

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

## FORM 10-Q

Quarterly report pursuant to sections 13 or 15(d)

Filing Date: **2006-05-08** | Period of Report: **2006-03-31**  
SEC Accession No. **0001035704-06-000337**

([HTML Version](#) on [secdatabase.com](http://secdatabase.com))

### FILER

#### **CANYON RESOURCES CORP**

CIK: **739460** | IRS No.: **840800747** | State of Incorporation: **DE** | Fiscal Year End: **1231**  
Type: **10-Q** | Act: **34** | File No.: **001-11887** | Film No.: **06817202**  
SIC: **1040** Gold and silver ores

Business Address  
*14142 DENVER W PKWY STE  
250  
GOLDEN CO 80401  
3032788464*



---

---

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**Form 10-Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

**Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006

or

**Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to

Commission file number 1-11887

**CANYON RESOURCES CORPORATION**

(a Delaware Corporation)

I.R.S. Employer Identification Number 84-0800747

14142 Denver West Parkway, Suite 250 Golden, CO 80401 (303) 278-8464

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 38,320,533 shares of the Company's common stock were outstanding as of May 2, 2006.

---

---

**CANYON RESOURCES CORPORATION**  
**FORM 10-Q**  
**For the Three Months ended March 31, 2006**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

<b><u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u></b>	Page 1
<b><u>Item 2. Management' s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u></b>	Page 15
<b><u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u></b>	Page 22
<b><u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u></b>	Page 23

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

<b><u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u></b>	Page 24
<b><u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u></b>	Page 24
<b><u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u></b>	Page 24
<b><u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u></b>	Page 24
<b><u>Item 5. Other Information</u></b>	Page 24
<b><u>Item 6. Exhibits</u></b>	page 24

**SIGNATURES**

<u>Consulting Agreement - Dr. Gary Huber</u>	Page 25
<u>Certification of CEO Pursuant to Section 302</u>	
<u>Certification of Chief Accounting Officer Pursuant to Section 302</u>	
<u>Certification of CEO Pursuant to Section 906</u>	
<u>Certification of Chief Accounting Officer Pursuant to Section 906</u>	

## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### Item 1. Financial Statements

The following unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared by Canyon Resources Corporation (the “Company”) pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such SEC rules and regulations.

These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying notes included in the Company’s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

<a href="#">Consolidated Balance Sheets</a>	Page 1
<a href="#">Consolidated Statements of Operations</a>	Page 2
<a href="#">Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</a>	Pages 3-4
<a href="#">Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders’ Equity</a>	Page 5
Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements	Pages 6-14
<b>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</b>	Page 15
<b>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</b>	Page 22
<b>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</b>	Page 23

[Table of Contents](#)**CANYON RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$4,560,600	\$ 5,649,200
Restricted cash	–	281,300
Accounts receivable	19,700	16,400
Metal inventories	18,400	65,900
Prepaid and other current assets	173,100	170,900
<b>Total current assets</b>	<u>4,771,800</u>	<u>6,183,700</u>
Property, plant and mine development, net	5,851,400	5,276,700
Restricted cash	3,273,800	2,939,900
Other non current assets	246,400	246,400
<b>Total Assets</b>	<u>\$14,143,400</u>	<u>\$ 14,646,700</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Accounts payable	\$505,300	\$ 474,100
Asset retirement obligations	1,026,200	1,210,600
Payroll liabilities	100,600	216,900
Other current liabilities	77,400	86,600
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<u>1,709,500</u>	<u>1,988,200</u>
Notes payable – long term	825,000	825,000
Warrant liabilities	505,900	360,000
Capital leases – long term	24,300	25,900
Asset retirement obligations	4,471,500	4,558,600
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<u>7,536,200</u>	<u>7,757,700</u>
<b>Commitments and contingencies (Note 12)</b>		
Common stock (\$.01 par value) 100,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding: 38,320,533 at March 31, 2006, and 38,320,533 at December 31, 2005	383,200	383,200
Capital in excess of par value	134,050,200	133,949,900
Retained deficit	(127,826,200)	(127,444,100)
<b>Total Stockholders' Equity</b>	<u>6,607,200</u>	<u>6,889,000</u>
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>	<u>\$14,143,400</u>	<u>\$ 14,646,700</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)**CANYON RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
<b>REVENUE</b>		
Sales	<u>\$ 593,300</u>	<u>\$ 1,002,200</u>
<b>EXPENSES</b>		
Cost of sales	453,600	1,130,200
Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	8,900	909,900
Selling, general and administrative	867,800	608,500
Exploration costs	309,100	171,300
Accretion expense	50,800	33,500
Debenture conversion expense	–	448,200
	<u>1,690,200</u>	<u>3,301,600</u>
Operating Loss	<u>(1,096,900)</u>	<u>(2,299,400)</u>
<b>OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)</b>		
Interest income	57,700	32,700
Interest expense	(13,000 )	(41,200 )
Gain on sale of securities	816,000	–
Loss on derivative instruments	(145,900 )	–
	<u>714,800</u>	<u>(8,500 )</u>
Net loss	<u>(\$382,100 )</u>	<u>(\$2,307,900 )</u>
Basic and diluted net loss per share	<u>(\$0.01 )</u>	<u>(\$0.08 )</u>
Basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding	<u>38,320,533</u>	<u>30,268,200</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

## CANYON RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	(\$382,100 )	(\$2,307,900)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	8,900	909,900
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature	–	11,300
Debt conversion expense	–	448,200
Impairment of inventory	–	128,200
Loss on derivative instruments	145,900	–
Share-based compensation expense	100,300	–
Gain on sale of securities	(816,000 )	–
Accretion of asset retirement obligation	50,800	33,500
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	(3,300 )	549,400
Decrease in inventories	47,500	176,300
Increase in prepaid and other assets	(2,200 )	(100 )
Decrease in accounts payable and other current liabilities	(231,000 )	(120,300 )
Decrease in asset retirement obligations	(322,300 )	(381,200 )
Increase in restricted cash	(52,600 )	(9,100 )
Total adjustments	(1,074,000)	1,746,100
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,456,100)	(561,800 )
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases and development of property and equipment	(447,100 )	(14,800 )
Proceeds from sale of securities	816,000	–
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	368,900	(14,800 )
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Issuance of stock, net	–	3,100,900
Payments on debt	–	(924,000 )
Payments on capital lease obligations	(1,400 )	(4,000 )
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(1,400 )	2,172,900
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(1,088,600)	1,596,300
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	5,649,200	4,638,300
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 4,560,600	\$ 6,234,600

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



[Table of Contents](#)

**CANYON RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS, continued**  
(Unaudited)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
1. Interest paid	\$ 13,000	\$ 29,900
2. Income taxes paid	\$ -	\$ -

**Supplemental schedule of non-cash financing activities:**

Non-cash financing activities:

1. Issuance of 936,200 shares to retire convertible debentures	\$ -	\$ 675,000
--	------	------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**CANYON RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Deficit	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Number of Shares	At Par Value			
Balances, December 31, 2005	38,320,533	\$383,200	\$ 133,949,900	(\$127,444,100)	\$ 6,889,000
Share-based compensation	—	—	100,300	—	100,300
Net loss				(382,100)	(382,100)
Balances, March 31, 2006	<u>38,320,533</u>	<u>\$383,200</u>	<u>\$ 134,050,200</u>	<u>(\$127,826,200)</u>	<u>\$ 6,607,200</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

### **1. Basis of Presentation:**

During interim periods, Canyon Resources Corporation (the "Company") follows the accounting policies set forth in its Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year-ended December 31, 2005, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Users of financial information produced for interim periods are encouraged to refer to the footnotes contained in such Annual Report on form 10-K when reviewing interim financial results.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying interim financial statements contain all material adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the consolidated financial position, the results of operations, and the cash flows of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries for interim periods. These interim results are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations or cash flows for the full year ending December 31, 2006.

### **2. Management Estimates and Assumptions:**

Certain amounts included in or affecting the Company's consolidated financial statements and related disclosures must be estimated, requiring that certain assumptions be made with respect to values or conditions which cannot be made with certainty at the time the consolidated financial statements are prepared. Therefore, the reported amounts of the Company's assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and associated disclosures with respect to contingent assets and obligations are necessarily affected by these estimates. The Company evaluates these estimates on an ongoing basis, utilizing historical experience, consultation with experts, and other methods considered reasonable in the particular circumstances. Nevertheless, actual results may differ significantly from the Company's estimates. The most significant estimates relate to timing and amount of asset retirement obligations at the Briggs, Kendall, and Seven-Up Pete properties, the fair value of warrant liabilities, fair value of employee and non-employee options, and the realizable value of the Company's long-lived assets.

