

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

FORM 10-K405

Annual report pursuant to section 13 and 15(d), Regulation S-K Item 405

Filing Date: **1999-03-26** | Period of Report: **1998-12-31**  
SEC Accession No. **0000950130-99-001699**

([HTML Version](#) on [secdatabase.com](#))

FILER

**SECTOR STRATEGY FUND VI LP**

CIK: **904364** | IRS No.: **133714541** | State of Incorpor.: **DE** | Fiscal Year End: **1231**  
Type: **10-K405** | Act: **34** | File No.: **000-22448** | Film No.: **99574543**  
SIC: **6798** Real estate investment trusts

Business Address  
SIXTH FLOOR, SOUTH  
TOWER  
C/O ML FUTURES INV  
PARTNERS INC  
NEW YORK NY 10080-6106  
2122364161

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(x) Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)  
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 1998

( ) Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13  
or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission file number: 0-22448

THE SECTOR STRATEGY FUND (SM) VI L.P.  
-----

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

13-3714541

-----  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

-----  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

c/o MERRILL LYNCH INVESTMENT PARTNERS INC.  
MERRILL LYNCH WORLD HEADQUARTERS  
WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER  
SOUTH TOWER, NEW YORK, NY 10080  
-----

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 236-5662

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(B) OF THE ACT: None

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(G) OF THE ACT: Limited Partnership  
Units

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required  
to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during  
the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was  
required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing  
requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

---

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405  
of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the  
best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements  
incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this  
Form 10-K. [X]

Aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-  
affiliates of the registrant: the registrant is a limited partnership: as of  
February 1, 1999, limited partnership units with an aggregate value of  
\$18,451,617 were outstanding and held by non-affiliates.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The registrant's "1998 Annual Report and Independent Auditors' Report," the  
annual report to security holders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998,  
is incorporated by reference into Part II, Item 8 and Part IV hereof and filed  
as an Exhibit herewith.

THE SECTOR STRATEGY FUND (SM) VI L.P.

ANNUAL REPORT FOR 1998 ON FORM 10-K

Table of Contents  
-----

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>

PART I

PAGE

<S>			
Item 1.	Business.....		1
Item 2.	Properties.....		6
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings.....		7
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.....		7

PART II  
-----

Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters.....		7
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data.....		8
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....		10
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.....		17
Item 8:	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.....		17
Item 9:	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting.....		17

PART III  
-----

Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.....		17
Item 11.	Executive Compensation.....		19
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.....		19
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.....		20

PART IV  
-----

Item 14.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K.....		21
----------	--	--	----

PART I

ITEM 1: BUSINESS

(a) General Development of Business:

The SECTOR Strategy Fund (SM) VI L.P. (the "Partnership") was organized under the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act on April 23, 1993 and began trading operations on September 10, 1993. The Fund made a single offering of its units of limited partnership interest ("Units"). Units may be redeemed as of the end of each calendar month. The Partnership engages (currently, through an investment in a limited liability company, see below), in the speculative trading of a portfolio of futures, options on futures, forwards and options on forward contracts and related options in the currencies, interest rates, stock index, metals, agricultural and energy sectors of the world commodity markets. The Partnership's objective is achieving, through speculative trading, substantial capital appreciation over time, while also assuring investors of at least a predetermined minimum Net Asset Value per Unit as of the Principal Assurance Date.

Merrill Lynch Investment Partners Inc. (the "General Partner" or "MLIP") acts as the general partner of the Partnership and selects and allocates the Partnership's assets (through the Partnership's investment in ML Multi-Manager Portfolio LLC ("MM LLC"), see below) among professional advisors ("Trading Advisors" or "Advisors"), each unaffiliated with MLIP and each of which trades independently of the others. The Partnership and MM LLC are referred to throughout this document, either individually and/or collectively, as the "Fund". MLIP also determines what percentage of the Partnership's assets to allocate to trading and what percentage to hold in reserve. Merrill Lynch Futures Inc. (the "Commodity Broker" or "MLF") is the Partnership's commodity broker. A portion of the Partnership's assets is held by a commodity broker, other than MLF, to facilitate the trading of a certain independent advisor. The General Partner is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Merrill Lynch Group, Inc.,

which, in turn, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. ("ML&Co."). The Commodity Broker is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of ML&Co. (ML&Co. and its affiliates are herein sometimes referred to as "Merrill Lynch.")

Prior to October 1, 1996, the Partnership placed assets with the Trading Advisors by opening individual managed accounts with them. For the period from October 1, 1996 to May 31, 1998, the Partnership placed assets with certain of the Trading Advisors through investing in private funds ("Trading LLCs") sponsored by MLIP, through which the trading accounts of different MLIP-sponsored funds managed by the same Advisor pursuant to the same strategy were consolidated. The only members of the Trading LLCs were commodity pools sponsored by MLIP. Placing assets with an Advisor through investing in a Trading LLC rather than a managed account had no economic effect on the Partnership, except to the extent that the Partnership benefited from the Advisor not having to allocate trades among a number of different accounts (rather than acquiring a single position for the Trading LLC as a whole). As of June 1, 1998, MLIP consolidated the trading accounts of nine of its multi-advisor funds (the "Multi-Advisor Funds"), including the Partnership. The consolidation was achieved by having these Multi-Advisor Funds invest in a single Delaware limited liability company, MM LLC, which opened a single account with each Advisor selected. MM LLC is managed by MLIP, has no investors other than the Multi-Advisor Funds and serves solely as the vehicle through which the assets of such Multi-Advisor Funds are combined in order to be managed through single rather than multiple accounts. The placement of assets into MM LLC did not change the operations or fee structure of the Partnership. The administrative authority over the Partnership, as well as MM LLC, remains with MLIP. The following disclosures relate to the operation of the Partnership through its investment in MM LLC.

In addition to its investments in MM LLC, the Partnership maintains a cash account. From time to time, the General Partner allocates and reallocates Partnership assets among its investment in MM LLC and its cash accounts in an attempt to increase profit potential while limiting the downside risks associated with futures and forward trading (in order to prevent ML&Co. from incurring any obligations under its guarantee of a minimum Net Asset Value per Unit, as described below). Initially, the General Partner allocated approximately 30% of the Partnership's assets to cash and approximately 70% to trading. As of December 31, 1998, 100% was invested in MM LLC.

1

As of December 31, 1998, the Partnership's capitalization was \$19,571,183, and the Net Asset Value of a Unit sold as of September 10, 1993 for \$100 was \$122.84.

ML&Co. guarantees that the Net Asset Value per Unit will equal at least \$98.27 as of December 31, 2000 (the "Principal Assurance Date"). The initial Principal Assurance Date was set at five years after trading commenced. Effective January 1, 1999, the Fund restarted its trading program for a new Time Horizon of two years' duration with a second Principal Assurance Date of December 31, 2000 and a minimum assured Net Asset Value of \$98.27. This guarantee does not prevent substantial investor losses, but rather serves only as a form of "stop loss," limiting the maximum loss which investors who retain their Units until the Principal Assurance Date can incur. In order to protect ML&Co. from any liability under its guarantee at such time, if any, as the Net Asset Value per Unit were to decline to 110% or less of the present value of the guaranteed minimum Net Asset Value discounted back from the Principal Assurance Date, MLIP would terminate trading altogether in order to ensure that ML&Co. incurred no financial obligation to the Fund under ML&Co.'s guarantee of the minimum Net Asset Value per Unit.

Through December 31, 1998, the net gain in the Net Asset Value per Unit was 22.84%. The highest month-end Net Asset Value per Unit was \$122.84 (December 31, 1998) and the lowest \$92.71 (April 30, 1994).

(b) Financial Information about Segments:  
-----

The Partnership's business constitutes only one segment for financial reporting purposes, i.e., a speculative "commodity pool." The Partnership does not engage in sales of goods or services.

(c) Narrative Description of Business:  
-----

GENERAL

The Fund trades (currently, through its investment in MM LLC) in futures, options on futures, forwards and options on forward contracts in major sectors of the world economy, with the objective of achieving substantial capital appreciation over time, while assuring investors of at least a

predetermined minimum Net Asset Value per Unit as of the Principal Assurance Date.

The General Partner is the Partnership's trading manager, with responsibility for selecting Advisors to manage MM LLC's assets, allocating and reallocating MM LLC's assets among different Advisors and determining the percentage of the Partnership's assets to be invested in MM LLC.

