI Banner Sale") in a stock sale valued at \$3,200. Concurrently with the execution of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company entered into a Tender Offer Agreement (the "Tender Offer Agreement") providing for a tender of up to 30 percent of the issued and outstanding common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$4.00 per share (the "Tender Offer" and, together with the NAI Banner Sale, the "Proposed Transaction"). The process of implementing the Proposed Transactions may be disruptive to the Company's business operations, may distract the Company's management team from their day-to-day responsibilities and could make it more difficult to retain employees. Any of these risks or uncertainties, as well as the inability to complete the Proposed Transactions in the time period and on the terms contemplated by the Stock Purchase Agreement and the Tender Offer Agreement, could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(in millions, except shares and per share amounts)	Total Number of Shares Purchased (2)	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Treasury Stock Purchase Program	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Treasury Stock Purchase Program
First four weeks				
September 9, 2012 to October 6, 2012	581	\$ 2.41	—	\$ —
Second four weeks				
October 7, 2012 to November 3, 2012	1,662	\$ 2.11	—	\$ —
Third four weeks				
November 4, 2012 to December 1, 2012	211	\$ 2.63	—	\$ —
Totals	2,454	\$ 2.23	—	\$ —

- (1) The reported periods conform to the Company's fiscal calendar composed of thirteen 28-day periods. The third quarter of fiscal 2013 contains three 28-day periods.
- (2) These amounts include the deemed surrender by participants in the Company's compensatory stock plans of 2,454 shares of previously issued common stock. These are in payment of the purchase price for shares acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options and satisfaction of tax obligations arising from such exercises, as well as from the vesting of restricted stock awards granted under such plans.

### ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

### ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

Not applicable.

---

## Table of Contents

### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101 The following information from the SUPERVALU INC. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 1, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Segment Financial Information, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.





**EXHIBIT INDEX**

**Exhibit**

- 31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101 The following information from the SUPERVALU INC. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 1, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Segment Financial Information, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Certification Pursuant to Section 302  
of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, Wayne C. Sales, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of SUPERVALU INC. for the quarterly fiscal period ended December 1, 2012;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: January 10, 2013

/s/ WAYNE C. SALES  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Wayne C. Sales  
Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer

**Certification Pursuant to Section 302  
of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, Sherry M. Smith, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of SUPERVALU INC. for the quarterly fiscal period ended December 1, 2012;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: January 10, 2013

/s/ SHERRY M. SMITH

---

Sherry M. Smith  
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

**Certification Pursuant to Section 906  
of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officer of SUPERVALU INC. (the "Company") certifies that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly fiscal period ended December 1, 2012, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the information contained in that Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company for the period and as of the dates covered thereby.

Dated: January 10, 2013

/s/ WAYNE C. SALES

---

Wayne C. Sales  
Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer

**Certification Pursuant to Section 906  
of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officer of SUPERVALU INC. (the "Company") certifies that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly fiscal period ended December 1, 2012, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the information contained in that Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company for the period and as of the dates covered thereby.

Dated: January 10, 2013

/s/ SHERRY M. SMITH

Sherry M. Smith

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

**Benefit Plans - Additional  
Information (Detail) (USD \$)  
In Millions, unless otherwise  
specified**

**9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01, 2012 Dec. 03, 2011**

<u>Reduction in postretirement benefit obligation</u>	\$ 39	
<u>Contribution to Pension Plans</u>	99	85
<u>Contribution to Other Postretirement Benefits Plans</u>	4	2
<u>Contribution to Multi-Employer Plans</u>	\$ 105	\$ 101

**Long-Term Debt - Long-Term Debt and Capital Lease Obligations (Detail)**  
**(USD \$)**  
**In Millions, unless otherwise specified**

	<b>Dec. 01, 2012</b>	<b>Feb. 25, 2012</b>
<a href="#"><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></a>		
<a href="#"><u>Accounts Receivable Securitization Facility</u></a>	\$ 115	\$ 55
<a href="#"><u>Other</u></a>	49	52
<a href="#"><u>Net discount on debt</u></a>	(232)	(216)
<a href="#"><u>Capital lease obligations</u></a>	1,116	1,096
<a href="#"><u>Total debt and capital lease obligations</u></a>	6,439	6,256
<a href="#"><u>Less current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations</u></a>	(259)	(388)
<a href="#"><u>Long-term debt and capital lease obligations</u></a>	6,180	5,868
8.00% Secured Term Loan Facility due August 2018 [Member]		
<a href="#"><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></a>		
<a href="#"><u>Long Term Debentures and Notes due</u></a>	848	
2.23% to 4.25% Revolving ABL Credit Facility due August 2017 [Member]		
<a href="#"><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></a>		
<a href="#"><u>Long Term Debentures and Notes due</u></a>	636	
3.74% to 5.00% Revolving Credit Facility and Variable Rate Notes due April 2015 - April 2018		
<a href="#"><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></a>		
<a href="#"><u>Long Term Debentures and Notes due</u></a>		1,074
8.00% Notes due May 2016 [Member]		
<a href="#"><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></a>		
<a href="#"><u>Long Term Debentures and Notes due</u></a>	1,000	1,000
7.45% Debentures due August 2029 [Member]		
<a href="#"><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></a>		
<a href="#"><u>Long Term Debentures and Notes due</u></a>	650	650
7.50% Notes due November 2014 [Member]		
<a href="#"><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></a>		
<a href="#"><u>Long Term Debentures and Notes due</u></a>	490	490
6.34% to 7.15% Medium Term Notes due July 2012 - June 2028 [Member]		
<a href="#"><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></a>		
<a href="#"><u>Long Term Debentures and Notes due</u></a>	434	440
8.00% Debentures due May 2031 [Member]		
<a href="#"><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></a>		
<a href="#"><u>Long Term Debentures and Notes due</u></a>	400	400
8.00% Debentures due June 2026 [Member]		
<a href="#"><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></a>		
<a href="#"><u>Long Term Debentures and Notes due</u></a>	272	272
8.70% Debentures due May 2030 [Member]		
<a href="#"><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></a>		

<a href="#">Long Term Debentures and Notes due</a>	225	225
7.75% Debentures due June 2026 [Member]		
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>		
<a href="#">Long Term Debentures and Notes due</a>	200	200
7.25% Notes due May 2013 [Member]		
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>		
<a href="#">Long Term Debentures and Notes due</a>	140	140
7.90% Debentures due May 2017 [Member]		
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>		
<a href="#">Long Term Debentures and Notes due</a>	96	96
7.50% Notes due May 2012 [Member]		
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>		
<a href="#">Long Term Debentures and Notes due</a>		\$ 282



## BENEFIT PLANS (Tables)

### Net Periodic Benefit Expense (Income) for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

Net periodic benefit expense for defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans consisted of the following:

	Third Quarter Ended			
	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	December 1,	December 3,	December 1,	December 3,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 3	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ 1
Interest cost	31	32	1	2
Expected return on assets	(34 )	(29 )	—	—
Amortization of prior service benefit	—	—	(3 )	(3 )
Amortization of net actuarial loss	27	21	2	1
Net periodic benefit expense	<u>\$ 27</u>	<u>\$ 26</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>
	Year-to-Date Ended			
	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	December 1,	December 3,	December 1,	December 3,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 9	\$ 7	\$ 1	\$ 2
Interest cost	104	106	4	6
Expected return on assets	(113 )	(96 )	—	—
Amortization of prior service benefit	—	—	(10 )	(6 )
Amortization of net actuarial loss	91	71	5	3
Net periodic benefit expense	<u>\$ 91</u>	<u>\$ 88</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 5</u>

Subsequent Events - Additional Information (Detail) (USD \$)	0 Months Ended			0 Months Ended			0 Months Ended			0 Months Ended										
	Dec. 01, 2012	Jan. 10, 2013	Jan. 10, 2013	Jan. 10, 2013	Jan. 10, 2013	Jan. 10, 2013	Jan. 10, 2013	Jan. 10, 2013	Jan. 10, 2013	Jan. 10, 2013	Jan. 10, 2013	Jan. 10, 2013								
In Millions, except Per Share data, unless otherwise specified	01, Notes due 2014	7.50% Scenario, Forecast [Member] Save-A-Store	Scenario, Forecast [Member] Retail Food	Scenario, Forecast [Member] Retail Food	Scenario, Forecast [Member] Retail Food	Scenario, Forecast [Member] Retail Food	Acquisition AB [Member] Scenario, Forecast [Member] Minimum	Acquisition AB [Member] Scenario, Forecast [Member] Maximum	Symphony Investors [Member] Scenario, Forecast [Member]	Subsequent Event [Member] Notes due November 2014	Subsequent Event [Member] 7.50% Term Loan A	Subsequent Event [Member] Cerberus	Subsequent Event [Member] Cerberus	Subsequent Event [Member] Cerberus	Subsequent Event [Member] Asset Based Revolving Credit Facility	Jan. 10, 2013 Senior Banners Scenario, Forecast [Member] Minimum	Jan. 10, 2013 Nai Banners Scenario, Forecast [Member] Minimum	Jan. 10, 2013 Nai Banners Scenario, Forecast [Member] Maximum	Jan. 10, 2013 Nai Banners Scenario, Forecast [Member] Maximum	
Subsequent Event [Line Item]																				
Sale consideration																				\$ 3,300
Cash proceeds from sale																				100
Sale in debt & capital lease obligations																				3,200
Equity investment percentage												30.00%								
Number of Stores		1,950	1,300	191																
Current assets sold under Stock Purchase Agreement																				1,400
Non current assets sold under Stock Purchase Agreement																				1,600
Current liabilities sold under Stock Purchase Agreement																				4,900
Non current liabilities sold under Stock Purchase Agreement																				5,100
Buyer unfunded status under stock Purchase Agreement																				1,500
Number of business days																				1,600
Tender Offer Agreement, Date																				3,600
Percentage of issued and outstanding stock under Tender Offer																				3,700
Price to acquire stock under Tender Offer																				1,200
Holding percentage of issued and outstanding stock post Tender Offer																				10
Amount of purchase of common stock under Tender Offer																				Jan. 10, 2013
Percentage of aggregate issuance of primary shares under Tender Offer																				19.90%
Termination fee																				30.00%
Expense reimbursement																				
Revolving credit facility term																				\$ 4.00
Asset-based revolving credit facility borrowing amount																				19.90%
Term loan period																				
Existing term loan																				250
Existing asset-based revolving facility																				19.90%
Refinancing of bond																				5 years
Bond interest rate																				900
Bond maturity period																				6 years
																				846
																				1,500
																				1,650
																				\$ 490
																				7.50%
																				Nov. 30, 2014

Stock-Based Awards - Additional Information (Detail) (USD \$) In Millions, except Share data, unless otherwise specified	1	3 Months	9 Months	12 Months Ended		3 Months	9 Months	1 Months		
	Months Ended	Ended	Ended	Feb. 25, 2012	Feb. 25, 2012	Ended	Ended	Ended		
	May 31, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012	Dec. 03, 2011	Dec. 01, 2012	Dec. 01, 2011	Feb. 25, 2012	Feb. 25, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012	Apr. 30, 2012
						Minimum [Member]	Maximum [Member]	LTIP [Member]	LTIP [Member]	RSAs [Member]
<u>Share-based Compensation Arrangement by Share- based Payment Award [Line Items]</u>										
<u>Total stock-based compensation</u>		\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 12	\$ 12					
<u>Award units grant to certain employees</u>	5									1
<u>Grant date fair value of the award</u>		\$ 2.40		\$ 2.40				\$ 1.38	\$ 1.38	
<u>Share based compensation arrangement by share based payment award equity instruments other than options grant date shares price</u>									\$ 5.77	
<u>Fair value of cash portion grant</u>		\$ 0.13		\$ 0.13	\$ 0.57					
<u>Payout</u>						\$ 0	\$ 177			
<u>Number of stock options granted during the period</u>				8						
<u>Grant date fair value of stock options granted during the period</u>				\$ 0.98						
<u>Stock based award, shares available for grant</u>		0								
<u>Share granted to certain employees at a fair value</u>										\$ 6.15
<u>Term for payout of awards over achievement of financial goals</u>				3 years						

**GOODWILL AND  
INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

GOODWILL AND  
INTANGIBLE ASSETS

**NOTE 2 — GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

Changes in the Company's Goodwill and Intangible assets consisted of the following:

	February 25, 2012	Additions	Impairments	Other net adjustments	December 1, 2012
<b>Goodwill:</b>					
Independent Business goodwill	\$ 710	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 710
Save-A-Lot goodwill	137	—	—	—	137
<b>Total goodwill</b>	<b>\$ 847</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 847</b>

	February 25, 2012	Additions/ Amortization	Impairments	Other net adjustments	December 1, 2012
<b>Intangible assets:</b>					
Trademarks and tradenames – indefinite useful lives	\$ 469	\$ —	\$ (74 )	\$ —	\$ 395
Favorable operating leases, customer lists, customer relationships and other (accumulated amortization of \$361 and \$331 as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, respectively)	664	3	(14 )	11	664
Non-compete agreements (accumulated amortization of \$4 and \$4 as of December 1, 2012 and February 25,	11	—	—	(1 )	10

	2012,				
	respectively)				
Total intangible assets	1,144	3	(88 )	10	1,069
Accumulated amortization	(335 )	(37 )		6	(366 )
Total intangible assets, net	<u>\$ 809</u>				<u>\$ 703</u>

The Company applies a fair value based impairment test to the net book value of goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives on an annual basis and on an interim basis if events or circumstances indicate that an impairment loss may have occurred.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013 the Company experienced declines in revenues and announced the closure of certain stores that are operated under tradenames with assigned carrying values. As a result, the Company performed an interim impairment test of certain intangible assets with indefinite useful lives, which indicated the carrying values of certain intangible assets with indefinite useful lives exceeded their estimated fair values. The Company recorded a pre-tax non-cash impairment charge of \$74 in the Retail Food segment for Trademarks and tradenames with indefinite useful lives during the second quarter of fiscal 2013. There were no impairment charges recorded in the third quarter of fiscal 2013 with respect to Trademarks and tradenames.