### **3. Restricted Cash:**

Restricted cash consisted of the following at:

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Collateral for Letter of Credit (a)	\$ 249,000	\$ 249,000
Collateral for reclamation bonds and other contingent events (b)	158,200	156,500
Kendall Mine reclamation (c)	2,028,400	2,007,500
McDonald Gold Project cash reclamation bond (d)	526,900	526,900
Net proceeds from property sales (e)	281,300	281,300
Briggs cash reclamation bond (f)	30,000	-
	3,273,800	3,221,200
Current portion (e)	-	281,300
Noncurrent portion	\$ 3,273,800	\$ 2,939,900

- (a) In connection with the issuance of certain bonds for the performance of reclamation obligations and other contingent events at the Briggs Mine, a bank letter of credit was provided in favor of the surety as partial collateral for such bond obligations. The letter of credit is fully collateralized with cash and will expire no earlier than December 31, 2006, and at the bank's option, may be renewed for successive one-year periods.
- (b) Held directly by the surety as partial collateral for reclamation and other contingent events at the Briggs Mine.
- (c) Held directly by the Montana Department of Environmental Quality in an interest bearing account for use in continuing reclamation at the Kendall minesite. (See Note 12(a))

## Table of Contents

- (d) Held directly by the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) for reclamation at the McDonald Gold Property.
- (e) In connection with the auction of certain properties, cash has been sequestered by court order. (See Note 12(d)).
- (f) Cash bond held by Bank of America for the performance of reclamation obligations for Cecil R exploration activities at the Briggs Mine.

### **4. Inventories:**

Metal inventories consisted of the following as of:

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Broken ore under leach	\$ -	\$ -
Doré	18,400	65,900
	<u>\$ 18,400</u>	<u>\$ 65,900</u>

The Company wrote down its metal inventory at the Briggs Mine to net realizable value by \$128,200 during the first quarter of 2005. Inventory write downs are included in cost of sales in the consolidated statement of operations.

### **5. Property, Plant and Mine Development:**

The following summary of property, plant and mine development has been reclassified from prior period disclosures in order to provide additional disclosures:

	Depreciation Method	As of March 31, 2006		
		Asset Value at Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Net Book Value
Buildings and Equipment	1 - 5 Years SL	\$ 5,943,900	\$ 4,020,900	\$ 1,923,000
Mine Development	UOP	569,700	-	569,700
Mineral Interest	UOP	7,021,900	3,820,900	3,201,000
Asset Retirement Cost	UOP	157,700	-	157,700
		<u>\$ 13,693,200</u>	<u>\$ 7,841,800</u>	<u>\$ 5,851,400</u>

The year-to-date increase in property, plant and mine development was due primarily to the \$0.6 million increase in mine development at Briggs, where we began capitalizing the direct costs of re-starting the mining operations since January 1, 2006.

	Depreciation Method	As of December 31, 2005		
		Asset Value at Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Net Book Value
Buildings and Equipment	1 - 5 Years SL	\$ 5,940,400	\$ 4,012,000	\$ 1,928,400
Mine Development	UOP	-	-	-
Mineral Interest	UOP	7,011,500	3,820,900	3,190,600
Asset Retirement Cost	UOP	157,700	-	157,700
		<u>\$ 13,109,600</u>	<u>\$ 7,832,900</u>	<u>\$ 5,276,700</u>

### **6. Asset Retirement Obligations:**

## Table of Contents

The Company's estimated asset retirement obligations include site specific costs for earthwork, revegetation, water treatment and dismantlement of facilities for its current or recently producing mineral properties.

The following provides a reconciliation of the Company's beginning and ending carrying values for its asset retirement obligations in the current year:

Balance, December 31, 2005	\$5,769,200
Settlement of liabilities	(322,300 )
Accretion expense	50,800
Balance, March 31, 2006	5,497,700
Current portion	1,026,200
Non current portion	<u>\$4,471,500</u>

### **7. Notes Payable:**

Notes payable consisted of the following as of:

Balance, December 31, 2005	\$825,000
Conversions/Retirements	-
Balance, March 31, 2006	825,000
Current portion	-
Non current portion	<u>\$825,000</u>

In March 2005, \$924,000 of principal was repaid on the company's debentures, \$675,000 was converted into units consisting of common stock and warrants and \$825,000 of the remaining debentures were extended to March 2011.

The \$675,000 debentures were converted to 936,200 shares of common stock and 468,099 warrants based on a stock price of \$0.721. The Company accounted for the conversion as an inducement and recorded the fair value of the incremental shares of common stock and warrants issued as a debenture conversion expense of \$448,200 in the consolidated statement of operations.

The \$825,000 debentures which were extended to March 2011 were accounted for as a debt extinguishment however no gain or loss was recognized. The convertible feature in the modified convertible debenture was not considered an embedded derivative that required bifurcation and subsequent remeasurement at fair value. The debentures have an interest rate of 6% and are convertible to common stock at \$1.38 per share. The Company's stock price at the end of March 2006 was \$0.87.

Interest expense for the debentures was approximately \$12,200 and \$29,300 and included in the interest expense was the accretion of the debt discount of nil and \$11,300 for the first quarter of 2006 and 2005, respectively.

### **8. Warrants and Warrant Liability:**

A summary of the outstanding warrants as of March 31, 2006, follows:

Range of Exercise Prices	Shares Underlying Warrants Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.50-\$1.00	281,000	2.5 years	\$ 0.77
\$1.01-\$1.50	5,299,723	2.0 years	\$ 1.13
\$1.51-\$2.16	2,199,836	0.2 years	\$ 2.16
Total/Average	7,780,559	1.5 years	\$ 1.41

## [Table of Contents](#)

Warrants issued in connection with financing activities are subject to the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue 00-19: *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock*. EITF 00-19 describes which warrants should be classified as either equity or liability. If the warrant is determined to be a liability, the liability is fair valued each reporting period with the changes recorded to the consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statement of operations.

The 2.9 million warrants issued in connection with the December 2, 2005 private placement were determined to be a liability with an estimated fair value of \$505,900 as of March 31, 2006. The fair value of the warrant liability increased \$145,900 from the year-end balance of \$360,000, which was recorded as a loss on derivative instruments in the consolidated statement of operations.

These warrants are classified as a liability rather than equity because the Subscription Agreement provides for certain registration rights associated with the warrants include uncapped liquidated damages upon certain events payable in cash equal to 2% of the purchase price for the first 30 day period or portion thereof and 1% of the purchase price for each subsequent 30 day period or portion thereof from the date of event. Events causing liquidated damages include suspension or delisting of the Company's stock from the AMEX for more than three business days. The outstanding warrants are fair valued each reporting period and the warrant liability adjusted accordingly.

A summary of the December 2005 outstanding warrants recorded as a liability at fair value as of March 31, 2006, follows:

Warrant Description	Exercise Price	Expiration Date	Outstanding Dec. 31, 2005	Exercises	Expirations	Outstanding Mar. 31, 2006
Series A	\$ 1.30	12/ 1/ 2008	1,765,503	-	-	1,765,503
Series B	\$ 1.08	12/ 1/ 2006	882,754	-	-	882,754
Series C	\$ 0.76	12/ 1/ 2008	231,000	-	-	231,000
Total/ Average	\$ 1.19		2,879,257			2,879,257

The warrant liability related to the financing on December 2, 2005 was recorded at fair value as of March 31, 2006 based on the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model using the following assumptions: volatility - 50%; risk-free interest rate of 4.8%; expected life equal to the remaining contractual life of between 0.7 and 2.7 years; and contractual exercise prices of between \$0.76 and \$1.30 per share of common stock.

### **9. Equity Transactions:**

On March 15, 2005, the Company completed a financing which raised \$3,101,000 through the sale of 4,366,734 units. Each unit consisted of one share of common stock and one-half warrant. The warrant has a three year term that could not be exercised before September 22, 2005 and expires March 14, 2008 with an exercise price of \$1.03 per share. The shares were registered through a shelf registration statement. The warrants and the shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrants have been registered. Aggregate proceeds of approximately \$2.7 million would be realized upon exercise of these warrants. The relative fair value of the new warrants issued was \$480,000 and this amount is included in Capital in Excess of Par Value in the Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity.