Although considered as a whole, the Partnership (currently, through an investment in MM LLC) trades in a diversified range of international markets. Certain of the Trading Advisors, considered individually, concentrate primarily on trading in a limited portfolio of markets. The composition of the "sectors" included in the Partnership's portfolio varies substantially over time.

MLIP may, from time to time, direct certain individual Advisors to manage their Fund accounts as if they were managing more equity than the actual capital allocated to them.

One of the objectives of the Fund is to provide diversification for a limited portion of the risk segment of the Limited Partners' portfolios. Commodity pool performance has historically often demonstrated a low degree of performance correlation with traditional stock and bond holdings. Since it began trading, the Fund's returns have, in fact, frequently been significantly non-correlated (not, however, negatively correlated) with the United States stock and bond markets.

2

#### ML&CO.'S "PRINCIPAL PROTECTION" UNDERTAKING TO THE FUND

ML&Co., the parent company of the Merrill Lynch organization, which includes the General Partner and the Commodity Broker, has agreed to contribute sufficient capital to the Partnership so that it will have adequate funds, after adjustment for all liabilities to third parties, that the Net Asset Value per Unit will be no less than \$98.27 as of the second Principal Assurance Date. This guarantee, which is effective only as of the Principal Assurance Date, is a guarantee only of a return of the minimum assured Net Asset Value (plus distributions, if any), not against the loss of the time value of such investment or a guarantee of profit. This guarantee is a general, unsecured obligation of ML&Co.

#### OPERATION OF THE PARTNERSHIP AFTER THE SECOND PRINCIPAL ASSURANCE DATE

When the Fund reached its first Principal Assurance Date, MLIP "restarted" the Fund's trading program, and the ML&Co. guarantee was extended for a two-year period ending December 31, 2000. MLIP may determine to dissolve the Partnership as of the Principal Assurance Date, to extend the ML&Co. guarantee for a certain period of time (resetting the minimum Net Asset Value per Unit guaranteed by ML&Co.) or to continue to operate the Fund without a "principal protection" feature. All investors will be given notice by no later than November 15, 2000 as to what the operation of the Fund (if any) will be after the Principal Assurance Date.

#### USE OF PROCEEDS AND INTEREST INCOME

Market Sectors. The Partnership trades (currently, through its investment in MM LLC) in a diversified group of markets under the direction of multiple independent Advisors. These Advisors can, and do, from time to time materially alter the allocation of their overall trading commitments among different market sectors. Except in the case of certain trading programs which are purposefully limited in the markets which they trade, there is essentially no restriction on the commodity interests which may be traded by any Advisor or the rapidity with which an Advisor may alter its market sector allocations.

The Fund's financial statements contain information relating to the market sectors traded by the Fund. There can, however, be no assurance as to which markets may be included in the Fund's portfolio or in which market sectors the Fund's trading may be concentrated at any one time or over time.

Market Types. The Fund trades (currently through its investment in MM LLC) on a variety of United States and foreign futures exchanges. Substantially all of the Fund's off-exchange trading takes place in the highly liquid, institutionally-based currency forward markets.

Many of the Partnership's currency trades are executed in the spot and forward foreign exchange markets (the "FX Markets") where there are no direct execution costs. Instead, the participants, banks and dealers, including Merrill Lynch International Bank ("MLIB"), in the FX Markets take a "spread" between the prices at which they are prepared to buy and sell a particular currency and such spreads are built into the pricing of the spot or forward contracts with the

Partnership. The General Partner anticipates that some of the Partnership's foreign currency trades will be executed through MLIB, an affiliate of the General Partner. MLIB has discontinued the operation of the foreign exchange service desk, which included seeking multiple quotes from counterparties unrelated to MLIB for a service fee and trade execution.

In its exchange of futures for physical ("EFP") trading, the Partnership acquires cash currency positions through banks and dealers, including Merrill Lynch. The Partnership pays a spread when it exchanges these positions for futures. This spread reflects, in part, the different settlement dates of the cash and the futures contracts, as well as prevailing interest rates, but also includes a pricing spread in favor of the banks and dealers, which may include a Merrill Lynch entity.

As in the case of its market sector allocations, the Fund's commitments to different types of markets -- U.S. and non-U.S., regulated and unregulated -- differ substantially from time to time as well as over time.

3

The Fund's financial statements contain information relating to the types of markets traded by the Fund. There can, however, be no assurance as to which markets the Fund may trade or as to how the Fund's trading may be concentrated at any one time or over time.

Custody of Assets. The majority of the Fund's assets are currently held in customer accounts at Merrill Lynch.

Interest paid by Merrill Lynch on the Fund's U.S. Dollar and Non U.S. Dollar Assets. A majority of the Fund's U.S. dollar assets are maintained at

MLF. On assets held in U.S. dollars, Merrill Lynch credits the Fund with interest at the prevailing 91-day U.S. Treasury bill rate. The Fund is credited with interest on any of its net gains actually held by Merrill Lynch in non-U.S. dollar currencies at a prevailing local rate received by Merrill Lynch. Merrill Lynch may derive certain economic benefit, in excess of the interest which Merrill Lynch pays to the Fund, from possession of such assets.

Merrill Lynch charges the Fund Merrill Lynch's cost of financing realized and unrealized losses on the Fund's non-U.S. dollar-denominated positions.

CHARGES

The following table summarizes the charges incurred by the Fund during 1998, 1997 and 1996.

<TABLE>

<CAPTION>

Charges	1998		1997		1996	
	Dollar Amount	% of Average Month-End Net Assets	Dollar Amount	% of Average Month-End Net Assets	Dollar Amount	% of Average Month-End Net Assets
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Brokerage Commissions	\$166,505	0.75%	\$519,637	1.89%	\$2,243,462	6.04%
Administrative Fees	4,757	0.02%	14,688	0.06%	57,524	0.15%
Profit Shares	-	0.00%	2,914	0.01%	434,053	1.17%
Total	\$171,262	0.77%	\$537,239	1.96%	\$2,735,039	7.36%

</TABLE>

Subsequent to October 1, 1996, Brokerage Commissions, Administrative Fees and Profit Shares are not representative of the actual amounts paid by the Fund, because the Fund paid the bulk of these fees as an investor in the Trading LLCs or MM LLC. See "Description of Current Charges."

4

The foregoing table does not reflect the bid-ask spreads paid by the

Fund on its forward trading, or the benefits which may be derived by Merrill Lynch from the deposit of certain of the Fund's U.S. dollar assets in offset accounts. See Item 1(c), "Narrative Description of Business -- Use of Proceeds and Interest Income."

The Fund's average month-end Net Assets during 1998, 1997 and 1996 equaled \$22,237,083, \$27,458,616; and \$37,135,556, respectively.

During 1998, 1997 and 1996, the Fund earned \$289,431; \$790,813; and \$1,661,887 in interest income, or approximately 1.30%, 2.88% and 4.48% of the Fund's average month-end Net Assets.

Effective January 1, 1996, the 10% per annum Brokerage Commissions paid by the Fund to MLF were recharacterized as 9.75% per annum Brokerage Commissions and a 0.25% per annum Administrative Fee paid by the Fund to MLIP. This recharacterization had no economic effect on the Fund.

As of February 1, 1997, the 9.75% per annum Brokerage Commissions were reduced to 8.75% per annum (0.7291% of the Fund's month-end assets allocated to trading).

DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CHARGES

RECIPIENT -----	NATURE OF PAYMENT -----	AMOUNT OF PAYMENT -----
MLF	Brokerage Commissions	A flat-rate monthly commission of 0.7291 of 1% (an 8.75% annual rate) of the Fund's month-end assets committed to trading. As of December 31, 1998, approximately 100% of the Fund's assets were allocated to trading.  During 1998, 1997 and 1996, the round-turn (each purchase and sale or sale and purchase of a single futures contract) equivalent rate of the Fund's flat-rate Brokerage Commissions were approximately \$54, \$56 and \$86, respectively.
MLF	Use of Fund assets	Merrill Lynch may derive an economic benefit from the deposit of certain of the Fund's U.S. dollar assets in offset accounts.
MLIP	Administrative Fees	The Fund pays MLIP a monthly Administrative Fee equal to 0.020833 of 1% of the Fund's month-end assets committed to trading (0.25% annually). MLIP pays all of the Fund's routine administrative costs.
MLIB; Other Counterparties	Bid-ask spreads	Bid-ask spreads on forward and related trades.
Trading Advisors	Profit Shares	Prior to January 1, 1997, all Advisors received quarterly Profit Shares ranging from 15% to 25% (depending on the Trading Advisor) of any New Trading Profit achieved by their Fund account. As of January 1, 1997, a number of Advisors agreed to receive only annual Profit Shares. Profit Shares are also paid upon redemption of Units and upon the net reallocation of assets away from an Advisor. New Trading Profit is calculated separately in respect of each Advisor, irrespective of the overall performance of the Fund. The Fund and MM LLC may pay

substantial Profit Shares during periods when it is incurring significant overall losses.