Fair values of the Company's tradenames were determined primarily by discounting an assumed royalty value applied to projected future revenues associated with the tradename based on management's expectations of the current and future operating environment. The royalty cash flows are discounted using rates based on the weighted average cost of capital and the specific risk profile of the tradenames relative to the Company's other assets. These estimates are impacted by variable factors including inflation, the general health of the economy and market competition. The calculation of the impairment charge contains significant judgments and estimates including weighted average cost of capital and the specified risk profile of the tradename and future revenue and profitability.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company recorded a \$14 impairment charge for favorable operating lease intangible assets in the Retail Food segment, of which \$12 related to the announced closure of approximately 60 non-strategic stores and \$2 related to underperforming stores. The impairment charges were measured using Level 3 fair value inputs and are a component of Selling and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company recorded preliminary non-cash impairment charges of \$907, comprised of \$661 of goodwill and \$246 of intangible assets with indefinite useful lives. The impairment was due to the significant and sustained decline in the Company's market capitalization and updated discounted future cash flows. The calculation of the preliminary impairment charges contain significant judgments and estimates including weighted average cost of capital, future revenue, profitability, cash flows and fair values of assets and liabilities.

Amortization expense of intangible assets with definite useful lives was \$37 and \$43 for the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011, respectively. Future amortization expense will average approximately \$28 per fiscal year for each of the next five fiscal years.

<b>Goodwill and Intangible Assets - Additional Information (Detail) (USD \$) In Millions, unless otherwise specified</b>	<b>3 Months Ended</b>	<b>9 Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sep. 08, 2012 Store</b>	<b>Dec. 01, 2012 Store</b>	<b>Dec. 03, 2011</b>
<a href="#"><b>Intangible Assets By Major Class [Line Items]</b></a>			
<a href="#">Store closures</a>	60	60	
<a href="#">Non-cash impairment charges</a>			\$ 907
<a href="#">Amortization expense of intangible assets</a>		37	43
<a href="#">Future amortization expense, Year One</a>		28	
<a href="#">Future amortization expense, Year Two</a>		28	
<a href="#">Future amortization expense, Year Three</a>		28	
<a href="#">Future amortization expense, Year Four</a>		28	
<a href="#">Future amortization expense, Year Five</a>		28	
Retail Food [Member]			
<a href="#"><b>Intangible Assets By Major Class [Line Items]</b></a>			
<a href="#">Non-cash impairment charge</a>	74		
Goodwill [Member]			
<a href="#"><b>Intangible Assets By Major Class [Line Items]</b></a>			
<a href="#">Non-cash impairment charges</a>			661
Intangible Assets [Member]			
<a href="#"><b>Intangible Assets By Major Class [Line Items]</b></a>			
<a href="#">Non-cash impairment charges</a>			246
Level 3 [Member]			
<a href="#"><b>Intangible Assets By Major Class [Line Items]</b></a>			
<a href="#">Impairment charges for favorable operating lease intangible assets</a>	14		
Level 3 [Member]   60 Under performing or non-strategic stores [Member]			
<a href="#"><b>Intangible Assets By Major Class [Line Items]</b></a>			
<a href="#">Impairment charges for favorable operating lease intangible assets</a>	12		
<a href="#">Store closures</a>	60,000,000		
Level 3 [Member]   Held And Used Stores [Member]			
<a href="#"><b>Intangible Assets By Major Class [Line Items]</b></a>			
<a href="#">Impairment charges for stores that are held and used</a>	2		

Goodwill and Intangible Assets - Changes in Company's Goodwill and Intangible Assets (Detail) (USD \$) In Millions, unless otherwise specified	9 Months Ended					9 Months Ended						
	Dec. 01, 2012	Dec. 03, 2011	Dec. 01, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012	Feb. 25, 2012	Feb. 25, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012	Feb. 25, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012
			Favorable operating leases, customer lists, customer relationships and other [Member]		Non-compete Agreements [Member]	Accumulated amortization [Member]				Accumulated amortization [Member]		
<b>Goodwill [Line Items]</b>												
<u>Finite-Lived Intangible Assets, Beginning Balance</u>		\$ 664	\$ 11									
<u>Intangible assets, Beginning Balance</u>	809											
<u>Accumulated amortization, Beginning balance</u>				(335)	(361)	(331)	(4)	(4)				
<u>Intangible assets, Ending Balance</u>	703											
<u>Amortization expense of intangible assets</u>	(37)	(43)		(37)								
<u>Intangible assets excluding amortization, Beginning Balance</u>	1,144											
<u>Addition to finite lived intangible assets</u>	3	3										
<u>Impairment of Intangible Assets, Finite-lived Impairment</u>	(88)	(14)										
<u>Finite Lived Intangible Assets Other Net Adjustments</u>		11	(1)									
<u>Finite-Lived Intangible Assets, Ending Balance</u>		664	10									
<u>Indefinite-lived Intangible Assets, Beginning Balance</u>									469			
<u>Intangible assets other adjustments Additions</u>	10			6								
<u>Intangible assets excluding amortization, Ending Balance</u>	1,069											
<u>Intangible assets excluding amortization, Ending Balance Impairments</u>				(366)	(361)	(331)	(4)	(4)		(74)		
<u>Other net adjustments Indefinite-lived Intangible Assets, Ending Balance</u>									395			
<u>Goodwill, Beginning Balance</u>	847									710	137	
<u>Additions Impairments</u>												
<u>Other net adjustments</u>												
<u>Goodwill, Ending Balance</u>	\$ 847									\$ 710	\$ 137	

**Reserves For Closed  
Properties - Changes in  
Company's Reserves (Detail)  
(USD \$)  
In Millions, unless otherwise  
specified**

**9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01, 2012**

<u>Reserves for closed properties at beginning of fiscal year</u>	\$ 141
<u>Additions</u>	40
<u>Payments</u>	(32)
<u>Adjustments</u>	(5)
<u>Reserves for closed properties at end of period</u>	\$ 144



Reserves For Closed Properties - Additional Information (Detail) (USD \$) In Millions, unless otherwise specified	3 Months Ended		9 Months Ended
	Dec. 01, 2012	Sep. 08, 2012 Store	Dec. 01, 2012 Store
<a href="#">Number of non-strategic stores closed</a>		60	60
<a href="#">Impairment charge</a>	\$ 28		

**SUMMARY OF  
SIGNIFICANT  
ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01, 2012**

[SUMMARY OF  
SIGNIFICANT  
ACCOUNTING POLICIES](#)

**NOTE 1 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

*Statement of Registrant*

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of SUPERVALU INC. (“SUPERVALU” or the “Company”) for the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011 are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, contain all adjustments that are of a normal and recurring nature necessary to present fairly the financial condition and results of operations for such periods. The condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012. The results of operations for the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full year. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of February 25, 2012 has been derived from the audited Consolidated Balance Sheet as of that date.

*Accounting Policies*

The summary of significant accounting policies is included in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012.

*Fiscal Year*

The Company’s fiscal year ends on the last Saturday in February. The Company’s first quarter consists of 16 weeks, while the second, third and fourth quarters each consist of 12 weeks. Because of differences in the accounting calendars of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, New Albertson’s, Inc., the accompanying December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets include the assets and liabilities related to New Albertson’s, Inc. as of November 29, 2012 and February 23, 2012, respectively.

*Use of Estimates*

The preparation of the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

*Reclassifications*

During the first quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company revised the presentation of its reportable segments, which resulted in the reclassification of certain prior year amounts in the Company’s Condensed Consolidated Segment Financial Information to conform to the current period’s presentation.

*Cash and Cash Equivalents*

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. The Company’s banking arrangements allow the

Company to fund outstanding checks when presented to the financial institution for payment, resulting in book overdrafts. Book overdrafts are recorded in Accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and are reflected as an operating activity in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, the Company had net book overdrafts of \$279 and \$268, respectively.

#### *Inventories*

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Substantially all of the Company's inventory consists of finished goods.

The Company uses one of either replacement cost, weighted average cost, or the retail inventory method ("RIM") to value discrete inventory items at lower of cost or market before application of any last-in, first-out ("LIFO") reserve. As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, approximately 77 percent and 78 percent, respectively, of the Company's inventories were valued under the LIFO method.

As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, approximately 39 percent and 42 percent, respectively, of the Company's inventories were valued under the replacement cost method before application of any LIFO reserve. The weighted average cost and RIM methods of inventory valuation together comprised approximately 38 percent and 36 percent of inventory as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, respectively, before application of any LIFO reserve.

Under the replacement cost method applied on a LIFO basis, the most recent purchase cost is used to calculate the current cost of inventory before application of any LIFO reserve. The replacement cost approach results in inventories being valued at the lower of cost or market because of the high inventory turnover and the resulting low inventory days supply on hand combined with infrequent vendor price changes for these items of inventory.

The Company uses one of either cost, weighted average cost, RIM or replacement cost to value certain discrete inventory items under the first-in, first-out method ("FIFO"). The replacement cost approach under the FIFO method is predominantly utilized in determining the value of high turnover perishable items, including Produce, Deli, Bakery, Meat and Floral.

As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, approximately 19 percent and 18 percent, respectively, of the Company's inventories were valued using the cost, weighted average cost and RIM methods under the FIFO method of inventory accounting. The remaining 4 percent of the Company's inventories as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012 were valued using the replacement cost approach under the FIFO method of inventory accounting. The replacement cost approach applied under the FIFO method results in inventories recorded at the lower of cost or market because of the very high inventory turnover and the resulting low inventory days supply for these items of inventory.

#### *Impairment of Long-Lived Assets*

The Company monitors the recoverability of its long-lived assets such as buildings and equipment, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable, including current period losses combined with a history of losses or a projection of continuing losses, a significant decrease in the market value of an asset or the Company's plans for store closures. When such events or changes in circumstances occur, a recoverability test is performed by comparing projected undiscounted future cash flows to the carrying value of the group of assets being tested.

If impairment is identified for long-lived assets to be held and used, the fair value is compared to the carrying value of the group of assets and an impairment charge is recorded for the excess of the carrying value over the discounted future cash flows.

For long-lived assets that are classified as assets held for sale, the Company recognizes impairment charges for the excess of the carrying value plus estimated costs of disposal over the estimated fair value. Fair value is based on current market values or discounted future cash flows using Level 3 inputs. The Company estimates fair value based on the Company's experience and knowledge of the market in which the property is located and, when necessary, utilizes local real estate brokers.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company announced the closure of approximately 60 non-strategic stores, resulting in an impairment of \$35 on certain of these stores' long-lived assets.

The Company groups long-lived assets with other assets at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets, which historically has been at the geographic market level.

In response to leadership changes during the second quarter of fiscal 2013 and strategic decisions, the Company initiated the evaluation of its current long-lived asset policy of assessing cashflow and grouping long-lived assets at the geographic market level.

During the initial review of its current policy during the second quarter of fiscal 2013 the Company determined it would be more appropriate to evaluate long-lived assets for impairment at the store level for certain geographic markets which have continued to show higher indicators of economic decline. During the third quarter of fiscal 2013 the Company identified a further geographic market at which it would be more appropriate to evaluate long-lived assets for impairment at the store level, and recorded a pre-tax non-cash impairment charge of approximately \$2.