### **10. Share-Based Compensation:**

As required by the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R (As Amended) *Share-Based Payment*, the Company has adopted SFAS 123R as of January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method of transition and recorded \$100,300 of compensation expense during the three months ended March 31, 2006. Under the modified prospective method of transition the Company has amortized the fair value of share-based compensation granted or modified after the effective date and the nonvested portion of awards as of the effective date.

The pro forma impact of share-based payments on the three month ended March 31, 2005 results of operations was an increase in compensation expense of \$88,500, which did not change the rounding of the reported basic and diluted loss per share.

## Table of Contents

The Company has two stock option plans, an Incentive Stock Option Plan (ISO Plan) and a Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan (NSO Plan). The Company adopted the ISO Plan on April 12, 1982, which was amended and restated and approved by our shareholders on June 10, 2004, whereby options to purchase shares of its common stock may be granted to employees, including those who are also directors, or subsidiary corporations in which the Company owns greater than a 50% interest. Exercise price for the options is at least equal to 100% of the market price of common stock at the date of grant for employees who own 10% or less of the total voting stock; and 110% of the market price of the Company's common stock at the date of grant for employees who own more than 10% of its voting stock. Options granted can have a term no longer than 10 years and are first exercisable at dates determined at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors. The ISO Plan expires March 10, 2014 and is limited to a total of 2,625,000 shares of Common Stock underlying options under the ISO Plan.

On March 20, 1989, the Company's Board of Directors approved the adoption of a NSO Plan, which was amended and restated and approved by our shareholders on June 10, 2004. Under the NSO Plan, the Board of Directors may award stock options to consultants, directors and key employees of the Company, and its subsidiaries and affiliates, who are responsible for the Company's growth and profitability. The NSO Plan does not provide criteria for determining the number of options an individual shall be awarded, or the term of such options, but confers broad discretion on the Board of Directors to make these decisions. Options granted under the NSO Plan may not have a term longer than 10 years or an exercise price less than 50 percent of the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the time the option is granted. The NSO Plan expires March 10, 2014 and is limited to a total of 2,187,500 shares of Common Stock underlying options under the NSO Plan.

The fair value of options issued during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 were determined using the Black-Scholes model with the following assumptions:

	2006	2005
Expected Volatility		
ISO Plan	50 %	75 %
NSO Plan	50 %	N/A
Expected Option Term		
ISO Plan	2.5-3 years	5 years
NSO Plan	3 years	N/A
Weighted Average Risk-Free Interest Rate		
ISO Plan	4.3%	4.0 %
NSO Plan	4.5%	N/A
Forfeiture rate		
ISO Plan (1)	—	—
NSO Plan (2)	—	N/A

(1) Most grants were immediately exercisable; some have a one year vesting period.

(2) These options, which vest immediately, were issued to a past officer and director for consulting services.

### **Incentive Stock Option Plan**

ISO Plan activity during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 was as follows:

## [Table of Contents](#)

	2006		2005	
	Number	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding – beginning of year	1,165,925	\$1.32	927,925	\$1.51
Grants	200,000	\$0.76	100,000	\$0.81
Exercises	–	–	–	–
Forfeitures	(124,585 )	\$1.70	–	–
Expirations	–	–	–	–
Outstanding – end of period	<u>1,241,340</u>	<u>\$1.19</u>	<u>1,027,925</u>	<u>\$1.44</u>
Exercisable – end of period	1,141,340	\$1.23	977,925	\$1.47

At March 31, 2006, there were 913,038 shares of common stock available for future issuance. The aggregate intrinsic value of the ending outstanding and exercisable options as of March 31, 2006 was nil based on the Company's weighted-average and ending stock prices. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during 2006 was \$0.27 per option or \$54,800.

### **Non-Qualified Option Plan**

NSO Plan activity during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 was as follows:

	2006		2005	
	Number	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding – beginning of year	591,601	\$2.65	571,601	\$2.81
Grants	150,000	\$0.94	–	–
Exercises	–	–	–	–
Forfeitures	(20,415 )	\$3.38	–	–
Expirations	–	–	–	–
Outstanding – end of period	<u>721,186</u>	<u>\$2.27</u>	<u>571,601</u>	<u>\$2.81</u>
Exercisable – end of period	641,186	\$2.46	491,601	\$2.50

At March 31, 2006, there were 1,143,814 shares of common stock available for future issuance. The aggregate intrinsic value of the ending outstanding and exercisable options as of March 31, 2006 was nil based on the Company's weighted-average and ending stock prices. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during 2006 was \$0.36 per option or \$53,600.



**11. Earnings per Share:**

The Company computes earnings per share (EPS) by applying the provisions of SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*. As the Company reported net losses for all periods presented, inclusion of common stock equivalents would have an antidilutive effect on per share amounts. Accordingly, the Company's basic and diluted EPS computations are the same for all periods presented. Common stock equivalents, which include stock options, warrants to purchase common stock and convertible debentures, in the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 that were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the effect would be antidilutive were 10,421,600 and 5,706,000, respectively.

**12. Commitments and Contingencies:**

(a) Kendall Mine Reclamation

The Kendall Mine operates under permits granted by the Montana DEQ. In February 2002, the DEQ issued a decision that a comprehensive Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was needed for completion of remaining reclamation at Kendall. The Company's estimate to achieve mine closure could be impacted by the outcome of an agency decision following an EIS. The Company has deposited \$2,028,400 in an interest bearing account with the DEQ for reclamation at the Kendall Mine.

(b) Briggs Mine Surety Bonds

The Briggs Mine operates under permits granted by various agencies including BLM, Inyo County, California, the California Department of Conservation, and the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board (Lahontan). These agencies have jointly required the Company to post a reclamation bond in the amount of \$3,030,000 to ensure appropriate reclamation.

Additionally, the Company was required by Lahontan to post a \$1,010,000 bond to ensure adequate funds to mitigate any "foreseeable release", as defined, of pollutants to state waters. Both bonds are subject to annual review and adjustment.

In 2000, in response to a demand for an increase in collateral by the surety who issued the above described bonds, the Company granted a security interest in 28,000 acres of mineral interests in Montana. In addition, the Company agreed to make cash deposits with the surety totaling \$1.5 million over a three year period at the rate of \$0.5 million per year, commencing June 30, 2001. The Company has not made any deposits to date, and has held discussions with the surety to reschedule the deposit requirements. If an acceptable rescheduling of the deposit requirements cannot be agreed to, the surety could seek to terminate the bonds which could result in the Company becoming liable for the principal amounts under its collateral agreement with the surety. In April 2004, the Company ceased active mining at Briggs due to lack of development and began reclamation activities. The Company has spent approximately \$1.9 million on Briggs reclamation through the first quarter ended March 31, 2006.

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2005 and through the first quarter ended March 31, 2006, the Company began exploring the possibility that additional reserves may remain in and around the Briggs pits. Due to the initial success in the infill drilling program on the Briggs property and the addition of the Reward property that could utilize the Briggs processing plant to process potential gold production, the Company is currently performing certain redevelopment activities at Briggs designed to enhance our ability to bring the mine back into production as soon as possible.

(c) Contingent Liability – McDonald Gold Project

Under the Seven-Up Pete Venture ("SPV") purchase agreement with Phelps Dodge Corporation (Phelps Dodge), the Company is required to make a final payment of \$10 million upon issuance of all permits required for construction of the McDonald Gold Project, or alternatively, one-third of any proceeds received from a takings lawsuit. The purchase payments are collateralized only by the 72.25% participating interest and underlying assets in the SPV transferred from Phelps Dodge to the Company and CR Montana in this

## [Table of Contents](#)

transaction and the 50% co-tenancy interest in certain real property also transferred to the Company and CR Montana. In April 2006, the Company did not renew the remaining private lease underlying the McDonald Gold Project and the Company believes the remaining \$10 million contingent payment to Phelps Dodge is no longer valid. The Company is currently working with Phelps Dodge to formally release the Company from liability.

During the second quarter of 2005 in connection with the Montana Supreme Court decision affirming the termination of the McDonald Gold Project's state mineral leases, the Company wrote off their carrying value of \$9,242,100.