Trading Advisors      Consulting Fees

MLF pays the Advisors annual Consulting Fees ranging up to 4% of the Partnership's average month-end assets allocated to them for management, after reduction for a portion of the brokerage commissions.

MLF;                      Extraordinary expenses  
Others

Actual costs incurred; none paid to date.

---

REGULATION

The General Partner, the Trading Advisors and the Commodity Broker are each subject to regulation by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC") and the National Futures Association. Other than in respect of its periodic reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Partnership itself is generally not subject to regulation by the Securities and Exchange Commission. However, MLIP itself is registered as an "investment adviser" under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940.

(i) through (xii) -- not applicable.

(xiii) The Partnership has no employees.

(d) Financial Information about Foreign and Domestic Operations and Export  
-----  
Sales:  
-----

The Partnership trades (currently through its investment in MM LLC), on a number of foreign commodity exchanges. The Partnership does not engage in the sales of goods or services.

ITEM 2: PROPERTIES  
-----

The Partnership does not use any physical properties in the conduct of its business.

The Partnership's only place of business is the place of business of the General Partner (Merrill Lynch World Headquarters, World Financial Center, South Tower, New York, New York, 10080). The General Partner performs all administrative services for the Partnership from the General Partner's offices.

ITEM 3: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS  
-----

ML&Co. -- the sole stockholder of Merrill Lynch Group, Inc. (which is the sole stockholder of MLIP) -- as well as certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates--have been named as defendants in civil actions, arbitration proceedings and claims arising out of their respective business activities. Although the ultimate outcome of these actions cannot be predicted at this time and the results of legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, it is the opinion of management that the result of these matters will not be materially adverse to the business operations or financial condition of MLIP or the Fund.

MLIP itself has never been the subject of any material litigation.

ITEM 4: SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS  
-----

The Partnership has never submitted any matter to a vote of its Limited Partners.

PART II

ITEM 5: MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS  
-----

Item 5(a)



(a) Market Information:

-----

There is no trading market for the Units, nor will one develop. Rather, Limited Partners may redeem Units as of the end of each month at Net Asset Value, subject to certain early redemption charges. Units redeemed prior to the Principal Assurance Date are not entitled to any benefits under the ML&Co. guarantee.

(b) Holders:

-----

As of December 31, 1998, there were 1,360 holders of Units, including the General Partner.

(c) Dividends:

-----

The Partnership has made no distributions, nor does the General Partner presently intend to make any distributions in the future.

Item 5(b)

Not applicable.

7

ITEM 6: SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

-----

The following selected financial data has been derived from the audited financial statements of the Partnership.

<TABLE>

<CAPTION>

	For the Year Ended December 31, 1998	For the Year Ended December 31, 1997	For the Year Ended December 31, 1996	For the Year Ended December 31, 1995	For the Year Ended December 31, 1994
Statement of Operations					
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Revenues:					
Trading (Loss) Profit					
Realized (Loss) Gain	\$ (267,771)	\$ 222,536	\$ 4,174,847	\$ 9,810,089	\$ 64,289
Change in Unrealized (Loss) Gain	(112,024)	51,814	(2,454,976)	(2,396,610)	3,288,186
Total Trading Results	(379,795)	274,350	1,719,871	7,413,479	3,352,475
Interest Income	289,431	790,813	1,661,887	4,001,380	3,643,283
Total Revenues	(90,364)	1,065,163	3,381,758	11,414,859	6,995,758
Expenses:					
Brokerage Commissions	166,505	519,637	2,243,462	5,771,415	6,822,213
Administrative Fees	4,757	14,688	57,524	-	-
Profit Shares	-	2,914	434,053	1,086,165	721,999
Total Expenses	171,262	537,239	2,735,039	6,857,580	7,544,212
Income from Investments	1,036,655	1,679,221	984,327	-	-
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 775,029	\$ 2,207,145	\$ 1,631,046	\$ 4,557,279	\$ (548,454)

<CAPTION>

Balance Sheet Data	December 31, 1998	December 31, 1997	December 31, 1996	December 31, 1995	December 31, 1994*
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Fund Net Asset Value	\$ 19,571,183	\$26,918,481	\$30,946,907	\$50,431,013	\$93,187,212
Net Asset Value per Unit	\$ 122.84	\$ 117.64	\$ 108.85	\$ 104.04	\$ 97.49

</TABLE>

The variations in income statement line items are primarily due to investing in

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>

MONTH-END NET ASSET VALUE PER UNIT												
	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
1994	\$ 95.94	\$ 93.35	\$ 93.26	\$ 92.71	\$ 95.30	\$ 97.51	\$ 96.01	\$ 94.57	\$ 96.20	\$ 96.73	\$ 97.05	\$ 97.49
1995	\$ 95.55	\$ 96.06	\$100.28	\$101.28	\$103.18	\$101.04	\$ 98.30	\$ 99.31	\$ 98.71	\$ 97.79	\$ 99.33	\$104.04
1996	\$107.43	\$103.23	\$105.23	\$108.01	\$104.83	\$104.48	\$ 98.33	\$ 99.85	\$101.79	\$106.19	\$110.86	\$108.85
1997	\$110.66	\$112.34	\$112.02	\$110.16	\$109.61	\$110.30	\$116.70	\$112.09	\$115.05	\$113.71	\$114.47	\$117.64
1998	\$117.10	\$117.18	\$116.93	\$112.78	\$114.80	\$114.98	\$115.21	\$120.33	\$122.49	\$122.27	\$122.38	\$122.84

</TABLE>

\*Balance Sheet Data is based on redemption values, which differ immaterially from Net Asset Values as determined under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") due to the treatment of organizational and initial offering cost reimbursements.

The Net Asset Value per Unit varies, until August 31, 1995, from how it would be calculated for purposes of GAAP, due to the amortization of organizational and initial offering costs.

8

THE SECTOR STRATEGY FUND (SM) VI L.P.  
December 31, 1998

Type of Pool: Selected-Advisor/Publicly-Offered/"Principal Protected"\(1)\  
Inception of Trading: September 10, 1993  
Aggregate Subscriptions: \$108,693,900  
Current Capitalization: \$19,571,183  
Worst Monthly Drawdown\2)\: (5.89)% (7/96)  
Worst Peak-to-Valley Drawdown\3)\: (8.97)% (5/96-7/96)

Net Asset Value per Unit, December 31, 1998: \$122.84

MONTHLY RATES OF RETURN/(4)/

MONTH	1998	1997	1996	1995	1994
January	(0.46)%	1.66%	3.25%	(1.98)%	(2.38)%
February	0.07	1.52	(3.91)	0.53	(2.70)
March	(0.21)	(0.28)	1.94	4.40	(0.09)
April	(3.55)	(1.66)	2.64	1.00	(0.60)
May	1.79	(0.50)	(2.95)	1.88	2.80
June	0.16	0.63	(0.33)	(2.08)	2.32
July	0.20	5.80	(5.89)	(2.71)	(1.55)
August	4.44	(3.95)	1.55	1.03	(1.50)
September	1.80	2.64	1.95	(0.60)	1.73
October	(0.18)	(1.16)	4.32	(0.93)	0.55
November	0.09	0.67	4.40	1.58	0.33
December	0.38	2.77	(1.82)	4.74	0.45
Compound Annual Rate of Return	4.43%	8.08%	4.62%	6.75%	(0.80)%

(1) Pursuant to applicable CFTC regulations, a "Multi-Advisor" fund is defined as one that allocates no more than 25% of its trading assets (i.e.,

assets committed to trading) to any single manager. As the Fund may allocate more than 25% of its trading assets to one or more Advisors, it is referred to as a "Selected-Advisor" fund. Applicable CFTC regulations define a "Principal Protected" fund as one which is designed to limit the loss of participants' initial investment. MLIP's trading leverage policies and the ML&Co. guarantee limit Limited Partners' losses on their Units to the time value of their investments over the Time Horizon from the beginning of trading to the Principal Assurance Date.

(2) Worst Monthly Drawdown represents the largest negative Monthly Rate of Return experienced by the Fund; a drawdown is measured on the basis of month-end Net Asset Value only, and does not reflect intra-month figures.