The Company determined that impairment of its long-lived assets for certain asset groups had occurred based on reviewing estimated cash flows for the asset groups, which were less than their carrying values. The Company's estimate of undiscounted cash flows attributable to the asset groups included only future cash flows that are directly associated with and that are expected to arise as a direct result of the use and eventual disposition of the asset group.

During the second quarter and third quarter of fiscal 2013, based upon the results of impairment testing which indicated the carrying value exceeded the fair value of the asset groups, the Company recorded a pre-tax non-cash impairment charge of approximately \$24 and \$2, respectively, related to equipment, capitalized lease assets, leasehold improvements, and favorable operating lease intangible assets in the Retail Food segment. This charge is a component of Selling and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

Due to the ongoing business transformation and highly competitive environment, the Company will continue to evaluate its long-lived asset policy and current asset groups, to determine if additional modifications to the policy are necessary. Future changes to the Company's assessment of its long-lived asset policy and changes in circumstances, operating results or other events may result in additional asset impairment testing and charges.

#### *Net Earnings (Loss) Per Share*

Basic net earnings (loss) per share is calculated using net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period.

Diluted net earnings per share is similar to basic net earnings per share except that the weighted average number of shares outstanding is after giving effect to the dilutive impacts of stock options, restricted stock awards and other dilutive securities. In addition, during fiscal 2012 for the calculation of diluted net earnings per share, net earnings are adjusted to eliminate the after-tax interest expense recognized during the period related to contingently convertible debentures, if dilutive.

The following table reflects the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per share:

	Third Quarter Ended		Year-to-Date Ended	
	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011
<b>Net earnings (loss) per share—basic</b>				
Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 16	\$ (750 )	\$ (54 )	\$ (616 )
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	212	212	212	212
Net earnings (loss) per share—basic	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54 )	\$ (0.26 )	\$ (2.91 )
<b>Net earnings (loss) per share—diluted</b>				
Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 16	\$ (750 )	\$ (54 )	\$ (616 )
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	212	212	212	212
Dilutive impact of options and restricted stock outstanding	2	—	—	—
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted	214	212	212	212
Net earnings (loss) per share—diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54 )	\$ (0.26 )	\$ (2.91 )

Options of 25 shares were outstanding during the third quarter ended December 1, 2012, but were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive.

Options and restricted stock of 21 shares were outstanding during the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012, but were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive when applied to a net loss. Options and restricted stock of 20 and 21 shares were outstanding during the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 3, 2011, respectively, but were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share as the effect of their inclusion would be antidilutive when applied to a net loss.

#### *Stockholders' Equity*

On July 17, 2012, the Company changed the par value of the Company's common stock from \$1.00 to \$0.01 per share. This amendment resulted in a reduction to Common stock of approximately \$228 and a corresponding increase of \$228 to Capital in excess of par value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 8, 2012. In addition, on July 11, 2012, the Company announced that it had suspended the payment of the regular quarterly dividend. The Board of Directors will continue to review its dividend policy annually.

Fair Value Measurements - Additional Information (Detail) (USD \$) In Millions, unless otherwise specified	3	9 Months			3 Months Ended		9 Months Ended	
	Months Ended	Ended			Dec. 01, 2012	Sep. 08, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012	Dec. 03, 2011
	Sep. 08, 2012 Store	Dec. 01, 2012 Store	Dec. 03, 2011	Feb. 25, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012 Level 3 [Member]	Sep. 08, 2012 Level 3 [Member]	Dec. 01, 2012 Level 3 [Member]	Dec. 03, 2011 Level 3 [Member]
<a href="#">Intangible asset impairment charges</a>					\$ 907	\$ 74	\$ 907	
<a href="#">Amount of long-lived assets</a>		163	130					
<a href="#">Fair value of long-lived assets</a>		82	120					
<a href="#">Asset impairment charge</a>		172	10			81	10	
<a href="#">Number of non-strategic stores closed</a>	60	60						
<a href="#">Difference between fair value and book value of notes receivable</a>		2		0				
<a href="#">Difference between fair value and book value of long-term debt</a>		\$ 682		\$ 171				

**Benefit Plans - Net Periodic  
Benefit Expense (Income) for  
Defined Benefit Pension and  
Other Postretirement Benefit  
Plans (Detail) (USD \$)  
In Millions, unless otherwise  
specified**

**3 Months Ended**

**9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01, 2012 Dec. 03, 2011 Dec. 01, 2012 Dec. 03, 2011**

Pension Benefits [Member]

**Defined Benefit Plan Disclosure [Line Items]**

<u>Service cost</u>	\$ 3	\$ 2	\$ 9	\$ 7
<u>Interest cost</u>	31	32	104	106
<u>Expected return on assets</u>	(34)	(29)	(113)	(96)
<u>Amortization of net actuarial loss</u>	27	21	91	71
<u>Net periodic benefit expense</u>	27	26	91	88

Other Postretirement Benefits [Member]

**Defined Benefit Plan Disclosure [Line Items]**

<u>Service cost</u>		1	1	2
<u>Interest cost</u>	1	2	4	6
<u>Amortization of prior service benefit</u>	(3)	(3)	(10)	(6)
<u>Amortization of net actuarial loss</u>	2	1	5	3
<u>Net periodic benefit expense</u>		\$ 1		\$ 5

<b>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (USD \$)</b> <b>In Millions, except Per Share data, unless otherwise specified</b>	<b>3 Months Ended</b>		<b>9 Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Dec. 01, 2012</b>	<b>Dec. 03, 2011</b>	<b>Dec. 01, 2012</b>	<b>Dec. 03, 2011</b>
<a href="#">Net sales</a>	\$ 7,913	\$ 8,327	\$ 26,542	\$ 27,869
<a href="#">Cost of sales</a>	6,234	6,518	20,818	21,728
<a href="#">Gross profit</a>	1,679	1,809	5,724	6,141
<a href="#">Selling and administrative expenses</a>	1,522	1,610	5,325	5,446
<a href="#">Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges</a>		907	74	907
<a href="#">Operating earnings (loss)</a>	157	(708)	325	(212)
<a href="#">Interest expense, net</a>	126	119	422	394
<a href="#">Earnings (loss) before income taxes</a>	31	(827)	(97)	(606)
<a href="#">Income tax provision (benefit)</a>	15	(77)	(43)	10
<a href="#">Net earnings (loss)</a>	16	(750)	(54)	(616)
<a href="#">Net earnings (loss) per share-basic</a>	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (2.91)
<a href="#">Net earnings (loss) per share-diluted</a>	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (2.91)
<a href="#">Dividends declared per share</a>		\$ 0.0875	\$ 0.0875	\$ 0.2625
<a href="#">Basic</a>	212	212	212	212
<a href="#">Diluted</a>	214	212	212	212
<a href="#">Net sales, %</a>	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
<a href="#">Cost of sales, % of Net sales</a>	78.80%	78.30%	78.40%	78.00%
<a href="#">Gross profit, % of Net sales</a>	21.20%	21.70%	21.60%	22.00%
<a href="#">Selling and administrative expenses, % of Net sales</a>	19.30%	19.30%	20.10%	19.50%
<a href="#">Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges, % of Net sales</a>	0.00%	10.90%	0.30%	3.30%
<a href="#">Operating earnings (loss), % of Net sales</a>	2.00%	(8.50%)	1.20%	(0.80%)
<a href="#">Interest expense, net, % of Net sales</a>	1.60%	1.40%	1.60%	1.40%
<a href="#">Earnings (loss) before income taxes, % of Net sales</a>	0.40%	(9.90%)	(0.40%)	(2.20%)
<a href="#">Income tax provision (benefit), % of Net sales</a>	0.20%	(0.90%)	(0.20%)	0.00%
<a href="#">Net Income (loss), % of Net sales</a>	0.20%	(9.00%)	(0.20%)	(2.20%)
Retail Food [Member]				
<a href="#">Net sales</a>	4,961	5,359	16,982	18,297
<a href="#">Operating earnings (loss)</a>	84	(818)	100	(541)
<a href="#">Net sales, %</a>	62.70%	64.30%	64.00%	65.70%
<a href="#">% of sales</a>	1.70%	(15.30%)	0.60%	(3.00%)
Save-A-Lot [Member]				
<a href="#">Net sales</a>	966	982	3,226	3,236
<a href="#">Operating earnings (loss)</a>	28	59	105	178
<a href="#">Net sales, %</a>	12.20%	11.80%	12.20%	11.60%
<a href="#">% of sales</a>	2.80%	6.10%	3.20%	5.50%
Independent business [Member]				
<a href="#">Net sales</a>	1,986	1,986	6,334	6,336



<u>Operating earnings (loss)</u>	49	66	164	199
<u>Net sales, %</u>	25.10%	23.90%	23.80%	22.70%
<u>% of sales</u>	2.50%	3.30%	2.60%	3.10%
Corporate [Member]				
<u>Operating earnings (loss)</u>	\$ (4)	\$ (15)	\$ (44)	\$ (48)

**CONDENSED  
CONSOLIDATED  
BALANCE SHEETS**  
**(Parentetical) (USD \$)      Dec. 01, 2012 Feb. 25, 2012**  
**In Millions, except Per Share  
data, unless otherwise  
specified**

<u>Common stock, par value</u>	\$ 0.01	\$ 1.00
<u>Common stock, shares authorized</u>	400	400
<u>Common stock, shares issued</u>	230	230
<u>Treasury stock, shares</u>	17	18

<b>Long Term Debt - Additional Information (Detail) (USD \$) In Millions, unless otherwise specified</b>	<b>1 Months Ended Aug. 30, 2012</b>	<b>9 Months Ended Dec. 01, 2012</b>	<b>Feb. 25, 2012</b>
<b><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></b>			
<u>Line of credit facility amount</u>		\$ 200	
<u>Line of credit facility amount outstanding</u>	280	115	
<u>Payment of financing costs</u>	59		
<u>Perfected first-priority mortgage lien and security interest grants term</u>		90 days	
<u>Ground-leased real estate and associated equipment pledged as collateral</u>		1,081	
<u>Line of credit facility prepayment fee percentage</u>		1.00%	
<u>Aggregate commitments under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility</u>		10.00%	
<u>Rate of outstanding borrowings</u>		2.01%	
<u>Facility fee in accordance of current credit rating</u>		0.70%	
<u>Account receivable pledged as collateral</u>		285	
<u>Debt with current maturities that are classified in Long-term debt Term Loan A [Member]</u>		23	28
<b><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></b>			
<u>Term of credit facility</u>	6 years		
<u>Interest rate addition to base rate</u>	6.75%	6.75%	
<u>Line of credit facility amount outstanding</u>	850		
<u>LIBOR floor rate</u>	1.25%	1.25%	
<u>Line of credit facility amount outstanding, current Revolving Credit Facility [Member]</u>		848	
<b><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></b>			
<u>Line of credit facility amount outstanding</u>	1,500		
<u>Maturity date</u>	April 2015		
<u>Term Loan B-2 [Member]</u>			
<b><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></b>			
<u>Line of credit facility amount outstanding</u>	574		
<u>Maturity date</u>	October 2015		
<u>Term Loan B-3 [Member]</u>			
<b><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></b>			
<u>Line of credit facility amount outstanding</u>	446		
<u>Maturity date</u>	April 2018		
<u>Revolving ABL Credit Facility [Member]</u>			
<b><u>Debt Instrument [Line Items]</u></b>			
<u>Term of credit facility</u>	5 years		
<u>Line of credit facility amount</u>	1,650		
<u>Line of credit facility amount outstanding</u>		636	
<u>Facility fees</u>		0.375%	
<u>Letter of credit outstanding</u>		354	

<a href="#">Maximum letter of credit fee</a>		2.125%
<a href="#">Unused credit</a>		599
<a href="#">Assets collateralized by the ABL Credit Facility</a>		\$ 2,385
Minimum [Member]		
<b><a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a></b>		
<a href="#">Net cash proceeds from sale of assets</a>		50.00%
Minimum [Member]   Term Loan A [Member]		
<b><a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a></b>		
<a href="#">Line of credit facility prepayment fee percentage</a>		50.00%
Minimum [Member]   Revolving ABL Credit Facility [Member]		
<b><a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a></b>		
<a href="#">Interest rate addition to base rate</a>	1.75%	1.00%
<a href="#">Facility fees</a>	0.25%	
<a href="#">Interest rate at the rate of ("Prime plus")</a>	0.75%	
Maximum [Member]		
<b><a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a></b>		
<a href="#">Net cash proceeds from sale of assets</a>		100.00%
Maximum [Member]   Revolving ABL Credit Facility [Member]		
<b><a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a></b>		
<a href="#">Interest rate addition to base rate</a>	2.25%	2.00%
<a href="#">Facility fees</a>	0.375%	
<a href="#">Interest rate at the rate of ("Prime plus")</a>	1.25%	

**RESERVES FOR CLOSED  
PROPERTIES (Tables)**

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

Changes in Company's Reserves

	<u>December 1, 2012</u>
Reserves for closed properties at beginning of fiscal year	\$ 141
Additions	40
Payments	(32 )
Adjustments	(5 )
Reserves for closed properties at end of period	<u>\$ 144</u>

**Income Taxes - Additional  
Information (Detail) (USD \$)  
In Millions, unless otherwise  
specified**

**3 Months Ended 9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, Dec. 03, Dec. 01, Dec. 03,  
2012 2011 2012 2011**

**Income Tax [Line Items]**

<u>Number of months within which company does not anticipate significant change in unrecognized tax benefits</u>				12 months
<u>Unrecognized tax benefits decreased</u>				\$ 14
<u>Effective tax rate</u>	47.10%	9.30%	43.90%	1.60%
<u>Discrete tax expense</u>	3			
<u>Valuation allowance</u>	\$ 5			\$ 5

**STOCK-BASED AWARDS  
(Tables)**

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

[Assumptions Related to Valuation of Company's LTIP/Stock Options](#)

The Company used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of the options at grant date based upon the following assumptions.