Also in April 2006, the Company's takings lawsuit was dismissed in U.S. District Court for the District of Montana and the Company filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

### (d) Kendall Mine Lawsuits

In October 2001, a plaintiff group including members of the Shammel Ruckman and Harrell families filed suit in the State of Montana District Court against the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, CR Kendall Corporation. The complaint alleges violation of water rights, property damage, trespass and negligence in connection with the operation of the Kendall Mine and seeks unspecified damages and punitive damages. The Company has taken the position that the allegations are without merit and believes that it will prevail in this matter.

In August 2002, a Preliminary Injunction was issued in Montana District Court on behalf of the plaintiff group in connection with the Company's auction of certain mineral rights and fee lands in western Montana. In October 2002, the Court issued a Supplemental Order which will sequester any proceeds realized from the auction until such time as the lawsuit is concluded. As of March 31, 2006, \$281,300 is held by the Court as required by the Order.

In March 2004, the Montana Environmental Information Center and Earthworks Mineral Policy Center brought civil action before the U.S. District Court for the District of Montana against CR Kendall Corporation and the Company claiming that the defendants have polluted waters of the U.S. with their operations at the Kendall Mine, near Lewistown, Montana. On February 2, 2006, the case was dismissed without prejudice.

### **13. Derivative Instruments and Price Protection Arrangements:**

The Company did not have any forward contracts or similar derivative instruments during the first quarter of 2006 and 2005, respectively. All gold sales were made on a spot basis.

### **14. Income Taxes:**

The Company has not recorded a tax benefit for the current period as the realization is not expected to be likely during the year. The benefit is also not expected to be realizable as a deferred tax asset at year end as the Company anticipates recording a full valuation allowance for all deferred tax assets, except to the extent of offsetting reversals of expected deferred tax liabilities.

### **15. Recently Issued Financial Accounting Standards:**

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 47 *"Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143"* (FIN 47). FIN 47 clarifies the term conditional asset retirement obligation as used in SFAS No. 143, *"Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations,"* and requires an entity to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation if the fair value can be reasonably estimated. Any uncertainty about the amount and/or timing of future settlement of a conditional asset retirement obligation should be factored into the measurement of the liability when sufficient information exists. FIN 47 also clarifies when an entity

## [Table of Contents](#)

would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. FIN 47 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. We adopted FIN 47 effective in the fourth quarter of 2005, which resulted in an increase in our asset retirement liabilities of \$0.1 million due to the repurchase of the Briggs crushing plant.

At the March 2005 meeting, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) of FASB discussed EITF Issue No. 04-6, *“Accounting for Stripping Costs Incurred during Production in the Mining Industry,”* and reached a consensus that stripping costs incurred during the production phase of a mine are variable production costs that should be included in the cost of inventory produced during the period. At its March 30, 2005 meeting, the FASB ratified this consensus. In its June 15-16, 2005 meeting, the EITF agreed with the FASB staff’s recommendation on this issue by including a clarification that “inventory produced,” as included in the consensus, means the same as “inventory extracted.” The consensus on this Issue is effective for the first reporting period in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of EITF Issue No. 04-6 will have no material impact on our financial reporting and disclosures.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R) revised 2004, *“Share-Based Payment.”* This Statement is a revision of SFAS No. 123, *“Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation”*, and supersedes APB No. 25, *“Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees.”* The Statement requires companies to recognize in the income statement the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity based compensation issued to employees. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of the first interim or annual period that commences after December 15, 2005. The Company has implemented SFAS No. 123(R) revised 2004. Stock based compensation of \$100,300 was recorded in the first quarter of 2006 as an addition to paid in capital.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

### *Cautionary Statement for Purposes of the "Safe Harbor" Provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.*

The matters discussed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, when not historical matters are forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from projections or estimates contained herein. Such forward-looking statements include, among others, feasibility studies for the Briggs and Reward projects and non-cyanide recovery testwork, mineralized material estimates, potential residual production levels, future expenditures, cash requirement predictions, the ability to finance continuing operations and the potential reopening of the Briggs Mine. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from these forward-looking statements include, among others:

- the volatility of gold prices;
- the speculative nature of mineral exploration;
- uncertainty of estimates of mineralized material and gold deposits;
- compliance with environmental and governmental regulations;
- the potential un-availability of financing on acceptable terms or the inability to obtain additional financing through capital markets, joint ventures, or other arrangements in the future;
- the outcome of the McDonald and Kendall Mine litigation as well as other possible judicial proceedings;
- economic and market conditions;
- unanticipated grade, geological, metallurgical, processing or other problems;
- operational risks of mining, development and exploration and force majeure events; and
- other risk factors as described from time to time in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

Many of these factors are beyond our ability to control or predict. We disclaim any intent or obligation to update our forward-looking statements, whether as a result of receiving new information, the occurrence of future events, or otherwise.

### **Overview**

We ended the quarter with \$4.6 million of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, which decreased \$1.1 million from December 31, 2005. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, operating activities used \$1.6 million and investing activities provided \$0.4 million. The cash provided by investing activities was due to the sale of securities of \$0.8 million partially offset by an increase in capitalized development of \$0.4 million related to development drilling at Briggs.

At Briggs, revenues for the first quarter declined at a much faster rate than in previous quarters because most of the recoverable gold has been leached from the pads. We have thoroughly rinsed the pads and have stopped adding new water to the rinse system in preparation for final reclamation of the leach pads. Gold sales decreased to 1,045 ounces in the current quarter compared to 2,365 ounces in the same quarter last year, which resulted in a 41% reduction in the current quarter's revenues. We realized \$567 per equivalent gold ounce during the current quarter compared to \$424 per equivalent gold ounce in the same quarter last year. The increased gold price partially offset the declining production.

We had a significantly lower loss of \$0.4 million in the current quarter compared to \$2.3 million in the same quarter last year. The \$1.9 million decrease in net loss was due primarily to lower depreciation, depletion and amortization of \$0.9 million, other income increased by \$0.8 million due to the current quarter sale of securities, expenses decreased by \$0.4 million because there was no debenture conversion expense and other items that when combined amounted to an increase in expenses of \$0.2 million.

We are focusing our efforts on the development of Briggs aided by the current high gold price environment and the positive development drilling results from the work performed in 2005 and during the first quarter of 2006.

## [Table of Contents](#)

Significant development drilling was completed during the current quarter to increase the potential quantity and confidence level of the mineralized material. Some assays are still pending.

In January 2006, we announced the positive pre-feasibility study for our Reward Project located near Beatty, Nevada, based on a \$425 gold price. We have taken steps to complete the acquisition of all relevant land positions around the project and are in the process of applying for drilling permits. The pre-feasibility study indicated that further drilling is warranted to increase the potential quantity and confidence level of the mineralized material.

Also in January 2006, we formed a joint venture with New Horizon Uranium Corporation of Golden, Colorado (the "Joint Venture"), relating to an area of known uranium occurrences in southeastern Wyoming. An exploration, development and operating agreement has been signed with New Horizon to form the Converse Joint Venture which covers portions of Converse and Niobrara counties, Wyoming. Included in the Joint Venture area are approximately 3,000 acres of mining claims and surface/mineral leases contributed by us.

Under terms of the Joint Venture, New Horizon may earn up to 70 percent interest in the Joint Venture by spending at least \$2 million over a five year period. An additional five percent interest may be earned by New Horizon by funding the completion of a feasibility study for a uranium deposit on the lands under investigation. Numerous occurrences of uranium have been observed in drill holes and water wells within the area under evaluation. The location of the Joint Venture area contains geologic formations that are believed to be similar to that of the Crow Butte Uranium Deposit and favorable for hosting roll front uranium deposits.

In February 2006, we announced the dismissal of a March 2004 lawsuit citing Clean Water Act violations in the case of the *Montana Environmental Information Center, Inc. and Earthworks/Mineral Policy Center Inc., the Plaintiffs, vs. Canyon Resources Corporation and C.R. Kendall Corporation*. Following a motion to dismiss by the plaintiffs, the suit was dismissed without prejudice.