(3) Worst Peak-to-Valley Drawdown represents the greatest percentage decline from a month-end cumulative Monthly Rate of Return without such cumulative Monthly Rate of Return being equaled or exceeded as of a subsequent month-end. For example, if the Monthly Rate of Return was (1)% in each of January and February, 1% in March and (2)% in April, the Peak-to-Valley Drawdown would still be continuing at the end of April in the amount of approximately (3)%, whereas if the Monthly Rate of Return had been approximately 3% in March, the Peak-to-Valley Drawdown would have ended as of the end of February at approximately the (2)% level.

(4) Monthly Rate of Return is the net performance of the Fund during the month of determination (including interest income and after all expenses have been accrued or paid) divided by the total equity of the Fund as of the beginning of such month.

ITEM 7: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND  
-----  
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS  
-----

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ADVISOR SELECTIONS

The Fund's results of operations depend on MLIP's ability to select Advisors and the Advisors' ability to trade profitably. MLIP's selection procedures and trading leveraging analysis, as well as the Advisors' trading methods, are confidential, so that substantially the only available information relevant to the Fund's results of operations is its actual performance record to date. Because of the speculative nature of its trading, the Fund's past performance is not necessarily indicative of its future results.

MLIP has made and expects to continue making frequent changes to both trading asset allocations among Advisors and Advisor combinations as well as from time to time adjusting the percentage of the Fund's assets committed to trading.

MLIP's decision to terminate or reallocate assets among Advisors is based on a combination of numerous factors. Advisors are, in general, terminated primarily for unsatisfactory performance, but other factors -- for example, a change in MLIP's or an Advisor's market outlook, apparent deviation from announced risk control policies, excessive turnover of positions, changes in principals, commitment of resources to other business activities, etc. -- may also have a role in the termination or reallocation decision. The market judgment and experience of MLIP's principals is an important factor in its asset allocation decisions.

MLIP has no timetable or schedule for making Advisor changes or reallocations, and generally makes a medium- to long-term commitment to all Advisors selected. There can be no assurance as to the frequency or number of Advisor changes that may take place in the future, or as to how long any of the current Advisors will continue to manage assets for the Fund.

GENERAL

A number of the Advisors are trend-following traders, whose programs do not attempt to predict price movements. No fundamental economic supply or demand analyses are used by these Advisors, and no macroeconomic assessments of the relative strengths of different national economies or economic sectors. Instead, the programs apply proprietary computer models to analyzing past market data, and from this data alone attempt to determine whether market prices are trending. These technical traders base their strategies on the theory that market prices reflect the collective judgment of numerous different traders and are, accordingly, the best and most efficient indication of market movements. However, there are frequent periods during which fundamental factors external to the market dominate prices.

If a trend-following Advisor's models identify a trend, they signal positions which follow it. When these models identify the trend as having ended or reversed, these positions are either closed out or reversed. Due to their trend-following character, these Advisors' programs do not predict either the commencement or the end of a price movement. Rather, their objective is to identify a trend early enough to profit from it and detect its end or reversal in time to close out the Fund's positions while retaining most of the profits made from following the trend.

In analyzing the performance of trend-following programs, economic conditions, political events, weather factors, etc., are not directly relevant because only market data has any input into trading results. Furthermore, there is no direct connection between particular market conditions and price trends. There are so many influences on the markets that the same general type of economic event may lead to a price trend in some cases but not in others. The analysis is further complicated by the fact that the programs are designed to recognize only certain types of trends and to apply only certain criteria of when a trend has begun. Consequently, even though significant price trends may occur, if these trends are not comprised of the type of intra-period price movements which the programs are designed to identify, a trend-following Advisor may miss the trend altogether.

In the case of the Advisors which implement strategies which rely more on discretion and market judgment, it is not possible to predict, from their performance during past market cycles, how they will respond to future market events.

-10-

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

This performance summary is an outline description of how the Fund performed in the past, not necessarily any indication of how it will perform in the future. In addition, the general causes to which certain price movements are attributed may or may not in fact have caused such movements, but simply occurred at or about the same time.

The Advisors, as a group, are unlikely to be profitable in markets in which such trends do not occur. Static or erratic prices are likely to result in losses. Similarly, unexpected events (for example, a political upheaval, natural disaster or governmental intervention) can lead to major short-term losses as well as gains.

While there can be no assurance that any Advisor will be profitable, under any given market condition, markets in which substantial and sustained price movements occur typically offer the best profit potential for the Fund.

The performance of the Fund is also materially affected by the percentage of its assets allocated to trading. The greater the percentage of the Fund's assets allocated to trading, the greater its profit potential, risk and performance volatility.

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>  
1998

	Total Trading Results
<S>	<C>
Interest Rates	\$ (39,575)
Stock Indices	(208,161)
Commodities	(38,600)
Currencies	(103,404)
Energy	37,352
Metals	(27,407)
	-----
	\$ (379,795)
	=====

</TABLE>

Global interest rate markets provided the Fund with its most profitable positions for the first quarter, particularly in European bonds where an extended bond market rally continued despite an environment of robust growth in the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom, as well as a strong pick-up in growth in continental Europe. In the second quarter, swings in the U.S. dollar and developments in Japan affected bond markets, causing the Fund's interest rate trading to result in losses. This was turned around in the third quarter, as markets worldwide were turned upside down and the Fund's non-correlation with general equity and debt markets was strongly exhibited, and trading was particularly profitable in positions in Eurodollars, German and Japanese bonds, and U.S. Treasury notes and bonds. Global investors staged a

major flight to quality, resulting in a significant widening of credit spreads on a global basis. In October, investors pushed the yields on U.S. Treasury bonds to a 31-year low. The long bond yield fell about 75 basis points in 1998 as the world economy slowed more than expected, inflation continued to fall, the anticipated small U.S. budget deficit turned into substantial surplus, and the Fed lowered interest rates.

In currency markets, results early in the year were mixed, but unprofitable. During the second quarter, strong gains were realized in positions in the Japanese yen, which weakened during June to an eight-year low versus the U.S. dollar. Significant gains from Japanese yen trading continued into the third quarter, and Japan's problems spread to other sectors of the global economy, causing commodities prices to decline as demand from the Asian economies weakened. Japan's deepening recession and credit crunch continued through the fourth quarter, and the Fund achieved gains from long yen positions.

In energy markets, demand for crude oil in the Middle East was affected by low oil prices early in the year, and trading resulted in losses. Initially buoyed on concerns about a U.S.-led military strike against Iraq, crude oil fell to a nine-year low, as the globally warm winter, the return of Iraq as a producer and the Asian economic crisis added to OPEC's supply glut problems. Despite production cuts initiated by OPEC at the end of March, world oil supplies remained excessive and oil prices stood at relatively low levels throughout the first half of 1998. Short heating oil

-11-

positions in the third quarter proved profitable for the Fund as the market for heating oil prices dropped to its lowest level in more than a decade. In early December, oil and natural gas prices dropped sharply, causing continued problems for many emerging market countries that depend on commodity exports for economic growth and government financing. These price pressures were mainly due to excessive supply availability and near-term weather indications that inventories would remain at more than adequate levels even in the event of a cold Northern Hemisphere winter. Also, the December U.S. air attack on Iraq failed to cause any damage to oil pumping and shipping operations, and oil prices fell over 10%.

Trading results in stock index markets were also mixed in early 1998, despite a strong first-quarter performance by the U.S. equity market as several consecutive weekly gains were recorded with most market averages setting new highs. Second quarter results were profitable as the Asia-Pacific region's equity markets weakened across the board. In particular, Hong Kong's Hang Seng index trended downward during most of the second quarter and traded at a three-year low. As U.S. equity markets declined in July and August, the Fund profited from short positions in the S&P 500, most notably during August, when the index dropped 14.5%. Volatility in September made for a difficult trading environment in the stock index sector, and the Fund incurred modest losses, although results remained profitable for the quarter and the year overall in these markets

Gold prices began the year drifting sideways, and continued to weaken following news in the second quarter of a European Central Bank consensus that ten to fifteen percent of reserves should be made up of gold bullion, which was at the low end of expectations. Gold was unable to extend third quarter rallies or to build any significant upside momentum, resulting in a trendless environment. This was also the case in the fourth quarter, as gold's cost of production declined. Also, silver markets remained range-bound, while also experiencing a significant selloff in November, and aluminum traded at its lowest levels since 1994, with many aluminum smelters operating at a loss.