	<b>2013</b>
Dividend yield	2.1%
Volatility rate	60.5 — 61.2%
Risk-free interest rate	0.6%
Expected life	4.5 — 5.0 years

2013 LTIP [Member]

[Assumptions Related to Valuation of Company's LTIP/Stock Options](#)

The assumptions related to the valuation of the Company's 2013 LTIP consisted of the following:

	<b>2013</b>
Dividend yield	4.1%
Volatility rate	44.8 — 45.8%
Risk-free interest rate	0.4%
Expected life	3.0 years

2012 LTIP [Member]

[Assumptions Related to Valuation of Company's LTIP/Stock Options](#)

The assumptions related to the valuation of the Company's 2012 LTIP consisted of the following:

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Dividend yield	— %	4.2%
Volatility rate	76.7 — 79.1%	47.4 — 51.6%
Risk-free interest rate	0.2 — 1.2%	0.3 — 1.2%
Expected life	1.7 — 3.1 years	2.7 — 3.1 years

**CONDENSED  
CONSOLIDATED  
STATEMENTS OF CASH  
FLOWS (USD \$)  
In Millions, unless otherwise  
specified**

**9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01, 2012 Dec. 03, 2011**

**Cash flows from operating activities**

<u>Net loss</u>	\$ (54)	\$ (616)
-----------------	---------	----------

**Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:**

<u>Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges</u>	74	907
---	----	-----

<u>Depreciation and amortization</u>	679	680
--------------------------------------	-----	-----

<u>LIFO charge</u>	15	57
--------------------	----	----

<u>Asset impairment and other charges</u>	172	10
---	-----	----

<u>Net gain on sale of assets and exits of surplus leases</u>	(59)	(12)
---	------	------

<u>Deferred income taxes</u>	(63)	(37)
------------------------------	------	------

<u>Stock-based compensation</u>	12	12
---------------------------------	----	----

<u>Net pension and other postretirement benefits cost</u>	91	93
---	----	----

<u>Contributions to pension and other postretirement benefit plans</u>	(103)	(87)
--	-------	------

<u>Other adjustments</u>	24	13
--------------------------	----	----

<u>Changes in operating assets and liabilities</u>	(431)	(502)
--	-------	-------

<u>Net cash provided by operating activities</u>	357	518
--	-----	-----

**Cash flows from investing activities**

<u>Proceeds from sale of assets</u>	80	123
-------------------------------------	----	-----

<u>Purchases of property, plant and equipment</u>	(438)	(412)
---	-------	-------

<u>Other</u>	(2)	4
--------------	-----	---

<u>Net cash used in investing activities</u>	(360)	(285)
--	-------	-------

**Cash flows from financing activities**

<u>Proceeds from issuance of debt</u>	1,713	291
---------------------------------------	-------	-----

<u>Payment of debt and capital lease obligations</u>	(1,609)	(437)
--	---------	-------

<u>Dividends paid</u>	(37)	(56)
-----------------------	------	------

<u>Other</u>	(66)	(7)
--------------	------	-----

<u>Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities</u>	1	(209)
--	---	-------

<u>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</u>	(2)	24
---	-----	----

<u>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year</u>	157	172
---	-----	-----

<u>Cash and cash equivalents at the end of period</u>	\$ 155	\$ 196
---	--------	--------



**CONDENSED  
CONSOLIDATED  
STATEMENTS OF  
COMPREHENSIVE  
INCOME (USD \$)**  
In Millions, unless otherwise  
specified

**3 Months Ended 9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01, Dec. 03, Dec. 01, Dec. 03,  
2012 2011 2012 2011**

<u>Net loss</u>	\$ 16	\$ (750)	\$ (54)	\$ (616)
<b><u>Other comprehensive income:</u></b>				
<u>Recognition of pension and other postretirement benefit gain, net of tax of \$10, \$8, \$30, and \$42, respectively</u>	16	14	56	67
<u>Comprehensive loss</u>	\$ 32	\$ (736)	\$ 2	\$ (549)

**SEGMENT  
INFORMATION**

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

[SEGMENT INFORMATION](#)

**NOTE 10 — SEGMENT INFORMATION**

Refer to the Condensed Consolidated Segment Financial Information for the Company's segment information.

**Document and Entity  
Information**

**9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01, 2012**

**Jan. 04, 2013**

**Entity Information [Line Items]**

<u>Document Type</u>	10-Q	
<u>Amendment Flag</u>	false	
<u>Document Period End Date</u>	Dec. 01, 2012	
<u>Document Fiscal Year Focus</u>	2013	
<u>Document Fiscal Period Focus</u>	Q3	
<u>Trading Symbol</u>	SVU	
<u>Entity Registrant Name</u>	SUPERVALU INC	
<u>Entity Central Index Key</u>	0000095521	
<u>Current Fiscal Year End Date</u>	--02-23	
<u>Entity Filer Category</u>	Large Accelerated Filer	
<u>Entity Common Stock, Shares Outstanding</u>		213,457,753

## SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

### SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

#### **NOTE 11 — SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On January 10, 2013, the Company, AB Acquisition LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“AB Acquisition,” or the “Buyer”), and New Albertson’s, Inc., an Ohio corporation and a direct wholly owned subsidiary of SUPERVALU (“NAI”), entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement (the “Stock Purchase Agreement”) providing for the sale by SUPERVALU of its Albertsons, Acme, Jewel-Osco, Shaw’s and Star Market banners and related Osco and Sav-on in-store pharmacies (collectively, the “NAI Banners”) to AB Acquisition, an affiliate of a Cerberus Capital management, L.P. (“Cerberus”)-led consortium which also includes Kimco Realty, Klaff Realty LP, Lubert-Adler Partners and Schottenstein Real Estate Group, in a stock sale valued at \$3,300. The sale will consist of the acquisition by AB Acquisition of the stock of NAI, which owns the NAI Banners, for \$100 in cash, subject to certain adjustments (the “NAI Banner Sale”). NAI will be sold to AB Acquisition subject to approximately \$3,200 in debt and capital lease obligations, which will be retained by NAI.

The consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement is subject to certain closing conditions including, among others, the receipt of regulatory approvals, accuracy of the representations and warranties of the parties (generally subject to a material adverse effect standard), the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to the Tender Offer Agreement (as defined below), the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to certain refinancing documents, receipt of an escrow agreement collateralizing certain obligations relating to certain notes of a subsidiary of NAI, and material compliance by the parties with their respective obligations under the Stock Purchase Agreement. The transaction is expected to close in the first calendar quarter of 2013.

Following the closing of the NAI Banner Sale, SUPERVALU will consist of the Independent Business, which serves approximately 1,950 stores across the country; Save-A-Lot, the Company’s hard discount grocery chain with approximately 1,300 owned and licensed stores; and SUPERVALU’s 191 regional retail food stores under the Cub Foods, Farm Fresh, Shoppers, Shop ‘n Save and Hornbacher’s banners.

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase agreement and subject to recorded amounts at closing, the Buyer will receive total current assets including, Cash and cash equivalents, Receivables net, Inventories and Other current assets estimated to be in the range of approximately \$1,400 to \$1,600, Property, plant and equipment, net, Intangible assets, net and Other assets estimated to be in the range of approximately \$4,900 to \$5,100, total current liabilities including Accounts payable, Accrued vacation, compensation and benefits, Current maturities of long-term debt and Capital lease obligations and Other current liabilities estimated to be in the range of approximately \$1,500 to \$1,600 and Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, Pension and other postretirement benefit obligations, Other long-term liabilities estimated in the range of approximately \$3,600 to \$3,700. In addition, Buyer will assume the underfunded status of New Albertson’s, Inc. related share of the multiemployer pension plans to which the Company contributes. The Buyer’s portion of the unfunded status is estimated to be approximately \$1,200 before tax based on the Company’s estimated proportionate “share” of underfunding calculated as of February 25, 2012. The January 10, 2013, Stock Purchase announcement will require assets and liabilities of the disposal group as described above being classified as held-for-sale as of the Company’s fourth quarter of fiscal 2013. The Company will assess long-lived assets held-for-sale and recognize an impairment charge for any excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair value.

Concurrently with the execution of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company entered into a Tender Offer Agreement, dated as of January 10, 2013 (the “Tender Offer Agreement”) with Symphony Investors, LLC, a newly formed acquisition entity owned by a Cerberus-led investor consortium (“Symphony Investors”), pursuant to which, upon the terms and subject to the conditions of the Tender Offer Agreement, Symphony Investors will commence, within ten business days of January 10, 2013, a tender offer for up to 30 percent of the issued and outstanding common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$4.00 per share in cash (the “Tender Offer”). In the event that Symphony Investors does not obtain at least 19.9 percent of the outstanding shares of SUPERVALU common stock pursuant to the Tender Offer, SUPERVALU will be obligated to issue additional shares of common stock to Symphony Investors (the “Issuance”) at the Tender Offer price such that after giving effect to the Tender Offer and the Issuance, Symphony Investors would own a number of shares representing at least 19.9 percent of SUPERVALU’s outstanding common stock prior to the Issuance. SUPERVALU also will have the option to require Symphony Investors to purchase additional shares of SUPERVALU common stock at the Tender Offer price (the “Optional Issuance”), subject to (i) an overall cap of approximately \$250 on Symphony Investors’s purchase of common stock pursuant to the Tender Offer, the Issuance and the Optional Issuance (collectively, the “Tender Offer Process”) and (ii) a total issuance of primary common shares of not more than 19.9 percent of the Company’s outstanding shares prior to the issuance. The Tender Offer Agreement provides that until the second anniversary of the closing of the Tender Offer Process, transfers of shares acquired by Symphony Investors in the Tender Offer Process will be generally restricted, with more limited restrictions thereafter. Following that period, SUPERVALU has agreed to customary obligations to register such shares acquired with the Securities and Exchange Commission, if requested by Symphony Investors.

The Tender Offer Agreement also provides for certain governance changes following the closing of the NAI Banner Sale and the Tender Offer Process (together the “Proposed Transactions”). Sam Duncan will be elected as President and Chief Executive Officer, replacing current Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Wayne Sales. Five incumbent directors on the SUPERVALU Board of Directors, as identified by the Board, will resign from the Board and the size of the Board of Directors will initially be decreased to seven members from the current ten members. This seven member Board will consist of five current SUPERVALU directors and two Board members designated by Symphony Investors, including Robert Miller, current President and CEO of Albertson’s LLC, who will serve as non-executive Chairman of the Board. Following a search, the Board will be increased to a size of eleven directors with the four new directors to consist of (i) Sam Duncan, (ii) an additional director to be appointed by Symphony Investors and (iii) two additional independent Board members to be selected by the initial seven directors.

Consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Tender Offer is subject to certain customary closing conditions, including, among others, the accuracy of the representations and warranties of the Company (generally subject to a material adverse effect standard), the satisfaction or waiver of conditions to the Stock Purchase Agreement and the consummation of the Banner Sale, and material compliance by the parties with their respective obligations under the Tender Offer Agreement.