Also in February 2006, U.S. Supreme Court denied us a grant of certiorari in the case of *Seven-Up Pete Venture, et al. v The State of Montana*. The Seven-Up Pete Venture, a wholly owned subsidiary of Canyon Resources, filed the Petition for Writ of Certiorari on November 4, 2005. The Supreme Court repeatedly has stressed that a denial of certiorari does not in any way imply that the case was decided correctly by the lower courts. We then reinstated our federal lawsuit in the U.S. District Court, which later dismissed our takings claims and as a result we filed a notice to appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The ensuing discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S.) and contained within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Certain amounts included in or affecting our financial statements and related disclosures must be estimated, requiring that certain assumptions be made with respect to values or conditions which cannot be made with certainty at the time the financial statements are prepared. Therefore, the reported amounts of our assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and associated disclosures with respect to contingent assets and obligations are necessarily affected by these estimates. The more significant areas requiring the use of management estimates and assumptions relate to mineral reserves that are the basis for future cash flow estimates and units-of-production amortization determination; recoverability and timing of gold production from the heap leaching process; environmental, reclamation and closure obligations; asset impairments (including estimates of future cash flows); useful lives and residual values of intangible assets; fair value of financial instruments; valuation allowances for deferred tax assets; and contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Users of financial information produced for interim periods are encouraged to refer to the our accounting policies, footnotes to the financial statements, and detailed discussion of critical accounting policies and estimates set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2005. We believe the following significant assumptions and estimates affect our more critical practices and accounting policies used in the preparation of our consolidated

financial statements.

**Reserves:** We have not declared a mineral reserve since year-end 2001 but reported mineralized material commencing at year-end 2002. When we have producing mines or are developing a mine we estimate our ore reserves at least on an annual basis. There are a number of uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of reserves, including many factors beyond our control. Ore reserve estimates are based upon engineering evaluations of assay values derived from samplings of drill holes and other openings. Additionally, declines in the market price of gold may render certain reserves containing relatively lower grades of mineralization uneconomic to mine. Further, availability of permits, changes in operating and capital costs, and other factors could materially and adversely affect ore reserves. We use our ore reserve estimates in determining the unit basis for mine depreciation and amortization of closure costs. Changes in ore reserve estimates could significantly affect these items.

We produce gold at our Briggs Mine using the heap leach process. This process involves the application of cyanide solutions by drip irrigation to ore stacked on an impervious pad. As the solution percolates through the heap, gold is dissolved from the ore into solution. This solution is collected and processed with activated carbon, which precipitates the gold out of solution and onto the carbon. Through the subsequent processes of acid washing and pressure stripping, the gold is returned to solution in a more highly concentrated state. This concentrated solution of gold is then processed in an electrowinning circuit, which re-precipitates the gold onto cathodes for melting into gold doré bars. We must make certain estimates regarding this overall process, the most significant of which are the amount and timing of gold to be recovered. Although we can calculate with reasonable certainty the tonnage and grades of gold ore placed under leach by engineering survey and laboratory analysis of drill hole samples, the recovery and timing factors are influenced by the size of the ore under leach (crushed or run-of-mine) and the particular mineralogy of a deposit being mined. We base our estimates on laboratory leaching models, which approximate the recovery from gold ore under leach on the heap. From this data, we estimate the amount of gold that can be recovered and the time it will take for recovery. We continually monitor the actual monthly and cumulative recovery from the heap as a check against the laboratory models, however, ultimate recovery will not be known with certainty until active leaching has stopped and pad rinsing is completed. Because it is impossible to physically measure the exact amount of gold remaining under leach, we calculate, or derive the amount, by taking the difference between the cumulative estimated recoverable gold placed on the heap and the known amount of gold cumulatively produced as doré.

**Inventories:** We must capture and classify our inventory related costs to achieve the “matching concept” of expenses and revenues as required by generally accepted accounting principles. Costs capitalized to inventory relating to the heap leach pad include (1) the direct costs incurred in the mining and crushing of the rock and delivery of the ore onto the heap leach pad, (2) applicable depreciation, depletion and amortization, and (3) allocated indirect mine general and administrative overhead costs. These costs are relieved from this inventory when gold is produced as doré, and added to (1) all direct costs incurred in the leaching and refining processes, (2) applicable depreciation, depletion and amortization, and (3) allocated indirect mine general and administrative overhead costs for determining the cost of inventory related to doré. As our estimate of time to recover gold from first being placed under leach to doré production is twelve months, inventory costs are considered a current asset. The Briggs Mine stopped loading ore on the heap leach pad in April 2004 and we stopped active leaching with cyanide in March 2005. Recent gold production has occurred during the final rinsing process of the heap leach pad which is expected to last until mid-2006.

**Asset Retirement Obligations:** On January 1, 2003, we became subject to the accounting and reporting requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*. SFAS No. 143 establishes accounting and reporting standards for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. SFAS No. 143 requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. Fair value is determined by estimating the retirement obligations in the period an asset is first placed in service and then adjusting the amount for estimated inflation and market risk contingencies to the projected settlement date of the liability. The result is then discounted to a present value from the projected settlement date to the date the asset was first placed in service. The present value of the asset retirement obligation is recorded as an additional property cost and as an asset retirement liability. The amortization of the additional property cost (using the units of production method) is included in depreciation, depletion and amortization expense and the accretion of the discounted liability is recorded as a separate operating expense in our Statement of Operations.



## Table of Contents

When a mine is shut down and begins the final reclamation we may decide to record the reclamation liability on an undiscounted basis depending on the time frame and materiality of the expenditures. The asset retirement obligations of the Kendall mine and the Seven-Up Pete Venture are recorded on an undiscounted basis.

**Impairments:** We have recorded asset impairments based on the provisions of SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, and effective January 1, 2002.

We evaluate the carrying value of our producing properties, equipment and mining claims and leases when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the properties may be impaired, but not less than annually. For producing properties and equipment, an impairment loss is recognized when the estimated future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest) expected to result from the use of the asset are less than the carrying amount of the asset. Measurement of the impairment loss is based on discounted cash flows. Impairments of mining claims and leases are based on their fair value, which would generally be assessed with reference to comparable property sales transactions in the market place.

**Derivatives Instruments and Hedging Activities:** Beginning in 2000, the accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities has been guided by SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* and SFAS No. 138 *Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities-an Amendment to SFAS No. 133*. That guidance requires entities to recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position and measure those instruments at fair value. If certain conditions are met, a derivative may be specifically designated as (1) a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of a recognized asset or liability or an unrecognized firm commitment, (2) a hedge of the exposure to variable cash flows of a forecasted transaction, or (3) a hedge of the foreign currency exposure.

We have in the past used derivative financial instruments to manage well defined market risks associated with fluctuating gold prices. Floating rate forward sales contracts were used to manage our exposure to gold prices on a portion of future gold production. These derivative instruments were not designated as hedges and were recognized as assets or liabilities and marked-to-market quarterly with changes recorded in earnings. On settlement of a contract, against which we have delivered gold production, the contract price is recognized as revenue from the gold sale. If financially settled, the resulting gain or loss is included in revenue if we had sufficient gold production to otherwise settle the contract by delivery. Gains or losses resulting from all other financially settled contracts are recorded as other income (expense). As of March 31, 2006, we did not have any open derivative contracts.

**Stock-Based Compensation:** In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*, which revised SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and superseded Accounting Principles Board (“APB”) Opinion 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and its related implementation guidance. SFAS No. 123R requires that goods or services received in exchange for share-based payments result in a cost that is recognizable in the financial statements; that cost should be recognized in the income statement as an expense when the goods or services are consumed by the enterprise. We adopted SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006, using the modified prospective method. Accordingly, compensation expense will be recognized for all awards granted or modified after the effective date. The nonvested portion of awards will be recognized ratably over the remaining vesting period after the effective date. The increased compensation expense from share-based payments issued or vesting during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$100,300 and \$88,500 (pro forma impact in 2005), respectively.

**Income Taxes:** We must use significant judgment in assessing our ability to generate future taxable income to realize the benefit of our deferred tax assets, which are principally in the form of net operating loss carry forwards and in applying a valuation allowance to all or part of these deferred tax assets using a “more likely than not” criterion.

**Potential Litigation Liabilities:** We are subject to litigation as the result of our business operations and transactions. We utilize external counsel in evaluating potential exposure to adverse outcomes from judgments or settlements. To the extent that actual outcomes differ from our estimates, or additional facts and circumstances cause us to revise our estimates, net income will be affected.