In agricultural commodity markets, 1998 began with strong gains as live cattle and hog prices trended downward throughout the first quarter. In the second quarter, although the U.S. soybean crop got off to a good start which contributed to higher yield expectations and a more burdensome supply outlook, soybean prices traded in a volatile pattern. Sugar futures maintained mostly a downtrend, as no major buyers emerged to support the market. Similarly, coffee prices trended downward, as good weather conditions in Central America and Mexico increased the prospects of more output from these countries. The third quarter resulted in losses as the U.S. soybean crop increased relative to the USDA's production estimate as a result of timely rains, which contributed to lower prices. These losses continued into the fourth quarter as the Fund was caught on the short side of the soybean complex, as the soybean supply surplus became more manageable following the November 10th USDA reports, causing prices to gain upward momentum.

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>  
1997

	Total Trading Results
<S> Interest Rates	<C> \$ (441)

Stock Indices	(39,146)
Commodities	(49,481)
Currencies	288,251
Energy	12,635
Metals	62,532
	-----
	\$ 274,350
	=====

</TABLE>

Trend reversals and extreme market volatility, affected by such factors as the Asian flu and El Nino, were characteristic of most of 1997. However, the year proved to be a profitable one overall for the Fund as trends in several key markets enabled the Trading Advisors to profit despite the significant obstacles. Although trading results in several sectors may have been lackluster, the global currency and bond markets offered noteworthy trading opportunities, which resulted in significant profits in these markets during the year. Additionally, the currency and interest rate sectors of the Fund's portfolio represented its largest percentage of market commitments.

In currency markets, the U.S. dollar rallied and started 1997 on a strong note, rising to a four-year high versus the Japanese yen and two-and-a-half year highs versus the Deutsche mark and the Swiss franc. However, the dollar underwent two significant corrections during the year. The first correction occurred in the Spring against the

-12-

Japanese yen, due to the G7 finance ministers' determination that a further dollar advance would be counter-productive to their current goals. From August through mid-November, the dollar corrected against the Eurocurrencies in advance of a well-advertised tightening by the Bundesbank. By mid-December the dollar had bounced back to new highs against the yen and was rallying against the mark.

Global interest rate markets began the year on a volatile note, as investors evaluated economic data for signs of inflation. By the middle of the year, economic data in key countries was positive indicating lower inflation and igniting a worldwide rally in the bond markets. Specifically, investor sentiment was particularly strong in the U.S., where prices on the 30-year Treasury bond and 10-year Treasury note rose to their highest levels in over two years. This followed a largely positive economic report delivered by Federal Reserve Chairman Greenspan in testimony before Congress. Effects of the plunge in the Hong Kong stock market in late October spread rapidly throughout the world's financial markets, including global bond markets. After continued volatility in subsequent months made trading difficult, 1997 interest rate trading ended on a positive note when U.S. and Japanese bond markets rallied as a flight to safety from plunging stock markets around the world occurred in December.

In energy markets, a slump in crude oil prices was characteristic of its lackluster performance from the beginning of the year. Early in 1997, volatility returned in the energy markets, reflecting the impact of a winter significantly warmer than normal. By mid-year, the decline in prices reversed sharply as Saudi Arabia and Iran, together representing about 45% of OPEC's oil production, joined forces to pressure oil-producing nations to stay within OPEC production quotas. In December, financial and economic problems in Asia reduced demand for oil, and, in combination with ample supplies, resulted in crude oil prices declining once again.

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>  
1996

	Total Trading
	Results
<S>	<C>
Interest Rates	\$ 1,150,787
Stock Indices	(410,783)
Commodities	(466,980)
Currencies	1,103,173
Energy	1,410,507
Metals	(1,066,833)
	-----
	\$ 1,719,871
	=====

</TABLE>

1996 began with the East Coast blizzard, continuing difficulties in federal budget talks and an economic slowdown having a negative impact on many markets. The Fund was profitable in January due to strong profits in currency

trading as the U.S. dollar reached a 23-month high against the Japanese yen. In February, however, the Fund incurred its worst monthly loss due to the sudden reversals in several strong price trends and considerable volatility in the currency and financial markets. During March, large profits were taken in the crude oil and gasoline markets as strong demand continued and talks between the United Nations and Iraq were suspended. This trend continued into the second quarter, during which strong gains were also recognized in the agricultural markets as a combination of drought and excessive rain drove wheat and grain prices to historic highs. In the late summer and early fall months, the Fund continued to trade profitably as trending prices in a number of key markets favorably impacted the Fund's performance. In September heating oil hit a five-year high on soaring prices in Europe, and the Fund was also able to capitalize on downward trends in the metals markets. Strong trends in the currency and global bond markets produced significant gains in October and November, but the year ended with declining performance as December witnessed the reversal of several strong upward trends and increased volatility in key markets.

-13-

#### Variables Affecting Performance

-----

The principal variables which determine the net performance of the Fund are gross profitability and interest income. Gross profitability is, in turn, affected by the percentage of the Fund's assets allocated to trading.

During all periods set forth under "Selected Financial Data," the interest rates in many countries were at unusually low levels. The low interest rates in the United States (although higher than in many other countries) negatively impacted revenues because interest income is typically a major component of the Fund's profitability. In addition, low interest rates are frequently associated with reduced fixed income market volatility, and in static markets the Fund's profit potential generally tends to be diminished. On the other hand, during periods of higher interest rates, the relative attractiveness of a high risk investment such as the Fund may be reduced as compared to high yielding and much lower risk fixed-income investments.

The Fund's Brokerage Commissions and Administrative Fees are a constant percentage of the Fund's assets allocated to trading. The only Fund costs (other than the insignificant currency trading costs) which are not based on a percentage of the Fund's assets (allocated to trading or total) are the Profit Shares payable to the Advisors on an Advisor-by-Advisor basis. Gross profitability is in turn, affected by the percentage of the Fund's assets allocated to trading. During periods when Profit Shares are a high percentage of net trading gains, it is likely that there has been substantial performance non-correlation among the Advisors (so that the total Profit Shares paid to those Advisors which have traded profitably are a high percentage, or perhaps even in excess, of the total profits recognized, as other Advisors have incurred offsetting losses, reducing overall trading gains but not the Profit Shares paid to the successful Advisors) -- suggesting the likelihood of generally trendless, non-consensus markets.

Unlike many investment fields, there is no meaningful distinction in the operation of the Fund between realized and unrealized profits. Most of the contracts traded by the Fund are highly liquid and can be closed out at any time.

Except in unusual circumstances, factors -- regulatory approvals, cost of goods sold, employee relations and the like -- which often materially affect an operating business have virtually no impact on the Fund.

#### LIQUIDITY; CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Fund borrows only to a limited extent and only on a strictly short-term basis in order to finance losses on non-U.S. dollar denominated trading positions pending the conversion of the Fund's dollar deposits. These borrowings are at a prevailing short-term rate in the relevant currency. They have been immaterial to the Fund's operation to date and are expected to continue to be so.

Substantially all of the Fund's assets are held in cash. The Net Asset Value of the Fund's cash is not affected by inflation. However, changes in interest rates could cause periods of strong up or down price trends, during which the Fund's profit potential generally increases. Inflation in commodity prices could also generate price movements which the strategies might successfully follow.

Substantially all of the Fund's assets are held in cash. Accordingly, except in very unusual circumstances, the Fund should be able to close out any or all of its open trading positions and liquidate any or all of its securities holdings quickly and at market prices. This permits an Advisor to limit losses as well as reduce market exposure on short notice should its strategies indicate

doing so. In addition, because there is a readily available market value for the Fund's positions and assets, the Fund's monthly Net Asset Value calculations are precise, and investors need only wait 10 business days to receive the full redemption proceeds of their Units.

-14-

#### YEAR 2000 COMPLIANCE INITIATIVE

As the millennium approaches, Merrill Lynch has undertaken initiatives to address the Year 2000 problem (the "Y2K problem"). The Y2K problem is the result of a widespread programming technique that causes computer systems to identify a date based on the last two numbers of a year, with the assumption that the first two numbers of the year are "19". As a result, the year 2000 would be stored as "00," causing computers to incorrectly interpret the year as 1900. Left uncorrected, the Y2K problem may cause information technology systems (e.g., computer databases) and non-information technology systems (e.g., elevators) to produce incorrect data or cease operating completely.