Pursuant to the Tender Offer Agreement, Symphony Investors, Cerberus and their affiliates and equity investors have agreed to certain “standstill” provisions that apply after the closing, certain

of which will terminate upon the second anniversary of the closing of the Tender Offer Process (or earlier under certain circumstances) or on the seventh anniversary of closing.

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, SUPERVALU and Albertson's LLC have agreed to enter into a Transition Services Agreement upon consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Albertson's TSA"), pursuant to which SUPERVALU will provide to Albertson's LLC, and Albertson's LLC will provide to SUPERVALU, certain services following the closing of the NAI Banner Sale. In addition, SUPERVALU and NAI will enter into a Transition Services Agreement upon the consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement (the "NAI TSA"), pursuant to which SUPERVALU will provide to NAI, and NAI will provide to SUPERVALU, certain services following the closing of the Banner Sale. SUPERVALU and AB Acquisition will also enter into a Cross-License Agreement upon consummation of the Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Cross-License Agreement") pursuant to which SUPERVALU and AB Acquisition will license to the other party certain names and marks following the Banner Sale.

Closing of the Transactions is expected to occur in the first calendar quarter of 2013. The Transactions are not subject to shareholder approval. The Company has undertaken not to solicit, initiate, or knowingly encourage any takeover proposal other than the Transactions; provided that the Company's Board of Directors may recommend a proposal that is superior to the Transactions and that was made after the Company's execution of the Stock Purchase Agreement and did not arise as a result of a breach of the Company's covenant of non-solicitation.

The Stock Purchase Agreement and Tender Offer Agreement (the "Agreements") contain certain termination rights for both the Company, on the one hand, and AB Acquisition or Symphony Investors, as the case may be, on the other hand, applicable upon, among other events, (i) the Closing having not been completed on or prior to six months after the execution of the Agreements, or (ii) a material breach by the other party that causes a condition to closing not to be satisfied that is or cannot be cured within thirty days' notice of such breach, subject to certain conditions. The Stock Purchase Agreement further provides that, upon termination of the Stock Purchase Agreement under specified circumstances, AB Acquisition would be required to pay the Company a termination fee of either \$175 or \$75. The Tender Offer Agreement further provides that, upon termination of the Tender Offer Agreement under specified circumstances, the Company would be required to pay Symphony Investors a termination fee of \$50 or up to \$25 in expense reimbursement.

Cerberus has provided two limited guarantees to SUPERVALU guaranteeing certain payment obligations under the Agreements.

Following the closing of the Banner Sale, AB Acquisition will own each of NAI and Albertson's LLC. Albertson's LLC (an affiliate of Cerberus, of which Cerberus holds a 30 percent equity stake) owns Albertson's stores in the South and Southwest.

On January 10, 2013, SUPERVALU also announced that, in connection with the Transaction, the Company executed commitment letters (the "Commitment Letters") with lenders for a new five-year \$900 senior secured asset-based loan facility, (the "ABL Facility"), secured by certain of the Company's inventory, credit card, pharmacy and wholesale receivables and certain other assets, and a new six-year \$1,500 senior secured term loan credit facility, secured by substantially all of the Company's real estate and equipment, substantially all of the Company's intellectual property, and the equity interests in Moran Foods, LLC, the parent company of SUPERVALU's Save-A-Lot banner. The proceeds of these financings will be used to replace the existing \$1,650 asset-based revolving credit facility, the existing \$846 term loan, and to call and refinance \$490 of 7.5% bonds scheduled to mature in November 2014. The lead arrangers in respect of the ABL Facility are Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, U.S. Bank, National Association, Goldman

Sachs Bank USA, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., Barclays Bank PLC and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated. The arrangers in respect of the Term Loan Facility are Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Barclays Bank PLC.

**CONDENSED  
CONSOLIDATED  
STATEMENTS OF  
COMPREHENSIVE  
INCOME (Parenthetical)  
(USD \$)**

**In Millions, unless otherwise  
specified**

**3 Months Ended**

**9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01,  
2012**

**Dec. 03,  
2011**

**Dec. 01,  
2012**

**Dec. 03,  
2011**

[Recognition of pension and other postretirement benefit  
gain, tax](#)

\$ 10

\$ 8

\$ 30

\$ 42



## LONG-TERM DEBT

9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012

### LONG-TERM DEBT

#### NOTE 5 — LONG-TERM DEBT

The Company's long-term debt and capital lease obligations consisted of the following:

	December 1, 2012	February 25, 2012
8.00% Secured Term Loan Facility due August 2018	\$ 848	\$ —
2.23% to 4.25% Revolving ABL Credit Facility due August 2017	636	—
3.74% to 5.00% Revolving Credit Facility and Variable Rate Notes due April 2015 – April 2018	—	1,074
8.00% Notes due May 2016	1,000	1,000
7.45% Debentures due August 2029	650	650
7.50% Notes due November 2014	490	490
6.34% to 7.15% Medium Term Notes due Feb 2013 – June 2028	434	440
8.00% Debentures due May 2031	400	400
8.00% Debentures due June 2026	272	272
8.70% Debentures due May 2030	225	225
7.75% Debentures due June 2026	200	200
7.25% Notes due May 2013	140	140
Accounts Receivable Securitization Facility	115	55
7.90% Debentures due May 2017	96	96
7.50% Notes due May 2012	—	282
Other	49	52
Net discount on debt, using an effective interest rate of 6.28% to 8.97%	(232 )	(216 )
Capital lease obligations	1,116	1,096
Total debt and capital lease obligations	6,439	6,256
Less current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(259 )	(388 )
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	<u>\$ 6,180</u>	<u>\$ 5,868</u>

Certain of the Company's credit facilities and long-term debt agreements have restrictive covenants and cross-default provisions which generally provide, subject to the Company's right to cure, for the acceleration of payments due in the event of a breach of a covenant or a default in the payment of a specified amount of indebtedness due under certain other debt agreements. The Company was in compliance with all such covenants and provisions for all periods presented.

On August 30, 2012, the Company entered into two new credit agreements: (i) a five-year \$1,650 (subject to borrowing base availability) asset-based revolving credit facility (the "Revolving ABL Credit Facility"), secured by the Company's inventory, credit card receivables and certain other assets, which will bear interest at the rate of London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 1.75 percent to 2.25 percent or prime plus 0.75 percent to 1.25 percent, with facility fees ranging from 0.25 percent to 0.375 percent, depending on utilization and (ii) a new six-year \$850 term loan (the "Secured Term Loan Facility"), secured by a portion of the Company's real estate and equipment, which will bear interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 6.75 percent and include a floor on LIBOR set at 1.25 percent. These agreements replaced the Company's senior secured credit facilities, which

were composed of a \$1,500 revolving credit facility under which \$280 was outstanding, scheduled to mature in April 2015, a \$574 term loan B-2 scheduled to mature in October 2015 and a \$446 term loan B-3 scheduled to mature in April 2018. On August 30, 2012, the Company paid and capitalized \$59 in loan origination and financing costs which is included in Other Assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Revolving ABL Credit Facility is guaranteed by certain of the Company's material subsidiaries. To secure the obligations under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, the Company has granted a perfected first-priority security interest for the benefit of the Revolving ABL Credit Facility lenders in its present and future inventory, credit card and certain other receivables, prescription files and related assets. In addition, the obligations under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility will be secured by second-priority liens on and security interests in the collateral securing the Secured Term Loan Facility, limited as required by the existing public indentures of the Company, such that the respective debt issued need not be equally and ratably secured.

The Secured Term Loan Facility is also guaranteed by certain of the Company's material subsidiaries. To secure their obligations under the Secured Term Loan Facility, the Company granted a perfected first-priority mortgage lien and security interest for the benefit of the Secured Term Loan Facility lenders in certain of the Company's owned or ground-leased real estate and the equipment located on such real estate. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$1,081 of owned or ground-leased real estate and associated equipment pledged as collateral, classified in Property, plant and equipment, net in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. In addition, the obligations under the Secured Term Loan Facility are secured by second-priority secured interests in the collateral securing the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, subject to certain limitations to ensure compliance with the Company's other outstanding debt instruments.

The loans under the Secured Term Loan Facility may be voluntarily prepaid at any time, subject to a prepayment fee in certain re-pricing circumstances. The Secured Term Loan Facility has required repayments, equal to 1.00 percent of the initial drawn balance each year, payable quarterly, with the entire remaining balance due at the six year anniversary of the inception date. In addition, the Company must apply net cash proceeds (as defined in the Secured Term Loan Facility) from certain types of asset sales in amounts ranging from 50 percent to 100 percent of the net cash proceeds of such transactions (excluding proceeds of the collateral security of the Revolving ABL Credit Facility and other secured indebtedness) to prepay the loans outstanding under the Secured Term Loan Facility. The Company must also prepay the loans outstanding by up to 50 percent of its annual excess cash flow (as defined in the Secured Term Loan Facility). As of December 1, 2012, the loans outstanding under the Secured Term Loan Facility had a remaining principal balance of \$848 at LIBOR plus 6.75 percent and include a LIBOR floor of 1.25 percent, of which \$9 was classified as current.

The Revolving ABL Credit Facility and Secured Term Loan Facility contain customary representations and warranties. These facilities also contain certain operating covenants, which restrict the ability of the Company to take certain actions without the permission of the lenders or as otherwise permitted under the facilities. Although these facilities do not require the Company to comply with any financial ratio maintenance covenants, if the excess availability is less than 10 percent of the aggregate commitments under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, the Company is not permitted to borrow any additional amounts thereunder unless the Company meets a financial ratio set forth in the Revolving ABL Credit Facility.

As of December 1, 2012, there was \$636 of outstanding borrowings under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility at rates ranging from LIBOR plus 2.00 percent to prime plus 1.00 percent. Facility fees under this facility were 0.375 percent. Letters of credit outstanding under the Revolving

ABL Credit Facility were \$354 at fees up to 2.125 percent and the unused available credit under the Revolving ABL Credit Facility was \$599. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$2,385 of inventories collateralized by the Revolving ABL Credit Facility, as well as all of the Company's pharmacy scripts, included in Intangible assets, net and all credit card receivables of wholly-owned stores, included in Cash and cash equivalents in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In November 2011, the Company amended and extended its accounts receivable securitization program until November 2014. The Company can borrow up to \$200 on a revolving basis, with borrowings secured by eligible accounts receivable, which remain under the Company's control. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$115 of outstanding borrowings under this facility at 2.01 percent. Facility fees on the unused portion are 0.70 percent. As of December 1, 2012, there was \$285 of accounts receivable pledged as collateral, included in Receivables in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, the Company had \$23 and \$28, respectively, of debt with current maturities that are classified as long-term debt due to the Company's intent to refinance such obligations with the Revolving ABL Credit Facility or other long-term debt.

**FAIR VALUE  
MEASUREMENTS**

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

[FAIR VALUE  
MEASUREMENTS](#)

**NOTE 4 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value are categorized using defined hierarchical levels directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair value measurements, as follows:

- Level 1 — Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 — Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable;
- Level 3 — Unobservable inputs in which little or no market activity exists, requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions that market participants would use to value the asset or liability.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company recorded \$74 of intangible asset impairment charges, which were measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs. During the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 3, 2011, the Company recorded \$907 of goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges, which were measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs. Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges are discussed in Note 2 – Goodwill and Intangible Assets.

During the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012, Property, plant and equipment and favorable operating lease intangible related assets with carrying amounts of \$163 were written down to their fair value of \$82, resulting in an impairment charge of \$81 primarily related to the announced closing of approximately 60 non-strategic stores. During the year-to-date ended December 3, 2011, Property, plant and equipment related assets with a carrying amount of \$130 were written down to their fair value of \$120, resulting in an impairment charge of \$10. Property, plant and equipment and favorable operating lease intangible related impairment charges were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis using Level 3 inputs and are a component of Selling and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

*Financial Instruments*

For certain of the Company's financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable, the fair values approximate book values due to their short maturities.

The estimated fair value of notes receivable was greater than the book value by approximately \$2 as of December 1, 2012, and the estimated fair value of notes receivable approximated the book value as of February 25, 2012. Notes receivable are valued based on a discounted cash flow approach applying a market rate for similar instruments.

The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt (including current maturities) was less than the book value by approximately \$682 and \$171 as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, respectively. The estimated fair value was based on market quotes, where available, or market values for similar instruments.