## Results of Operations

## Table of Contents

We recorded a net loss of \$0.4 million, or negative \$0.01 per share, on revenues of \$0.6 million for the first quarter of 2006. This compares to a net loss of \$2.3 million, or negative \$0.08 per share, on revenues of \$1.0 million for the first quarter of 2005.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, we sold 1,045 ounces of gold at an average price of \$567 per equivalent gold ounce. For the comparable period of 2005, we sold 2,365 ounces of gold at an average price of \$424 per equivalent gold ounce. The New York Commodity Exchange (COMEX) gold price averaged \$555 and \$428 per ounce for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table summarizes our gold deliveries and revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2006			Three Months Ended March 31, 2005		
	Gold Ounces	Average Price Per Oz.	Revenue \$000s	Gold Ounces	Average Price Per Oz.	Revenue \$000s
Deliveries Spot Sales	1,045	\$567	\$593	2,365	\$424	\$1,002
	1,045	\$567	593	2,365	\$424	1,002
Other transactions Silver proceeds	–	–	–	–	–	–
	1,045	\$567	\$593	2,365	\$424	\$1,002

We had a significantly lower loss of \$0.4 million in the current quarter compared to \$2.3 million in the same quarter last year. The \$1.9 million decrease in net loss was due primarily to the following factors:

Gross margin (revenues less cost of sales) improved \$0.2 million due primarily to the increased gold price.

Lower depreciation, depletion and amortization of \$0.9 million due to the second quarter 2005 impairment of \$9.2 million of McDonald mineral leases, which were being amortized on a straight line basis.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$0.3 million due primarily to expensing share-based compensation related to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), employee departure costs and increased investor relations activities.

The increase in exploration costs of \$0.1 million was due primarily to work performed at Briggs on an exploratory underground target east of Briggs North pit.

Expenses decreased by \$0.4 million because there was no debenture conversion expense incurred in the current quarter.

Other income increased by \$0.8 million due to sale of securities in the quarter.

Other expense increased by \$0.1 million due to the increase in the warrant liability as a result of the warrants issued in the December 2005 financing.

## Liquidity & Capital Resources

It is expected that our basic cash requirements over the next 12 months can be funded through a combination of existing cash and revenue from operations, and cash raised from financing activities during 2006 and 2007. However, should we proceed with our plan to reopen the Briggs Mine, additional financing will be required including equipment purchase and repairs, waste stripping and other development costs. We do not have the capital resources sufficient to reopen and operate the Briggs Mine without additional financing. In order to do so, we would need to seek funding from outside sources including asset sales, equity, debt or some combination thereof.



## Table of Contents

Our gold production has been trending downwards and this trend is expected to continue during 2006 unless we successfully reopen the Briggs Mine in late 2006 or early 2007. Our long-term liquidity will be affected by the re-start of the Briggs Mine and successful production of gold at a profit. Additionally, we are continually evaluating business opportunities such as joint ventures, mergers and/or acquisitions with the objective of creating additional cash flow to sustain us, or provide a future source of funds for growth. While we believe we will be able to finance our continuing activities, there are no assurances of success in this regard or in our ability to obtain additional financing through capital markets, joint ventures, or other arrangements in the future. If management's plans are not successful, our ability to operate would be materially adversely impacted.

We ended the quarter with \$4.6 million of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, which decreased \$1.1 million from December 31, 2005. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, operating activities used \$1.3 million and investing activities provided \$0.2 million. The cash provided by investing activities was due to the sale of securities of \$0.8 million partially offset by an increase in capitalized development of \$0.6 million related to development drilling at Briggs.

During the first quarter of 2006, we sold our interest in Gold Resources Corporation in a private placement for cash of \$816,000 all of which was recorded as a gain. Previous costs related to the acquisition of these securities had been expensed as exploration. We will continue to evaluate our equipment needs and we might sell our surplus equipment to add to our near term liquidity.

## Outlook

### Operations

The Briggs Mine placed the last fresh ore on the heap leach pads in April 2004 due primarily to lack of mine exploration as a result of low gold prices at the time. It is expected that ore on the heap leach pad will be rinsed through May or June 2006 and result in production of approximately 1,700-1,800 ounces of gold in 2006. Reclamation of the waste dumps and leach pad began in 2004 and will continue through 2006. During 2006 we expect to spend approximately \$0.3 million on Briggs Mine reclamation primarily to complete the reclamation of the existing leach pads which will not be used if the mine continues production. We are currently in the process of conducting an infill drill program located around the existing Goldtooth and Briggs Main pits and have begun initial redevelopment activities at the Briggs Mine. It is expected that this data will result in an expansion of ore reserves before the end of 2006. Cost estimates for re-start of the operation will be prepared and we expect to finalize a new plan of operations for the Briggs Mine and processing facilities, utilizing mineralized material at Briggs, the satellite Cecil R deposit, and possibly the nearby Reward deposit.

We expect to spend approximately \$0.4 million on the Kendall Mine reclamation over the next twelve months.

Expenditures at the McDonald Gold Project for legal and land holding costs are expected to be approximately \$0.1 million in 2006.

### Financing

At March 31, 2006, we had outstanding warrants issued in connection with previous transactions as follows:

<u>Expiration Date</u>	<u>Underlying Shares</u>	<u>Strike Price</u>
June 1, 2006	2,199,836	\$ 2.16
December 1, 2006	882,754	\$ 1.08
August 31, 2007	50,000	\$ 0.80
March 14, 2008	2,651,466	\$ 1.03
December 1, 2008	1,765,503	\$ 1.30
December 1, 2008	231,000	\$ 0.76
<b>Total/Average</b>	<b>7,780,559</b>	<b>\$ 1.41</b>

## Table of Contents

Our \$0.8 million of 6% convertible debentures, are convertible by the holders to common stock at any time at a conversion rate of \$1.38 per share of common stock. In March 2005, \$1,599,000 of principal was either repaid or converted to shares of common stock and \$825,000 of the remaining debentures were extended to March 2011.

### Contractual Obligations

The Company's contractual obligations are as follows:

	Total	Payments due by Period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Long-term debt obligations	\$825,000	\$-	\$-	\$825,000	\$-
Capital lease obligations	30,600	6,300	24,300	-	-
Operating lease obligations	113,700	84,500	29,200	-	-
Asset retirement obligations	5,497,700	1,026,200	1,970,800	2,016,100	484,600
Total	\$6,467,000	\$1,117,000	\$2,024,300	\$2,841,100	\$ 484,600

### Other Matters

#### Federal Legislation

Legislation has been introduced in prior sessions of the U.S. Congress to modify the requirements applicable to mining claims on federal lands under the Mining Law of 1872. To date, no such legislation has been enacted. The timing and exact nature of any mining law changes cannot presently be predicted, however, we will continue our active role in industry efforts to work with Congress to achieve responsible changes to mining law.

#### Gold Prices, Price Protection Arrangements, and Associated Risks

Our revenues, earnings and cash flow are strongly influenced by world gold prices, which fluctuate widely and over which we have no control. Our past price protection strategy was to provide an acceptable floor price for a portion of our production in order to meet minimum coverage ratios as required by loan facilities while providing participation in potentially higher prices. We had no gold related derivatives outstanding as of March 31, 2006 and all gold sold during the year-to-date period was sold at spot prices.

The risks associated with price protection arrangements include opportunity risk by limiting unilateral participation in upward prices; production risk associated with the requirement to deliver physical ounces against a forward commitment; and credit risk associated with counterparties to the hedged transaction. As of March 31, 2006 we were not at risk related to gold related derivative instruments.

#### Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. This statement replaces APB Opinion No. 20 *Accounting Changes* and FASB Statement No. 3 *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements*. SFAS No.154 requires that a voluntary change in accounting principle be applied retrospectively with all prior period financial statements presented on the new accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to do so. SFAS No. 154 also provides that (1) a change in method of depreciating or amortizing a long-lived nonfinancial asset be accounted for as a change in estimate (prospectively) that was effected by a change in accounting principle, and (2) correction of errors in previously issued financial statements should be termed a "restatement." This statement is effective for accounting changes and correction of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Early adoption of this standard is permitted for accounting changes and correction of errors made in fiscal years beginning after June 1,

## [Table of Contents](#)

2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 will have no material impact on our financial reporting and disclosures.

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 47 *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143* (FIN 47). FIN 47 clarifies the term conditional asset retirement obligation as used in SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations," and requires an entity to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation if the fair value can be reasonably estimated. Any uncertainty about the amount and/or timing of future settlement of a conditional asset retirement obligation should be factored into the measurement of the liability where sufficient information exists. FIN 47 also clarifies when an entity would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. FIN 47 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. We adopted FIN 47 effective in the fourth quarter of 2005, which resulted in an increase in our asset retirement liabilities of \$0.1 million due to the repurchase of the Briggs crushing plant.