Merrill Lynch believes that it has identified and evaluated its internal Y2K problem and that it is devoting sufficient resources to renovating technology systems that are not already Year 2000 compliant. The resource-intensive renovation phase (as further discussed) of Merrill Lynch's Year 2000 efforts was approximately 95% completed as of January 31, 1999. Merrill Lynch will focus primarily on completing its renovation and testing and on integration of the Year 2000 programs of recent acquisitions during the remainder of 1999. In order to focus attention on the Y2K problem, management has deferred certain other technology projects; however, this deferral is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the company's business, results of operations, or financial condition.

The failure of Merrill Lynch's technology systems relating to a Y2K problem would likely have a material adverse effect on the company's business, results of operations, and financial condition. This effect could include disruption of normal business transactions, such as the settlement, execution, processing, and recording of trades in securities, commodities, currencies, and other assets. The Y2K problem could also increase Merrill Lynch's exposure to risk and its need for liquidity.

In 1995, Merrill Lynch established the Year 2000 Compliance Initiative, which is an enterprisewide effort to address the risks associated with the Y2K problem, both internal and external. The Year 2000 Compliance Initiative's efforts to address the risks associated with the Y2K problem have been organized into six phases: planning, pre-renovation, renovation, production testing, certification, and integration testing.

The planning phase involved defining the scope of the Year 2000 Compliance Initiative, including its annual budget and strategy, and determining the level of expert knowledge available within Merrill Lynch regarding particular systems or applications. The pre-renovation phase involved developing a detailed enterprisewide inventory of applications and systems, identifying the scope of necessary renovations to each application system, and establishing a conversion schedule. During the renovation phase, source code is actually converted, date fields are expanded or windowed (windowing is used on an exception basis only), test data is prepared, and each system or application is tested using a variety of Year 2000 scenarios. The production testing phase validates that a renovated system is functionally the same as the existing production version, that renovation has not introduced defects, and that expanded or windowed date fields continue to handle current dates properly. The certification phase validates that a system can run successfully in a Year 2000 environment. The integration testing phase, which will occur throughout 1999, validates that a system can successfully interface with both internal and external systems. Finally, as Merrill Lynch continues to implement new systems, they are also being tested for Year 2000 readiness.

In 1996 and 1997, as part of the planning and pre-renovation phases, both plans and funding of plans for inventory, preparation, renovation, and testing of computer systems for the Y2K problem were approved. All plans for both mission-critical and non-mission-critical systems are tracked and monitored. The work associated with the Year 2000 Compliance Initiative has been accomplished by Merrill Lynch employees, with the assistance of consultants where necessary.

As part of the production testing and certification phases, Merrill Lynch has performed, and will continue to perform, both internal and external Year 2000 testing intended to address the risks from the Y2K problem. As of January 31, 1999, production testing was approximately 93% completed. In July 1998, Merrill Lynch participated in an industrywide Year 2000 systems test sponsored by the Securities Industry Association ("SIA"), in which selected firms tested their computer systems in mock stock trades that simulated dates in December 1999 and January 2000. Merrill Lynch will participate in further industrywide testing sponsored by the SIA, currently scheduled for March and



April 1999, which will involve an expanded number of firms, transactions, and conditions. Merrill Lynch also participated in various other domestic and international industry tests during 1998.

-15-

Merrill Lynch continues to survey and communicate with third parties whose Year 2000 readiness is important to the company. Information technology and non-information technology vendors and service providers are contacted in order to obtain their Year 2000 compliance plans. Based on the nature of the response and the importance of the product or service involved, Merrill Lynch determines if additional testing is needed. The results of these efforts are maintained in a database that is accessible throughout the firm. Third parties that have been contacted include transactional counterparties, exchanges, and clearinghouses; a process to access and rate their responses has been developed. This information as well as other Year 2000 readiness information on particular countries and their political subdivisions will be used by Merrill Lynch to manage risk resulting from the Y2K problem. Management is unable at this point to ascertain whether all significant third parties will successfully address the Y2K problem. Merrill Lynch will continue to monitor third parties' Year 2000 readiness to determine if additional or alternative measures are necessary. In connection with information technology and non-information technology products and services, contingency plans, which are developed at the business unit level, may include selection of alternative vendors or service providers and changing business practices so that a particular system is not needed. In the case of securities exchanges and clearinghouses, risk mitigation could include the re-routing of business. In light of the interdependency of the parties in or serving the financial markets, however, there can be no assurance that all Y2K problems will be identified and remediated on a timely basis or that all remediation will be successful. The failure of exchanges, clearing organizations, vendors, service providers, counterparties, regulators, or others to resolve their own processing issues in a timely manner could have a material adverse effect on Merrill Lynch's business, results of operations, and financial condition.

At year-end 1998, the total estimated expenditures for the entire Year 2000 Compliance Initiative were approximately \$425 million, of which approximately \$125 million was remaining. The majority of these remaining expenditures are expected to cover testing, risk management, and contingency planning. There can be no assurance that the costs associated with such remediation efforts will not exceed those currently anticipated by Merrill Lynch, or that the costs associated with the remediation efforts or the possible failure of such remediation efforts would not have a material adverse effect on Merrill Lynch's business, results of operations, or financial condition.

#### EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AND MONETARY UNION ("EMU") INITIATIVE

As of January 1, 1999, the "euro" was adopted as the common legal currency of participating member states of the EMU. As a consequence of the introduction of and conversion to the euro, Merrill Lynch was required to make significant changes to nearly 200 global business systems in order to reflect the substitution of the euro for the 11 member national currencies and the European currency unit. The introduction of the euro brings about fundamental changes in the structure and nature of European financial markets, including the creation of a unified, more liquid capital market in Europe. As financial markets in EMU member states converge and local barriers are removed, competition is expected to increase.

The introduction of the euro affects all Merrill Lynch facilities that transact, distribute, or provide custody or recordkeeping for securities or cash denominated in the currency of a participating member state. Merrill Lynch's systems or procedures that handle such securities or cash were modified in order to implement the conversion to the euro. The implementation phase is continuing into the first quarter of 1999 to resolve any post-conversion issues. The success of Merrill Lynch's euro conversion efforts was dependent on the euro-compliance of third parties, such as trading counterparties, financial intermediaries (e.g., securities and commodities exchanges, depositories, clearing organizations, and commercial banks), and vendors.

As of the end of the 1998 fiscal year, the total estimated expenditures associated with the introduction of and conversion to the euro were approximately \$79 million, of which \$1 million is remaining to be spent during the first quarter of 1999 on compliance efforts and project administration. Management believes that it has identified and evaluated all of the systems and operational modifications necessary for the conversion to the euro. On January 4, 1999 and since then, Merrill Lynch has conducted normal business operations, having successfully completed its conversion program. Management does not expect the introduction of the euro to have a negative effect on its future business, currency risk, or competitive positioning in the European markets.

-16-

ITEM 7A: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

-----  
Not applicable.

ITEM 8: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

-----  
The financial statements required by this Item are included in Exhibit 13.01.

The supplementary financial information ("selected quarterly financial data" and "information about oil and gas producing activities") specified by Item 302 of Regulation S-K is not applicable. The General Partner promoted the Fund and is its controlling person.

ITEM 9: CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

-----  
There were no changes in or disagreements with independent auditors on accounting or financial disclosure.

PART III

ITEM 10: DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

-----  
10(a) and 10(b) Identification of Directors and Executive Officers:  
-----

As a limited partnership, the Partnership itself has no officers or directors and is managed by the General Partner. Trading decisions are made by the Trading Advisors on behalf of the Partnership. The General Partner promoted the Fund and is its controlling person.

The directors and executive officers of MLIP and their respective business backgrounds are as follows:

JOHN R. FRAWLEY, JR.	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, President and Director
JEFFREY F. CHANDOR	Senior Vice President, Director of Sales, Marketing and Research and Director
JO ANN DI DARIO	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, through April 30, 1999.
MICHAEL L. PUNGELLO	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, effective May 1, 1999
JOSEPH H. MOGLIA	Director
ALLEN N. JONES	Director
STEPHEN G. BODURTHA	Director
STEVEN B. OLGIN	Vice President, Secretary and Director of Administration

John R. Frawley, Jr. was born in 1943. Mr. Frawley is Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, President and a Director of MLIP and Co-Chairman of MLF. He joined Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("MLPF&S") in 1966 and has served in various positions, including Retail and Institutional Sales, Manager of New York Institutional Sales, Director of Institutional Marketing, Senior Vice President of Merrill Lynch Capital Markets and Director of International Institutional Sales. Mr. Frawley holds a Bachelor of Science degree from Canisius College. Mr. Frawley served on the CFTC's Regulatory Coordination Advisory Committee from its formation in 1990 through its dissolution in 1994. Mr. Frawley has served four consecutive one-year terms as Chairman of the Managed Funds Association (formerly, the Managed Futures Association), a national trade association that represents the managed futures, hedge funds and fund of funds industry. Mr. Frawley currently serves as a member of the CFTC's Global Markets Advisory Committee.