**LONG-TERM DEBT  
(Tables)**

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

Long-Term Debt and Capital Lease  
Obligations

	<u>December 1, 2012</u>	<u>February 25, 2012</u>
8.00% Secured Term Loan Facility due August 2018	\$ 848	\$ —
2.23% to 4.25% Revolving ABL Credit Facility due August 2017	636	—
3.74% to 5.00% Revolving Credit Facility and Variable Rate Notes due April 2015 – April 2018	—	1,074
8.00% Notes due May 2016	1,000	1,000
7.45% Debentures due August 2029	650	650
7.50% Notes due November 2014	490	490
6.34% to 7.15% Medium Term Notes due Feb 2013 – June 2028	434	440
8.00% Debentures due May 2031	400	400
8.00% Debentures due June 2026	272	272
8.70% Debentures due May 2030	225	225
7.75% Debentures due June 2026	200	200
7.25% Notes due May 2013	140	140
Accounts Receivable Securitization Facility	115	55
7.90% Debentures due May 2017	96	96
7.50% Notes due May 2012	—	282
Other	49	52
Net discount on debt, using an effective interest rate of 6.28% to 8.97%	(232 )	(216 )
Capital lease obligations	1,116	1,096
Total debt and capital lease obligations	6,439	6,256
Less current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(259 )	(388 )
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	<u>\$ 6,180</u>	<u>\$ 5,868</u>

**SUMMARY OF  
SIGNIFICANT  
ACCOUNTING POLICIES  
(Policies)**

**9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01, 2012**

[Statement of Registrant](#)

*Statement of Registrant*

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of SUPERVALU INC. (“SUPERVALU” or the “Company”) for the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011 are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, contain all adjustments that are of a normal and recurring nature necessary to present fairly the financial condition and results of operations for such periods. The condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012. The results of operations for the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full year. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of February 25, 2012 has been derived from the audited Consolidated Balance Sheet as of that date.

[Accounting Policies](#)

*Accounting Policies*

The summary of significant accounting policies is included in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012.

[Fiscal Year](#)

*Fiscal Year*

The Company’s fiscal year ends on the last Saturday in February. The Company’s first quarter consists of 16 weeks, while the second, third and fourth quarters each consist of 12 weeks. Because of differences in the accounting calendars of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, New Albertson’s, Inc., the accompanying December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets include the assets and liabilities related to New Albertson’s, Inc. as of November 29, 2012 and February 23, 2012, respectively.

[Use of Estimates](#)

*Use of Estimates*

The preparation of the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

[Reclassifications](#)

*Reclassifications*

During the first quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company revised the presentation of its reportable segments, which resulted in the reclassification of certain prior year amounts in the Company’s Condensed Consolidated Segment Financial Information to conform to the current period’s presentation.

[Cash and Cash Equivalents](#)

*Cash and Cash Equivalents*

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. The Company’s banking arrangements allow the

Company to fund outstanding checks when presented to the financial institution for payment, resulting in book overdrafts. Book overdrafts are recorded in Accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and are reflected as an operating activity in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, the Company had net book overdrafts of \$279 and \$268, respectively.

## Inventories

### *Inventories*

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Substantially all of the Company's inventory consists of finished goods.

The Company uses one of either replacement cost, weighted average cost, or the retail inventory method ("RIM") to value discrete inventory items at lower of cost or market before application of any last-in, first-out ("LIFO") reserve. As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, approximately 77 percent and 78 percent, respectively, of the Company's inventories were valued under the LIFO method.

As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, approximately 39 percent and 42 percent, respectively, of the Company's inventories were valued under the replacement cost method before application of any LIFO reserve. The weighted average cost and RIM methods of inventory valuation together comprised approximately 38 percent and 36 percent of inventory as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, respectively, before application of any LIFO reserve.

Under the replacement cost method applied on a LIFO basis, the most recent purchase cost is used to calculate the current cost of inventory before application of any LIFO reserve. The replacement cost approach results in inventories being valued at the lower of cost or market because of the high inventory turnover and the resulting low inventory days supply on hand combined with infrequent vendor price changes for these items of inventory.

The Company uses one of either cost, weighted average cost, RIM or replacement cost to value certain discrete inventory items under the first-in, first-out method ("FIFO"). The replacement cost approach under the FIFO method is predominantly utilized in determining the value of high turnover perishable items, including Produce, Deli, Bakery, Meat and Floral.

As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, approximately 19 percent and 18 percent, respectively, of the Company's inventories were valued using the cost, weighted average cost and RIM methods under the FIFO method of inventory accounting. The remaining 4 percent of the Company's inventories as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012 were valued using the replacement cost approach under the FIFO method of inventory accounting. The replacement cost approach applied under the FIFO method results in inventories recorded at the lower of cost or market because of the very high inventory turnover and the resulting low inventory days supply for these items of inventory.

## Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

### *Impairment of Long-Lived Assets*

The Company monitors the recoverability of its long-lived assets such as buildings and equipment, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable, including current period losses combined with a history of losses or a projection of continuing losses, a significant decrease in the market value of an asset or the Company's plans for store closures. When such events or changes in circumstances occur, a recoverability test is performed by comparing projected undiscounted future cash flows to the carrying value of the group of assets being tested.

If impairment is identified for long-lived assets to be held and used, the fair value is compared to the carrying value of the group of assets and an impairment charge is recorded for the excess of the carrying value over the discounted future cash flows.

For long-lived assets that are classified as assets held for sale, the Company recognizes impairment charges for the excess of the carrying value plus estimated costs of disposal over the estimated fair value. Fair value is based on current market values or discounted future cash flows using Level 3 inputs. The Company estimates fair value based on the Company's experience and knowledge of the market in which the property is located and, when necessary, utilizes local real estate brokers.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company announced the closure of approximately 60 non-strategic stores, resulting in an impairment of \$35 on certain of these stores' long-lived assets.

The Company groups long-lived assets with other assets at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets, which historically has been at the geographic market level.

In response to leadership changes during the second quarter of fiscal 2013 and strategic decisions, the Company initiated the evaluation of its current long-lived asset policy of assessing cashflow and grouping long-lived assets at the geographic market level.

During the initial review of its current policy during the second quarter of fiscal 2013 the Company determined it would be more appropriate to evaluate long-lived assets for impairment at the store level for certain geographic markets which have continued to show higher indicators of economic decline. During the third quarter of fiscal 2013 the Company identified a further geographic market at which it would be more appropriate to evaluate long-lived assets for impairment at the store level, and recorded a pre-tax non-cash impairment charge of approximately \$2.

The Company determined that impairment of its long-lived assets for certain asset groups had occurred based on reviewing estimated cash flows for the asset groups, which were less than their carrying values. The Company's estimate of undiscounted cash flows attributable to the asset groups included only future cash flows that are directly associated with and that are expected to arise as a direct result of the use and eventual disposition of the asset group.

During the second quarter and third quarter of fiscal 2013, based upon the results of impairment testing which indicated the carrying value exceeded the fair value of the asset groups, the Company recorded a pre-tax non-cash impairment charge of approximately \$24 and \$2, respectively, related to equipment, capitalized lease assets, leasehold improvements, and favorable operating lease intangible assets in the Retail Food segment. This charge is a component of Selling and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

Due to the ongoing business transformation and highly competitive environment, the Company will continue to evaluate its long-lived asset policy and current asset groups, to determine if additional modifications to the policy are necessary. Future changes to the Company's assessment of its long-lived asset policy and changes in circumstances, operating results or other events may result in additional asset impairment testing and charges.

## Net Earnings (Loss) Per Share

### *Net Earnings (Loss) Per Share*

Basic net earnings (loss) per share is calculated using net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period.



Diluted net earnings per share is similar to basic net earnings per share except that the weighted average number of shares outstanding is after giving effect to the dilutive impacts of stock options, restricted stock awards and other dilutive securities. In addition, during fiscal 2012 for the calculation of diluted net earnings per share, net earnings are adjusted to eliminate the after-tax interest expense recognized during the period related to contingently convertible debentures, if dilutive.

The following table reflects the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per share:

	Third Quarter Ended		Year-to-Date Ended	
	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011
<b>Net earnings (loss) per share—basic</b>				
Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 16	\$ (750 )	\$ (54 )	\$ (616 )
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	212	212	212	212
Net earnings (loss) per share—basic	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54 )	\$ (0.26 )	\$ (2.91 )
<b>Net earnings (loss) per share—diluted</b>				
Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 16	\$ (750 )	\$ (54 )	\$ (616 )
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	212	212	212	212
Dilutive impact of options and restricted stock outstanding	2	—	—	—
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted	214	212	212	212
Net earnings (loss) per share—diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54 )	\$ (0.26 )	\$ (2.91 )

Options of 25 shares were outstanding during the third quarter ended December 1, 2012, but were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive.

Options and restricted stock of 21 shares were outstanding during the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012, but were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive when applied to a net loss. Options and restricted stock of 20 and 21 shares were outstanding during the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 3, 2011, respectively, but were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share as the effect of their inclusion would be antidilutive when applied to a net loss.

## Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

### *Stockholders' Equity*

On July 17, 2012, the Company changed the par value of the Company's common stock from \$1.00 to \$0.01 per share. This amendment resulted in a reduction to Common stock of approximately \$228 and a corresponding increase of \$228 to Capital in excess of par value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 8, 2012. In addition, on July 11, 2012, the Company announced that it had suspended the payment of the regular quarterly dividend. The Board of Directors will continue to review its dividend policy annually.

## BENEFIT PLANS

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

### BENEFIT PLANS

#### NOTE 8 — BENEFIT PLANS

Substantially all employees of the Company and its subsidiaries are covered by various contributory and non-contributory pension, profit sharing or 401(k) plans. Most union employees participate in multiemployer retirement plans under collective bargaining agreements, unless the collective bargaining agreement provides for participation in plans sponsored by the Company. In addition to sponsoring both defined benefit and defined contribution pension plans, the Company provides healthcare and life insurance benefits for eligible retired employees under postretirement benefit plans. The Company also provides certain health and welfare benefits, including short-term and long-term disability benefits to inactive disabled employees prior to retirement. The terms of the postretirement benefit plans vary based on employment history, age and date of retirement. For most retirees, the Company provides a fixed dollar contribution and retirees pay contributions to fund the remaining cost.

Effective December 31, 2007, the Company authorized amendments to the SUPERVALU Retirement Plan and certain supplemental executive retirement benefit plans whereby service crediting ended in these plans and no employees will become eligible to participate in these plans after December 31, 2007. Pay increases will continue to be reflected in the amount of benefit earned in these plans until December 31, 2012.

Effective August 23, 2011, the Company amended the SUPERVALU Retiree Benefit Plan to modify benefits provided by the plan. The result of this amendment was a reduction in the other postretirement benefit obligation of \$39 with a corresponding decrease to other comprehensive loss, net of tax.

Net periodic benefit expense for defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans consisted of the following:

	Third Quarter Ended			
	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011
Service cost	\$ 3	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ 1
Interest cost	31	32	1	2
Expected return on assets	(34 )	(29 )	—	—
Amortization of prior service benefit	—	—	(3 )	(3 )
Amortization of net actuarial loss	27	21	2	1
Net periodic benefit expense	<u>\$ 27</u>	<u>\$ 26</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>

	Year-to-Date Ended			
	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011	December 1, 2012	December 3, 2011
Service cost	\$ 9	\$ 7	\$ 1	\$ 2
Interest cost	104	106	4	6
Expected return on assets	(113 )	(96 )	—	—

Amortization of prior service benefit	—	—	(10 )	(6 )
Amortization of net actuarial loss	91	71	5	3
Net periodic benefit expense	<u>\$ 91</u>	<u>\$ 88</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 5</u>

During the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012, the Company made contributions of \$99 to its pension plans and \$4 to its other postretirement benefit plans. During the year-to-date ended December 3, 2011, the Company made contributions of \$85 to its pension plans and \$2 to its other postretirement benefit plans.

#### *Multi-Employer Plans*

The Company contributes to various multi-employer pension plans under collective bargaining agreements, primarily defined benefit pension plans. These plans generally provide retirement benefits to participants based on their service to contributing employers. Based on available information, the Company believes that some of the multi-employer plans to which it contributes are underfunded. Company contributions to these plans could increase in the near term. However, the amount of any increase or decrease in contributions will depend on a variety of factors, including the results of the Company's collective bargaining efforts, investment returns on the assets held in the plans, actions taken by the trustees who manage the plans and requirements under the Pension Protection Act and Section 412(e) of the Internal Revenue Code. Furthermore, if the Company was to significantly reduce contributions, exit certain markets or otherwise cease making contributions to these plans, it could trigger a partial or complete withdrawal that would require the Company to recognize its proportionate share of a plan's unfunded vested benefits. During the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011, the Company contributed \$105 and \$101 to these plans, respectively.