At the March 2005 meeting, the EITF of FASB discussed EITF Issue No. 04-6, *Accounting for Stripping Costs Incurred during Production in the Mining Industry*, and reached a consensus that stripping costs incurred during the production phase of a mine are variable production costs that should be included in the cost of inventory produced during the period. At its March 30, 2005 meeting, the FASB ratified this consensus. In its June 15-16, 2005 meeting, the EITF agreed with the FASB staff's recommendation this issue by including a clarification that "inventory produced," as included in the consensus, means the same as "inventory extracted." The consensus on this Issue is effective for the first reporting period in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of EITF Issue No. 04-6 will have no material impact on our financial reporting and disclosures.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R) revised 2004, *Share-Based Payment*. This Statement is a revision of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and supersedes APB No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. The Statement requires companies to recognize in the income statement the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity based compensation issued to employees. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of the first interim or annual period that commences after December 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) is expected to increase compensation expense for employees and directors and the materiality of the impact will depend on the amount of stock options which vest and are granted in the future. We adopted SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006, using the modified prospective method. Accordingly, compensation expense will be recognized for all awards granted or modified after the effective date. The nonvested portion of awards will be recognized ratably over the remaining vesting period after the effective date. The increased compensation expense from share-based payments issued or vesting during the three month ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$100,300 and \$88,500 (pro forma impact in 2005), respectively.

### Dividends

Since our inception, no cash dividends have been paid nor do we expect any to be paid for the foreseeable future.

## **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

### ***Commodity Prices***

Our earnings and cash flow are not expected to be significantly impacted by changes in the market price of gold during 2006 due to the limited gold production expected from the Briggs Mine that will result from rinsing the heap leach pads. Gold prices can fluctuate widely and are affected by numerous factors, such as demand, production levels, and economic policies of central banks, producer hedging, and the strength of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies. During the last five years, the London PM Fix gold price has fluctuated between a low of \$257 per ounce in May 2001 and a high of over \$600 per ounce in April 2006. Gold is our primary product and, according to our estimates, a \$10 change in the price of gold would result in a minimal change in pre-tax earnings and cash flows during the remainder of 2006.

There is certain market risks associated with the forward gold contracts utilized in the past by us. If the contract counterparty fails to honor their contractual obligation, we may be exposed to market price risk by having to sell gold in the open market at prevailing prices. Similarly, if we fail to produce sufficient quantities of gold to meet our forward commitments, we would have to purchase the shortfall in the open market at prevailing prices. In addition, we could be

## [Table of Contents](#)

subject to cash margin calls by counterparties if the market price of gold significantly exceeds the forward contract price which would create additional financial obligations. At March 31, 2006, we had no outstanding forward gold contracts.

### ***Interest Rates***

At March 31, 2006, our convertible debentures balance was approximately \$0.8 million at a fixed interest rate of 6%. Currently we believe our interest rate risk is minimal.

### ***Foreign Currency***

The price of gold is denominated in U.S. dollars, and our current gold production operations and significant properties are located primarily in the U.S. We own foreign mineral rights primarily in the form of royalties which may create foreign currency exposure in the future when, and if, these foreign properties are placed in production.

## **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

### ***Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

In connection with previously identified internal control weaknesses, we have modified our disclosure controls and procedures to confirm that the financial information and related disclosures fairly presents our operating results and financial condition for the periods presented. Our Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this first quarter report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2006, are effective based on the evaluation of these controls and procedures.

### ***Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

Effective for the reporting year ended December 31, 2005, we are not an accelerated filer and not required to provide a report of management on our internal control over financial reporting.

During the period ended December 31, 2005, we implemented enhancements to our internal controls to remediate previously reported material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, including:

- increasing the involvement in the review and analysis by senior management of our financial statements;
- adding more rigorous policies and procedures regarding the review and approval process for complex calculations and transactions;
- and
- engaging outside consultants with accounting expertise regarding unusual and complex transactions.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting for the period ended March 31, 2006 that have materially effected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate.

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In February 2006, U.S. Supreme Court denied us a grant of certiorari in the case of *Seven-Up Pete Venture, et al. v The State of Montana*. The Seven-Up Pete Venture, a wholly owned subsidiary of Canyon Resources, filed the Petition for Writ of Certiorari on November 4, 2005. The Supreme Court repeatedly has stressed that a denial of certiorari does not in any way imply that the case was decided correctly by the lower courts. We then reinstated our federal lawsuit in the U.S. District Court, which later dismissed our takings claims and as a result we filed a notice to appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds** None

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities** None

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to Vote of Security Holders** None

**Item 5. Other Information** None

### Item 6. Exhibits

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Company’s Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-130692) on February 24, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference)
- 10.1 Form of Change of Control Agreements between the Company and certain of its Executive Officers and a Schedule of such Agreements (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Company’s Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-130692) on February 24, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference)
- 10.2 Converse Uranium Project, Exploration, Development and Mine Operating Agreement, effective as of January 23, 2006, between Canyon Resources Corporation and New Horizon Uranium Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 (File No. 001-11887), and incorporated herein by references)
- 10.3 Consulting Agreement, dated February 1, 2006, between the Company and Dr. Gary Huber
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002



**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CANYON RESOURCES CORPORATION

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ James K. B. Hesketh  
James K. B. Hesketh  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ David P. Suleski  
David P. Suleski  
Chief Accounting Officer, Treasurer and  
Corporate Secretary  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

**Exhibit Index**

<b><u>EXHIBIT NO.</u></b>	<b><u>DESCRIPTION</u></b>
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Company' s Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-130692) on February 24, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference)
10.1	Form of Change of Control Agreements between the Company and certain of its Executive Officers and a Schedule of such Agreements (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Company' s Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-130692) on February 24, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference)
10.2	Converse Uranium Project, Exploration, Development and Mine Operating Agreement, effective as of January 23, 2006, between Canyon Resources Corporation and New Horizon Uranium Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company' s Annual Report on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 (File No. 001-11887), and incorporated herein by references)
10.3	Consulting Agreement, dated February 1, 2006, between the Company and Dr. Gary Huber
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002





### Consulting Services Agreement

This Agreement is entered into effective February 1, 2006 by and between Canyon Resources Corporation, a Delaware corporation, (hereinafter “**Company**”), and Gary C. Huber (hereinafter “**Consultant**”).

- 1. The Services:** **Consultant** shall perform for **Company** the consulting services (hereinafter the “Services”) described in Section A of the Schedule by this reference is incorporated herein.
- 2. Performance and Schedule:** The Services shall be performed during the period mentioned in Section B of the Schedule. **Company** and **Consultant**, however, may terminate this Agreement at any time without cause upon giving **Consultant** ten (10) days written notice of termination.
- 3. Compensation:** For satisfactory performance of the Services, **Company** shall pay **Consultant** compensation in accordance with Section C of the Schedule.
- 4. Independent Contractor:** In performing the Service, **Consultant** shall operate as and have the status of an independent contractor and shall not act as or be an agent, partner or employee of **Company**. As an independent contractor, **Consultant** will be solely responsible for determining the means, manner, and method for performing the Services. **Company** shall have no right to control or to exercise any supervision over **Consultant** as to how the Services will be accomplished. **The independent contractor is not entitled to workers’ compensation benefits and the independent contractor is obligated to pay federal and state income taxes on any monies earned pursuant to the contract relationship.**
- 5. Company’s Representative:** **Consultant** shall report to and consult with **Company** through a representative designated by **Company** (hereinafter **Company’s** Representative). The name of **Company’s** Representative is James Hesketh, President & CEO.
- 6. Compliance With Law:** **Consultant** shall give all necessary notices and shall comply and ensure that all **Consultant’s** subcontractors and suppliers comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, ordinances, governmental rules and regulations relative to the Services.
- 7. Warranty:** **Consultant** shall perform the Services with that standard of care, skill, and diligence normally provided by a professional person or firm in the performance of similar consulting services.
- 8. Insurance:** All of **Consultant’s** activities hereunder shall be at **Consultant’s** own risk, and **Consultant** shall not be entitled to Worker’s Compensation or other insurance protection provided by **Company**, nor shall **Consultant** be entitled to the benefit of any other plans or programs intended for **Company’s** employees.
- 9. Confidentiality:** All knowledge and information acquired or developed by or on behalf of **Consultant** hereunder shall be and remain the confidential and proprietary information of **Company**. Any information acquired or developed by **Consultant** hereunder shall be returned to **Company** upon request, or at the termination of this Agreement. **Consultant** shall ensure that **Consultant** and those performing on **Consultant’s** behalf maintain strict security over all knowledge and information acquired or developed by **Consultant** during the performance of this Agreement and shall not divulge any such knowledge or information directly or indirectly to any person, other than the authorized representatives of **Company**, without **Company’s** prior written consent. The obligations of confidentiality set forth herein shall survive termination of this Agreement for a period of two (2) years.
- 10. Conflicts of interest:** From the commencement of this Agreement until the completion of the Services or until this Agreement is terminated, whichever occurs earlier, the Consultant shall:
  - (i) Ensure that it undertakes no service, task or job or enter into any arrangement or do anything whatsoever with, for or on behalf of any third party (other than in the proper performance of this Agreement) which would create a conflict of interest in connection with the Consultant’s role hereunder, without the written approval of Company which written approval shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed;