-17-

Jeffrey F. Chandor was born in 1942. Mr. Chandor is Senior Vice President, Director of Sales, Marketing and Research and a Director of MLIP. He

joined MLPF&S in 1971 and has served as the Product Manager of International Institutional Equities, Equity Derivatives and Mortgage-Backed Securities as well as Managing Director of International Sales in the United States, and Managing Director of Sales in Europe. Mr. Chandor holds a Bachelor of Arts degree from Trinity College, Hartford, Connecticut. Mr. Chandor is serving a two-year term as a director of the Managed Funds Association.

Jo Ann Di Dario was born in 1946. Ms. Di Dario is, through April 30, 1999, Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of MLIP. Before joining MLIP in May 1998, she was self-employed for one year. From February 1996 to May 1997, she worked as a consultant for Global Asset Management, an international mutual fund organizer and operator headquartered in London, where she offered advice on restructuring their back-office operations. From May 1992 to January 1996, she served as a Vice President of Meridian Bank Corporation, a regional bank holding company. She was responsible for managing the treasury operations of Meridian Bank Corporation including its wholly-owned subsidiary, Meridian Investment Company Inc. From September 1991 to May 1992, Ms. Di Dario managed the Domestic Treasury Operations of First Fidelity Bank, a regional bank. From January 1991 to September 1991, Ms. Di Dario was self-employed. For the previous five years, Ms. Di Dario was Vice President, Secretary and Controller of Caxton Corporation, a Commodity Pool Operator and Commodity Trading Advisor. Her background includes seven years of public accounting experience, and she graduated with high honors from Stockton State College with a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting.

Michael L. Pungello was born in 1957. Effective May 1, 1999, Mr. Pungello will become Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of MLIP. He was First Vice President and Senior Director of Finance for Merrill Lynch's Operations, Services and Technology Group from January 1998 to March 1999. Prior to that, Mr. Pungello spent over 18 years with Deloitte & Touche LLP, and was a partner in their Financial Services practice from June 1990 to December 1997. He graduated from Fordham University in 1979 with a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting and received his Master of Business Administration degree in Finance from New York University in 1987.

Joseph H. Moglia was born in 1949. Mr. Moglia is a Director of MLIP. In 1971, he graduated from Fordham University with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics. He later received his Master of Science degree from the University of Delaware. He taught at the high school and college level for sixteen years. Mr. Moglia joined MLPF&S in 1984, and has served in a number of senior roles, including Director of New York Fixed Income Institutional Sales, Director of Global Fixed Income Institutional Sales, and Director of the Municipal Division. He is currently Senior Vice President and Director of the Investment Strategy and Product Group in Merrill Lynch Private Client, and Director of Middle Markets.

Allen N. Jones was born in 1942. Mr. Jones is a Director of MLIP and, from July 1995 until January 1998, Mr. Jones was also Chairman of the Board of Directors of MLIP. Mr. Jones graduated from the University of Arkansas with a Bachelor of Science, Business Administration degree in 1964. Since June 1992, Mr. Jones has held the position of Senior Vice President of MLPF&S. From June 1992 through February 1994, Mr. Jones was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Merrill Lynch Insurance Group, Inc. ("MLIG") and remains on the Board of Directors of MLIG and its subsidiary companies. From February 1994 to April 1997, Mr. Jones was the Director of Individual Financial Services of the Merrill Lynch Private Client Group. In April 1997, Mr. Jones became the Director of Private Client marketing.

Stephen G. Bodurtha was born in 1958. Mr. Bodurtha is a Director of MLIP. In 1980, Mr. Bodurtha graduated magna cum laude from Wesleyan University, Middletown, Connecticut with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Government. From 1980 to 1983, Mr. Bodurtha worked in the Investment Banking Division of Merrill Lynch. In 1985, he was awarded his Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard University, where he also served as Associates Fellow (1985 to 1986). From 1986 to 1989, Mr. Bodurtha held the positions of Associate and Vice President with Kidder, Peabody & Co., Incorporated where he worked in their Financial Futures & Options Group. Mr. Bodurtha joined MLPF&S in 1989 and has held the position of First Vice President since 1995. He has been the Director in charge of the Structured Investments Group of MLPF&S since 1995.

Steven B. Olgin was born in 1960. Mr. Olgin is Vice President, Secretary and the Director of Administration of MLIP. He joined MLIP in July 1994 and became a Vice President in July 1995. From 1986 until July 1994, Mr. Olgin was an associate of the law firm of Sidley & Austin. In 1982, Mr. Olgin graduated from The American University with a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration and a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics. In 1986, he received his Juris Doctor degree from The John Marshall Law School. Mr. Olgin is a member of the Managed Funds Association's Government Relations Committee and has served as an arbitrator for the NFA. Mr. Olgin is also a member of the Committee on Futures Regulation of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

As of December 31, 1998, the principals of MLIP had no investment in

the Fund, and MLIP's general partner interest in the Fund was valued at \$244,832.

-18-

MLIP acts as general partner to twelve public futures funds whose units of limited partnership interest are registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934: The Futures Expansion Fund Limited Partnership, The Growth and Guarantee Fund L.P., ML Futures Investments II L.P., ML Futures Investments L.P., John W. Henry & Co./Millburn L.P., The S.E.C.T.O.R. Strategy Fund (SM) L.P., The SECTOR Strategy Fund (SM) II L.P., The SECTOR Strategy Fund (SM) V L.P., ML Global Horizons L.P., ML Principal Protection L.P., ML JWH Strategic Allocation Fund L.P. and the Fund. Because MLIP serves as the sole general partner of each of these funds, the officers and directors of MLIP effectively manage them as officers and directors of such funds.

(c) Identification of Certain Significant Employees:  
-----

None.

(d) Family Relationships:  
-----

None.

(e) Business Experience:  
-----

See Item 10(a)(b) above.

(f) Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings:  
-----

None.

(g) Promoters and Control Persons:  
-----

Not applicable.

ITEM 11: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION  
-----

The directors and officers of the General Partner are remunerated in their respective positions. The Partnership does not itself have any officers, directors or employees. The Fund pays Brokerage Commissions to an affiliate of the General Partner and Administrative Fees to the General Partner. The General Partner or its affiliates may also receive certain economic benefits from holding the Fund's dollar assets. The directors and officers receive no "other compensation" from the Partnership, and the directors receive no compensation for serving as directors of the General Partner. There are no compensation plans or arrangements relating to a change in control of either the Partnership or the General Partner.

ITEM 12: SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT  
-----

(a) Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners:  
-----

Title of Class	Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount of Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of class
Limited Partnership Units	City of Milford Municipal Employee Pension Fund 70 West River Road Milford, CT 06460	10,200	6.40%

(b) Security Ownership of Management:  
-----

As of December 31, 1998, the General Partner owned 1,993 Units (unit-equivalent general partnership interests), which was less than 1.3 % of the total Units outstanding.

(c) Changes in Control:  
-----

ITEM 13: CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

(a) Transactions Between Merrill Lynch and the Fund

All of the service providers to the Fund, other than the Advisors, are affiliates of Merrill Lynch. Merrill Lynch negotiated with the Advisors over the level of its advisory fees and Profit Share. However, none of the fees paid by the Fund to any Merrill Lynch party were negotiated, and they are higher than would have been obtained in arms'-length bargaining.

The Fund pays Merrill Lynch substantial Brokerage Commissions and Administrative Fees as well as bid-ask spreads on forward currency trades. The Fund also pays MLF interest on short-term loans extended by MLF to cover losses on foreign currency positions.

Within the Merrill Lynch organization, MLIP is the direct beneficiary of the revenues received by different Merrill Lynch entities from the Fund. MLIP controls the management of the Fund and serves as its promoter. Although MLIP has not sold any assets, directly or indirectly, to the Fund, MLIP makes substantial profits from the Fund due to the foregoing revenues.

No loans have been, are or will be outstanding between MLIP or any of its principals and the Fund.

MLIP pays substantial selling commissions and trailing commissions to MLPF&S for distributing the Units. MLIP is ultimately paid back for these expenditures from the revenues it receives from the Fund.