The Company also makes contributions to multiemployer health and welfare plans in amounts set forth in the related collective bargaining agreements. A small minority of collective bargaining agreements contain reserve requirements that may trigger unanticipated contributions resulting in increased healthcare expenses. If these healthcare provisions cannot be renegotiated in a manner that reduces the prospective healthcare cost as the Company intends, the Company's Selling and administrative expenses could increase in the future.

## INCOME TAXES

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

### INCOME TAXES

#### **NOTE 6 — INCOME TAXES**

During the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 the Company's unrecognized tax benefits decreased by \$14 from the amount disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 25, 2012, which was primarily the result of filing amended tax returns in states where uncertain tax positions existed. The Company does not anticipate that its total unrecognized tax benefits will change significantly in the next 12 months.

The effective tax rate for the quarter ended December 1, 2012 of 47.1 percent of earnings before income taxes, included a net \$3 of additional provision primarily to reflect amounts taken on tax filings. The effective tax rate for the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 of 43.9 percent included \$5 of net discrete tax benefit resulting from tax planning strategies which is non-recurring. The tax rates for the quarter and year-to-date ended December 3, 2011 of 9.3 percent and 1.6 percent of loss before income taxes, respectively, and reflect the impact of goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges, the majority of which are non-deductible for income tax purposes.

## STOCK-BASED AWARDS

9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012

### STOCK-BASED AWARDS

#### NOTE 7 — STOCK-BASED AWARDS

The Company recognized total stock-based compensation of \$3 for the third quarter ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011. The Company recognized total stock-based compensation of \$12 for the year-to-date ended December 1, 2012 and December 3, 2011. These costs were included in Selling and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

#### *Long-Term Incentive Plans*

In May 2012, the Company granted 5 performance award units to certain employees under the SUPERVALU INC. 2007 Stock Plan as part of the Company's long-term incentive program ("2013 LTIP"). Payout of the award will be based on the increase in share price over the three-year service period ending May 1, 2015, and will be settled in the Company's stock. The grant date fair value used to determine compensation expense associated with the performance grant was calculated utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation. The assumptions related to the valuation of the Company's 2013 LTIP consisted of the following:

	2013
Dividend yield	4.1%
Volatility rate	44.8 — 45.8%
Risk-free interest rate	0.4%
Expected life	3.0 years

The grant date fair value of the 2013 LTIP award was \$1.38 per award unit. At the end of the three-year service period, the award units will be converted to shares based on the aggregate award value as determined by multiplying the award units by the increase in the Company share price over the service period above the \$5.77 grant date share price. The aggregate award will be divided by the closing market price as of May 1, 2015, to determine the number of shares awarded.

In April 2011, the Company granted performance awards to employees under the SUPERVALU INC. 2007 Stock Plan as part of the Company's long-term incentive program ("2012 LTIP"). Payout of the award, if at all, will be based on the highest payout under the terms of the grant based on the increase in market capitalization over the service period, or the achievement of financial goals for the three-year period ending February 22, 2014. Awards will be settled equally in cash and the Company's stock.

The fair value used to determine compensation expense associated with the Company's 2012 LTIP performance grant is calculated utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation. The assumptions related to the valuation of the Company's 2012 LTIP consisted of the following:

	2013	2012
Dividend yield	— %	4.2%
Volatility rate	76.7 — 79.1%	47.4 — 51.6%
Risk-free interest rate	0.2 — 1.2%	0.3 — 1.2%
Expected life	1.7 — 3.1 years	2.7 — 3.1 years

The grant date fair value of the 2012 LTIP award was \$2.40 per share. The fair value of the cash portion of the award is classified as a liability and is remeasured at fair value each reporting

period. As of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, the fair value of the cash portion of the award was \$0.13 and \$0.57 per share, respectively. The minimum payout value of cash and stock is \$0 and the aggregate maximum amount the Company could be required to payout is \$177.

#### *Stock Options*

On July 17, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors granted non-qualified stock options to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, and the Leadership Development and Compensation Committee of the Board granted non-qualified stock options to certain employees, under the Company's 2012 Stock Plan. The Company granted 8 stock options with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$0.98 per share as part of a broad-based employee incentive initiative designed to retain and motivate employees across the Company as it pursues its business transformation strategy. These options vest over three years.

The Company used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of the options at grant date based upon the following assumptions.

	<b>2013</b>
Dividend yield	2.1%
Volatility rate	60.5 — 61.2%
Risk-free interest rate	0.6%
Expected life	4.5 — 5.0 years

The Company did not grant any shares under stock options during the third quarter and year-to-date ended December 3, 2011.

#### *Restricted Stock Awards*

In April 2012, the Company granted 1 share of restricted stock awards ("RSAs") to certain employees under the Company's fiscal 2012 bonus plan at a fair value of \$6.15 per share. The RSAs will vest over a three year period from the date of grant.

**COMMITMENTS,  
CONTINGENCIES AND  
OFF-BALANCE SHEET  
ARRANGEMENTS**

**9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01, 2012**

[COMMITMENTS,  
CONTINGENCIES AND  
OFF-BALANCE SHEET  
ARRANGEMENTS](#)

**NOTE 9 — COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES AND OFF-BALANCE SHEET  
ARRANGEMENTS**

*Guarantees and Commitments*

The Company has outstanding guarantees related to certain leases, fixture financing loans and other debt obligations of various retailers as of December 1, 2012. These guarantees were generally made to support the business growth of independent retail customers. The guarantees are generally for the entire terms of the leases or other debt obligations with remaining terms that range from less than one year to 17 years, with a weighted average remaining term of approximately eight years. For each guarantee issued, if the independent retail customer defaults on a payment, the Company would be required to make payments under its guarantee. Generally, the guarantees are secured by indemnification agreements or personal guarantees of the independent retail customer. The Company reviews performance risk related to its guarantees of independent retail customers based on internal measures of credit performance. As of December 1, 2012, the maximum amount of undiscounted payments the Company would be required to make in the event of default of all of these guarantees was \$82 and represented \$54 on a discounted basis. Based on the indemnification agreements, personal guarantees and results of the reviews of performance risk, the Company believes the likelihood that it will be required to assume a material amount of these obligations is remote. Accordingly, no amount has been recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for these contingent obligations under the Company's guarantee arrangements.

The Company is contingently liable for leases that have been assigned to various third parties in connection with facility closings and dispositions. The Company could be required to satisfy the obligations under the leases if any of the assignees are unable to fulfill their lease obligations. Due to the wide distribution of the Company's assignments among third parties, and various other remedies available, the Company believes the likelihood that it will be required to assume a material amount of these obligations is remote.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into supply contracts to purchase products for resale and purchase and service contracts for fixed asset and information technology commitments. These contracts typically include either volume commitments or fixed expiration dates, termination provisions and other standard contractual considerations. As of December 1, 2012, the Company had \$505 of non-cancelable future purchase obligations primarily related to supply contracts. As of February 25, 2012, the Company had approximately \$650 of non-cancelable future purchase obligations.

The Company is a party to a variety of contractual agreements under which the Company may be obligated to indemnify the other party for certain matters, which indemnities may be secured by operation of law or otherwise, in the ordinary course of business. These contracts primarily relate to the Company's commercial contracts, operating leases and other real estate contracts, financial agreements, agreements to provide services to the Company and agreements to indemnify officers, directors and employees in the performance of their work. While the Company's aggregate indemnification obligation could result in a material liability, the Company is not aware of any matters that are expected to result in a material liability.

On July 11, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors and management, in conjunction with its financial advisors, Goldman Sachs & Co. and Greenhill & Co., LLC, have initiated a review of strategic alternatives to create value for the Company's stockholders. See Note 11 – Subsequent Events for a description of the proposed transactions that have resulted from this review.

#### *Legal Proceedings*

The Company is subject to various lawsuits, claims and other legal matters that arise in the ordinary course of conducting business. In the opinion of management, based upon currently-available facts, it is remote that the ultimate outcome of any lawsuits, claims and other proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the overall results of the Company's operations, its cash flows or its financial position.

In September 2008, a class action complaint was filed against the Company, as well as International Outsourcing Services, LLC ("IOS"), Inmar, Inc., Carolina Manufacturer's Services, Inc., Carolina Coupon Clearing, Inc. and Carolina Services, in the United States District Court in the Eastern District of Wisconsin. The plaintiffs in the case are a consumer goods manufacturer, a grocery co-operative and a retailer marketing services company who allege on behalf of a purported class that the Company and the other defendants (i) conspired to restrict the markets for coupon processing services under the Sherman Act and (ii) were part of an illegal enterprise to defraud the plaintiffs under the Federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act. The plaintiffs seek monetary damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief. The Company intends to vigorously defend this lawsuit, however all proceedings have been stayed in the case pending the result of the criminal prosecution of certain former officers of IOS.

In December 2008, a class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin against the Company alleging that a 2003 transaction between the Company and C&S Wholesale Grocers, Inc. ("C&S") was a conspiracy to restrain trade and allocate markets. In the 2003 transaction, the Company purchased certain assets of the Fleming Corporation as part of Fleming Corporation's bankruptcy proceedings and sold certain assets of the Company to C&S which were located in New England. Since December 2008, three other retailers have filed similar complaints in other jurisdictions. The cases have been consolidated and are proceeding in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. The complaints allege that the conspiracy was concealed and continued through the use of non-compete and non-solicitation agreements and the closing down of the distribution facilities that the Company and C&S purchased from each other. Plaintiffs are seeking monetary damages, injunctive relief and attorneys' fees. On July 16, 2012, the Court denied plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification. The case is now limited to the two named retailers as plaintiffs. The Company is vigorously defending these lawsuits.

On October 24, 2012, the Office of Self-Insurance Plans, a program within the director's office of the California Department of Industrial Relations (the "DIR"), notified the Company that additional security was required to be posted in connection with the Company's California self-insured workers' compensation obligations of New Albertson's Inc., a subsidiary of the Company, and certain other subsidiaries pursuant to applicable regulations. The notice from the DIR stated that the additional security was required as a result of an increase in estimated future liabilities, as determined by the DIR pursuant to a review of the self-insured California workers' compensation claims with respect to the applicable businesses, and a decline in the Company's net worth. A security deposit of \$271 was demanded in addition to security of \$427 currently provided through the Company's participation in California's Self-Insurer's Security Fund. The Company has appealed this demand. The California Self-Insurers' Security Fund (the "Fund")



has attempted to create a secured interest in certain assets of New Albertson's, Inc. for the total amount of the additional security deposit. The Company has conducted negotiations with the Fund and disputes that the Fund has any security interest in such assets. The Company's workers compensation liabilities have previously been reserved by the Company and are reflected in Other long-term liabilities in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Company, NAI, the Fund and AB Acquisition LLC have reached a binding agreement which would be effective as of the closing of the Stock Purchase Agreement referenced in Note 11 which would resolve the dispute with the Fund.