Notify Company as soon as reasonably practicable and in writing of any situation concerning Consultant or any subcontractor appointed (ii) in accordance with Clause 11 below or any of its or their affiliates, which may reasonably be considered to give rise to a conflict of interest;

Company may require Consultant to take such steps as will avoid or remove any conflict arising and, if Consultant fails to take such (iii) steps or if in the opinion of Company such steps do not avoid or remove the conflict, Company may immediately terminate this Agreement.

**11. Subcontracts and Assignments:** Consultant's rights and obligations hereunder are deemed to be personal and may not be transferred or assigned, and any attempted assignment shall be void and of no effect.

**12. No Exclusive Obligation:** It is expressly recognized by Company that Consultant may be performing consulting work for clients other than Company, and that Consultant makes no commitments as to specific dates or times that Consultant will conduct such services.

**13. Modification; Waiver; Construction:** No change orders, modification to, addition to, or waiver of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall be binding upon either party unless in writing signed by an authorized representative of each party.

**14. Notice:** Any notice required or permitted hereunder shall be deemed to have been properly given when delivered personally to the party for whom it is intended or seventy-two (72) hours after deposit in the U.S. Mail (certified and return receipt requested) of an original or confirming copy or twenty-four (24) hours after entrustment to a professional overnight courier service, or upon receipt of transmission by facsimile, with all necessary postage or charges fully prepaid, addressed to the party for whom it is intended, at the addresses set forth in Section D of the Schedule.

**15. Severability:** In the event that any of the provisions, or portions or applications thereof, of this Agreement are held to be unenforceable or invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, Consultant and Company shall negotiate an equitable adjustment in the provisions of this Agreement with a view toward effecting the purpose of this Agreement and the validity and enforceability of the remaining provisions, or portions or applications thereof, shall not be affected thereby.

**16. Governing Law:** The validity, interpretation, and enforcement of this Agreement shall be governed by the law of the state of Colorado. The venue of any action filed under this Agreement shall be in the court of the state of Colorado.

**17. Entire Agreement:** This Agreement, including the Schedule attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein, sets forth the full and complete understanding of the parties hereto as of the dated hereof relating to the subject matter hereof and supersedes any and all prior negotiations and dealings.

**In Witness Whereof**, the parties hereto have entered into the Agreement effective as of the date first above written.

**Witness:**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Witness:**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Company:**

By: /s/ James K.B. Hesketh

Title: President & CEO

**Consultant:**

By /s/ Gary C. Huber \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

Federal Tax ID #####-##-##### \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## Section A – Scope of Services

The Services to be performed by **Consultant** under this Agreement shall be generally as described in this Paragraph 1 below. The primary location for **Consultant**' s performance of consulting Services shall be as described in this Paragraph 1 below:

Consultant shall provide financial, technical and management consulting services to the Company as required.

## Section B – Term of the Agreement

Unless sooner terminated by **Company**, the term of this Agreement shall commence as of February 1, 2006 and shall continue through July 31, 2006 or upon satisfactory completion of the Services prior to such date.

## Section C – Compensation – Cost Not to Exceed

1. As full compensation for the Services (except only for reimbursement of expenses under Paragraph 2 below) performed or provided by **Consultant** hereunder, and any equipment, materials, and personnel utilized by **Consultant**, **Company** will pay **Consultant** as described below, such payment due and payable as described below:

- a) Day rate of \$750 per eight hour day or pro-rata thereof for consulting services requested by the Company.
- b) Effective February 1, 2006 Company will grant to Consultant options on 150,000 shares of the Company' s stock. Such option grant will be from the Company' s Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan and have a term of three (3) years.

2. In addition to payments for Services, **Company** will reimburse **Consultant** for the following expenses incurred in the performance of the Services under this Agreement:

- (a) travel undertaken in the performance of Services hereunder at **Company**' s request or with **Company**' s prior written approval, air travel to be by the most direct route and at the lowest fare available;
  - (b) reasonable and necessary expenses incurred by **Consultant** for food and lodging associated with (a) above;
  - (c) the actual cost to **Consultant** of long-distance telephone charges, cable, and telex charges;
  - (d) prints and reproductions;
  - (e) postage, express, air express, and air freight charges;
  - (f) use of personal automobiles or vehicles at the rate of \$0.485 per mile for mileage.
  - (g) other reasonable costs and expenses incurred in the performance of Services hereunder which are not covered under Paragraph 1 above and which are approved in advance and in writing by **Company**.
-

3, On or about the fifth day of each month, **Consultant** shall submit to **Company** an itemized invoice showing **Consultant**' s charges for Services and reimbursable expenses for the preceding month. Such invoice shall include a description of Services performed and a breakdown of time spent on each task. **Consultant** shall submit with **Consultant**' s invoice expense reports on forms acceptable to **Company**, listing all items for which **Consultant** requests reimbursement. Such reports shall itemize expenses separately by categories and, within categories, by trip, by purpose, and by nature of the expense. Receipts shall be attached for all expenditures for lodging, transportation or auto rental; for all other travel and entertainment expenditures of \$25 or more; and for all other expenditures of \$10 or more. Within thirty (30) days after receiving **Consultant**' s invoice and supporting documentation, **Company** shall review, verify and accept or reject the same in whole or in part. **Company** shall have the right to recover amounts paid for overcharges.

4. The compensation paid to **Consultant** hereunder shall constitute full payment and satisfaction for all Services of every kind and character rendered by **Consultant** hereunder and shall be in lieu of other compensation, reimbursement, commissions, payments, fees, or other charges at any time claimed by **Consultant** from **Company**, whether in conjunction with the Services rendered hereunder or otherwise. **Consultant** shall not incur and demand reimbursement for any expenses, subcontracts, employees, or agents except as specified herein or mutually agreed upon in writing by the parties.

#### **Section D - Notices**

Notices to **Company** shall be sent to:

Name: James Hesketh

Title: President & CEO

Address: 14142 Denver West Parkway, Suite 250, Golden, CO 80401

Fax: (303) 279-3772

Notices to **Consultant** shall be sent to:

Name: Gary C. Huber

Address: 2101 East Euclid Avenue  
Centennial Colorado, 80121

Phone: (303) 347-9562



**Exhibit 31.1**

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO RULE 13(a)-14(a)/15d-14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, James K. B. Hesketh, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Canyon Resources Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the period presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and,
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ James K. B. Hesketh

James K. B. Hesketh, Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 8, 2006





**Exhibit 31.2**

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF ACCOUNTING OFFICER PURSUANT TO RULE 13(a)-14(a)/15d-14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, David P. Suleski, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Canyon Resources Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the period presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and,
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ David P. Suleski

David P. Suleski, Chief Accounting Officer

Date: May 8, 2006



**Exhibit 32.1**

**Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to  
Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, James K. B. Hesketh, the Chief Executive Officer of Canyon Resources Corporation (the "Company"), hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1) the Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006, (the "Form 10-Q"), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ James K. B. Hesketh

James K. B. Hesketh  
Chief Executive Officer



**Exhibit 32.2**

**Certification of Chief Accounting Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, David P. Suleski, the Chief Accounting Officer of Canyon Resources Corporation (the "Company"), hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1) the Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006, (the "Form 10-Q"), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 8, 2006

/s/ David P. Suleski

David P. Suleski Chief Accounting Officer