Predicting the outcomes of claims and litigation and estimating related costs and exposures involves substantial uncertainties that could cause actual outcomes, costs and exposures to vary materially from current expectations. The Company regularly monitors its exposure to the loss contingencies associated with these matters and may from time to time change its predictions with respect to outcomes and its estimates with respect to related costs and exposures. With respect to the three matters discussed above, the Company believes the chance of a negative outcome is remote. It is possible, although management believes it is remote, that material differences in actual outcomes, costs and exposures relative to current predictions and estimates, or material changes in such predictions or estimates, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**Long-Term Debt - Long-  
Term Debt and Capital  
Lease Obligations  
(Parenthetical) (Detail)**

**9 Months  
Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

Minimum [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Effective interest rate</a>	6.28%
Maximum [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Effective interest rate</a>	8.97%
8.00% Secured Term Loan Facility due August 2018 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate</a>	8.00%
2.23% to 4.25% Revolving ABL Credit Facility due August 2017 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate, Minimum</a>	2.23%
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate, Maximum</a>	4.25%
3.74% to 5.00% Revolving Credit Facility and Variable Rate Notes due April 2015 - April 2018	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate, Minimum</a>	3.74%
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate, Maximum</a>	5.00%
8.00% Notes due May 2016 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate</a>	8.00%
7.45% Debentures due August 2029 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate</a>	7.45%
7.50% Notes due November 2014 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate</a>	7.50%
6.34% to 7.15% Medium Term Notes due July 2012 - June 2028 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate, Minimum</a>	6.34%
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate, Maximum</a>	7.15%
8.00% Debentures due May 2031 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate</a>	8.00%
8.00% Debentures due June 2026 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate</a>	8.00%
8.70% Debentures due May 2030 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate</a>	8.70%

7.75% Debentures due June 2026 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate</a>	7.75%
7.25% Notes due May 2013 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate</a>	7.25%
7.90% Debentures due May 2017 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate</a>	7.90%
7.50% Notes due May 2012 [Member]	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument [Line Items]</a>	
<a href="#">Debt Instrument, Interest Rate</a>	7.50%

**GOODWILL AND  
INTANGIBLE ASSETS  
(Tables)**

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

Changes in Company's  
Goodwill and Intangible  
Assets

Changes in the Company's Goodwill and Intangible assets consisted of the following:

	February 25, 2012	Additions	Impairments	Other net adjustments	December 1, 2012
<b>Goodwill:</b>					
Independent Business goodwill	\$ 710	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 710
Save-A-Lot goodwill	137	—	—	—	137
<b>Total goodwill</b>	<b>\$ 847</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 847</b>

	February 25, 2012	Additions/ Amortization	Impairments	Other net adjustments	December 1, 2012
<b>Intangible assets:</b>					
Trademarks and tradenames – indefinite useful lives	\$ 469	\$ —	\$ (74 )	\$ —	\$ 395
Favorable operating leases, customer lists, customer relationships and other (accumulated amortization of \$361 and \$331 as of December 1, 2012 and February 25, 2012, respectively)	664	3	(14 )	11	664
Non-compete agreements (accumulated amortization of \$4 and \$4 as of December 1, 2012 and February 25,	11	—	—	(1 )	10

2012, respectively)					
Total intangible assets	1,144	3	(88 )	10	1,069
Accumulated amortization	<u>(335 )</u>	<u>(37 )</u>		6	<u>(366 )</u>
Total intangible assets, net	<u>\$ 809</u>				<u>\$ 703</u>

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Additional Information (Detail) (USD \$) In Millions, except Share data, unless otherwise specified	3 Months Ended		9 Months Ended		3 Months Ended		9 Months Ended		3 Months Ended			
	Sep. 08, 2012 Store	Jun. 16, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012 Store	Jul. 17, 2012	Feb. 25, 2012	Dec. 01, 2012 Options And Restricted Stock [Member]	Dec. 03, 2011 Options And Restricted Stock [Member]	Dec. 01, 2012 Options And Restricted Stock [Member]	Dec. 03, 2011 Options And Restricted Stock [Member]	Jul. 17, 2012 Amended And Restated [Member]	Sep. 08, 2012 Common Stock [Member]	Sep. 08, 2012 Capital in Excess of Par Value [Member]
<a href="#">Significant Accounting Policies [Line Items]</a>												
<a href="#">Number of weeks in first quarter</a>			16									
<a href="#">Number of weeks in second, third and fourth quarter</a>			12									
<a href="#">Net book overdrafts</a>			\$ 279		\$ 268							
<a href="#">Percentage of inventory valued under LIFO</a>			77.00%		78.00%							
<a href="#">Percentage of LIFO inventory valued under replacement cost method</a>			39.00%		42.00%							
<a href="#">Percentage of LIFO inventory valued under retail inventory method and weighted average</a>			38.00%		36.00%							
<a href="#">Percentage of FIFO inventory valued under cost, weighted average cost and retail inventory method</a>			19.00%		18.00%							
<a href="#">Percentage of FIFO inventory valued under replacement cost method</a>			4.00%		4.00%							
<a href="#">Number of non-strategic stores closed</a>	60		60									
<a href="#">Impairment of long-lived assets related to store closures</a>	35											
<a href="#">Pre-tax non-cash impairment charge related to long-lived assets</a>	24	2										
<a href="#">Antidilutive securities excluded from computation of earnings per share</a>						25	20	21	21			
<a href="#">Common stock par value</a>			\$ 0.01	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00					\$ 0.01		
<a href="#">Capital in excess of par value</a>											\$ 228	\$ 228

**Commitments,  
Contingencies and Off-  
Balance Sheet Arrangements  
- Additional Information  
(Detail) (USD \$)  
In Millions, unless otherwise  
specified**

**9 Months  
Ended**

**Dec. 01,  
2012**

**Oct. 24,  
2012**

**Feb. 25,  
2012**

[Remaining terms for guarantees for other debt obligation minimum \(less than given term in years\)](#)

1 year

[Remaining terms for guarantees for other debt obligation maximum \(in years\)](#)

17 years

[Remaining term for guarantee for other debt obligation weighted average \(in years\)](#)

8 years

[Maximum amount of undiscounted payments under the Company's guarantee arrangements](#)

\$ 82

[Guarantor obligation maximum exposure discounted](#)

54

[Non-cancelable future purchase obligations](#)

505

650

[Number of other retailers who have filed similar complaints in other jurisdictions](#)

3

[Security deposit](#)

271

[Escrow deposit](#)

\$ 427

**CONDENSED  
CONSOLIDATED  
BALANCE SHEETS (USD  
\$)  
In Millions, unless otherwise  
specified**

	<b>Dec. 01, 2012</b>	<b>Feb. 25, 2012</b>
<b><u>Current assets</u></b>		
<u>Cash and cash equivalents</u>	\$ 155	\$ 157
<u>Receivables, net</u>	713	730
<u>Inventories</u>	2,402	2,150
<u>Other current assets</u>	195	188
<u>Total current assets</u>	3,465	3,225
<u>Property, plant and equipment, net</u>	5,980	6,362
<u>Goodwill</u>	847	847
<u>Intangible assets, net</u>	703	809
<u>Other assets</u>	862	810
<u>Total assets</u>	11,857	12,053
<b><u>Current liabilities</u></b>		
<u>Accounts payable and accrued liabilities</u>	2,423	2,519
<u>Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations</u>	259	388
<u>Other current liabilities</u>	592	683
<u>Total current liabilities</u>	3,274	3,590
<u>Long-term debt and capital lease obligations</u>	6,180	5,868
<u>Pension and other postretirement benefit obligations</u>	1,027	1,126
<u>Other long-term liabilities</u>	1,369	1,448
<u>Commitments and contingencies</u>		
<b><u>Stockholders' equity</u></b>		
<u>Common stock, \$0.01 and \$1.00 par value, respectively: 400 shares authorized; 230 shares issued</u>	2	230
<u>Capital in excess of par value</u>	3,044	2,855
<u>Accumulated other comprehensive loss</u>	(601)	(657)
<u>Retained deficit</u>	(1,965)	(1,892)
<u>Treasury stock, at cost, 17 and 18 shares, respectively</u>	(473)	(515)
<u>Total stockholders' equity</u>	7	21
<u>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</u>	\$ 11,857	\$ 12,053



**RESERVES FOR CLOSED  
PROPERTIES**

**9 Months Ended  
Dec. 01, 2012**

**RESERVES FOR CLOSED  
PROPERTIES**

**NOTE 3 — RESERVES FOR CLOSED PROPERTIES**

The Company maintains reserves for costs associated with closures of retail stores, distribution centers and other properties that are no longer being utilized in current operations. The Company provides for closed property operating lease liabilities using a discount rate to calculate the present value of the remaining noncancellable lease payments after the closing date, reduced by estimated subtenant rentals that could be reasonably obtained for the property. Adjustments to closed property reserves primarily relate to changes in subtenant income or actual exit costs differing from original estimates.

Changes in the Company's reserves for closed properties consisted of the following:

	<b>December 1, 2012</b>
Reserves for closed properties at beginning of fiscal year	\$ 141
Additions	40
Payments	(32 )
Adjustments	(5 )
Reserves for closed properties at end of period	<u>\$ 144</u>

During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company announced the closure of approximately 60 non-strategic stores. Reserves for operating lease related to these closed properties are recorded at the time of closing. During the third quarter of fiscal 2013, the majority of these previously announced store closings were completed. The Company recorded a charge of \$28 during the third quarter of fiscal 2013. The Company expects that the majority of the remaining store closures will be completed by February 23, 2013, the end of the Company's 2013 fiscal year. The calculation of the closed property charges requires significant judgments and estimates including estimated subtenant rentals, discount rates, and future cash flows based on the Company's experience and knowledge of the market in which the closed property is located, and previous efforts to dispose of similar assets and existing market conditions.

<b>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Basic and Diluted Net (Loss) Earnings Per Share (Detail) (USD \$) In Millions, except Per Share data, unless otherwise specified</b>	<b>3 Months Ended</b>		<b>9 Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Dec. 01, 2012</b>	<b>Dec. 03, 2011</b>	<b>Dec. 01, 2012</b>	<b>Dec. 03, 2011</b>
<b><u>Net earnings (loss) per share-basic</u></b>				
<u>Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders</u>	\$ 16	\$ (750)	\$ (54)	\$ (616)
<u>Weighted average shares outstanding-basic</u>	212	212	212	212
<u>Net earnings (loss) per share-basic</u>	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (2.91)
<b><u>Net earnings (loss) per share-diluted</u></b>				
<u>Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders</u>	\$ 16	\$ (750)	\$ (54)	\$ (616)
<u>Weighted average shares outstanding-basic</u>	212	212	212	212
<u>Dilutive impact of options and restricted stock outstanding</u>	2			
<u>Weighted average shares outstanding-diluted</u>	214	212	212	212
<u>Net earnings (loss) per share-diluted</u>	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (2.91)

**Stock-Based Awards -  
Assumptions Related to  
Valuation of Company's  
LTIP/Stock Options (Detail)**

**9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01, 2012      Dec. 03, 2011**

**Share-based Compensation Arrangement by Share-based Payment**

**Award [Line Items]**

Dividend yield 2.10%

Risk-free interest rate 0.60%

Minimum [Member]

**Share-based Compensation Arrangement by Share-based Payment**

**Award [Line Items]**

Volatility rate 60.50%

Expected life 4 years 6 months

Maximum [Member]

**Share-based Compensation Arrangement by Share-based Payment**

**Award [Line Items]**

Volatility rate 61.20%

Expected life 5 years

2013 LTIP [Member]

**Share-based Compensation Arrangement by Share-based Payment**

**Award [Line Items]**

Dividend yield 4.10%

Risk-free interest rate 0.40%

Expected life 3 years

2013 LTIP [Member] | Minimum [Member]

**Share-based Compensation Arrangement by Share-based Payment**

**Award [Line Items]**

Volatility rate 44.80%

2013 LTIP [Member] | Maximum [Member]

**Share-based Compensation Arrangement by Share-based Payment**

**Award [Line Items]**

Volatility rate 45.80%

Long Term Incentive Plan [Member] | 2012 LTIP [Member]

**Share-based Compensation Arrangement by Share-based Payment**

**Award [Line Items]**

Dividend yield 4.20%

Long Term Incentive Plan [Member] | Minimum [Member] | 2012 LTIP [Member]

**Share-based Compensation Arrangement by Share-based Payment**

**Award [Line Items]**

Volatility rate 76.70% 47.40%

Risk-free interest rate 0.20% 0.30%

Expected life 1 year 8 months 12 2 years 8 months  
days 12 days

Long Term Incentive Plan [Member] | Maximum [Member] | 2012 LTIP  
[Member]

**Share-based Compensation Arrangement by Share-based Payment  
Award [Line Items]**

<u>Volatility rate</u>	79.10%	51.60%
<u>Risk-free interest rate</u>	1.20%	1.20%
<u>Expected life</u>	3 years 1 month 6 days	3 years 1 month 6 days

**SUMMARY OF  
SIGNIFICANT  
ACCOUNTING POLICIES  
(Tables)**

**9 Months Ended**

**Dec. 01, 2012**

[Basic and Diluted Net \(Loss\)  
Earnings Per Share](#)

The following table reflects the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per share:

	<u>Third Quarter Ended</u>		<u>Year-to-Date Ended</u>	
	<u>December 1, 2012</u>	<u>December 3, 2011</u>	<u>December 1, 2012</u>	<u>December 3, 2011</u>
<b>Net earnings (loss) per share—basic</b>				
Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 16	\$ (750 )	\$ (54 )	\$ (616 )
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	212	212	212	212
Net earnings (loss) per share—basic	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54 )	\$ (0.26 )	\$ (2.91 )
<b>Net earnings (loss) per share—diluted</b>				
Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 16	\$ (750 )	\$ (54 )	\$ (616 )
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	212	212	212	212
Dilutive impact of options and restricted stock outstanding	2	—	—	—
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted	214	212	212	212
Net earnings (loss) per share—diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ (3.54 )	\$ (0.26 )	\$ (2.91 )