(b) Certain Business Relationships:

MLF, an affiliate of the General Partner, acts as the principal commodity broker for the Partnership.

In 1998 the Partnership expensed: (i) Brokerage Commissions of \$166,505 to the Commodity Broker, which included \$18,902 in consulting fees earned by the Trading Advisors; and (ii) Administrative Fees of \$4,757 to MLIP. Through its investments in Trading LLCs and MM LLC, the following fees were expensed: (i) Brokerage Commissions of \$1,503,800 to the Commodity Broker, which included \$267,127 in consulting fees earned by the Trading Advisors; and (ii) Administrative Fees of \$42,966 to MLIP. In addition, MLIP and its affiliates may have derived certain economic benefits from possession of the Fund's assets, as well as from foreign exchange and EFP trading.

See Item 1(c), "Narrative Description of Business -- Charges" and "-- Description of Current Charges" for a discussion of other business dealings between MLIP affiliates and the Partnership.

(c) Indebtedness of Management:

The Partnership is prohibited from making any loans, to management or otherwise.

(d) Transactions with Promoters:

Not applicable.

PART IV

ITEM 14: EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a)1.	Financial Statements (found in Exhibit 13.01):	Page
	-----	----
	Independent Auditors' Report	1
	Statements of Financial Condition as of December 31, 1998 and 1997	2
	For the years ended December 31, 1998, 1997 and 1996 Statements of Income	3

(a)2. Financial Statement Schedules:  
-----

Financial statement schedules not included in this Form 10-K have been omitted for the reason that they are not required or are not applicable or that equivalent information has been included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(a)3. Exhibits:  
-----

The following exhibits are incorporated by reference or are filed herewith to this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Designation -----	Description -----
3.01(i)	Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of the Partnership.
Exhibit 3.01(i): -----	Is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 3.01(i) contained in Amendment No. 1 (as Exhibit A) to the Registration Statement (File No. 33-62474) filed on June 28, 1993, on Form S-1 under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Registrant's Registration Statement").
3.02(ii)	Amended and Restated Certificate of Limited Partnership of the Registrant, dated July 27, 1995.
Exhibit 3.02(ii): -----	Is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 3.02(ii) contained in the Registrant's report on Form 10 Q for the Quarter Ended June 30, 1995.
10.01(g)	Form of Advisory Agreement between the Partnership, Merrill Lynch Investment Partners Inc., Merrill Lynch Futures Inc. and each Trading Advisor.
Exhibit 10.01(g):	Is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.01(g) contained in the Registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter Ended June 30, 1995.
10.02	Form of Consulting Agreement between each the Partnership and Merrill Lynch Futures Inc.
Exhibit 10.02: -----	Is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.02 contained in the Registrant's Registration Statement.
10.03	Form of Customer Agreement between the Partnership and Merrill Lynch Futures Inc.
Exhibit 10.03: -----	Is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.03 contained in the Registrant's Registration Statement.
-21-	
10.05	Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. Guarantee.
Exhibit 10.05: -----	Is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.05 contained in the Registrant's Registration Statement.
10.07	Foreign Exchange Desk Service Agreement among Merrill Lynch Investment Bank, Merrill Lynch Investment Partners Inc., Merrill Lynch Futures Inc. and the Fund.
Exhibit 10.07: -----	Is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.07 contained in the Registrant's Registration Statement.
10.08(a)	Form of Advisory and Consulting Agreement Amendment among Merrill Lynch Investment Partners Inc., each Advisor, the Fund and Merrill Lynch Futures Inc.
Exhibit 10.08(a):	Is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.08(a)

-----  
contained in the Registrant's report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996.

10.08(b) Form of Amendment to the Customer Agreement between the Partnership and MLF.

Exhibit 10.08(b): Is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.08(b) contained in the Registrant's report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996.

13.01 1998 Annual Report and Independent Auditors' Report.

Exhibit 13.01: Is filed herewith.

13.01(a) 1998 Annual Reports and Independent Auditors' Reports for the following Trading Limited Liability Companies sponsored by Merrill Lynch Investment Partners Inc.:  
ML Sjo Prospect L.L.C.  
ML Chesapeake Diversified L.L.C.  
ML JWH Financial and Metals Portfolio L.L.C.  
ML Multi Manager Portfolio LLC

Exhibit 13.01(a): Is incorporated herein by reference from Form 10-K (fiscal  
-----  
year ended December 31, 1998) Commission File number 0-18702 for The S.E.C.T.O.R. Fund (SM) L.P. (Registration Statement File No. 33-34432 filed on May 25, 1990 under the Securities Act of 1933).

28.01 Prospectus of the Partnership dated June 29, 1993.

Exhibit 28.01: Is incorporated by reference as filed with the Securities  
-----  
and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 424 under the Securities Act of 1933, Registration Statement (File No. 33-62474) on Form S-1.

(b) Report on Form 8-K:  
-----

No reports on Form 8-K were filed during the fourth quarter of 1998.

-22-

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE SECTOR STRATEGY FUND (SM) VI L.P.  
By: MERRILL LYNCH INVESTMENT  
PARTNERS INC.  
General Partner

By: /s/ John R. Frawley, Jr.  
-----

John R. Frawley, Jr.  
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer,  
President and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed on March 25, 1999 by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated.

<TABLE>

<CAPTION>

Signature	Title	Date
-----	-----	----
<S>	<C>	<C>
/s/ John R. Frawley, Jr. -----	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, President and Director	March 25, 1999
John R. Frawley, Jr.	(Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ Jo Ann Di Dario -----	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	March 25, 1999
Jo Ann Di Dario	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	

/s/ Jeffrey F. Chandor	Senior Vice President, Director of Sales,	March 25, 1999
-----		
Jeffrey F. Chandor	Marketing and Research, and Director	
/s/ Allen N. Jones	Director	March 25, 1999
-----		
Allen N. Jones		
</TABLE>		

(Being the principal executive officer, the principal financial and accounting officer and a majority of the directors of Merrill Lynch Investment Partners Inc.)

MERRILL LYNCH INVESTMENT PARTNERS INC.	General Partner of Registrant	March 25, 1999
--	-------------------------------	----------------

By: /s/ John R. Frawley, Jr.  
-----  
John R. Frawley, Jr.

-23-

THE SECTOR STRATEGY FUND (SM) VI L.P.

1998 FORM 10-K

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

-----

Exhibit

-----

Exhibit 13.01	1998 Annual Report and Independent Auditors' Report
---------------	---

-24-



THE SECTOR STRATEGY FUND(SM) VI L.P.  
(A Delaware Limited Partnership)

Financial Statements for the years ended  
December 31, 1998, 1997 and 1996  
and Independent Auditors' Report

[LOGO] Merrill Lynch

To: The Limited Partners of The SECTOR Strategy Fund(SM) VI L.P.

The SECTOR Strategy Fund(SM) VI L.P. (the "Fund" or the "Partnership") ended its sixth fiscal year of trading on December 31, 1998 with a Net Asset Value ("NAV") per Unit of \$122.84, representing an increase of 4.42% from the December 31, 1997 NAV per Unit of \$117.64. During 1998, trading profits were generated in the interest rate, currency, energy and stock index markets while losses were incurred in metals and agricultural trading.

Global interest rate markets provided the Fund with its most profitable positions for the first quarter, particularly in European bonds where an extended bond market rally continued despite an environment of robust growth in the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom, as well as a strong pick-up in growth in continental Europe. In the second quarter, swings in the U.S. dollar and developments in Japan affected bond markets, causing the Fund's interest rate trading to result in losses. This was turned around in the third quarter, as markets worldwide were turned upside down and the Fund's non-correlation with general equity and debt markets was strongly exhibited, and trading was particularly profitable in positions in Eurodollars, German and Japanese bonds, and U.S. Treasury notes and bonds. Global investors staged a major flight to quality, resulting in a significant widening of credit spreads on a global basis. In October, investors pushed the yields on U.S. Treasury bonds to a 31-year low. The long bond yield fell about 75 basis points in 1998 as the world economy slowed more than expected, inflation continued to fall, the anticipated small U.S. budget deficit turned into substantial surplus, and the Federal Reserve lowered interest rates.

In currency markets, results early in the year were mixed, but unprofitable. During the second quarter, strong gains were realized in positions in the Japanese yen, which weakened during June to an eight-year low versus the U.S. dollar. Significant gains from Japanese yen trading continued into the third quarter, and Japan's problems spread to other sectors of the global economy, causing commodities prices to decline as demand from the Asian economies weakened. Japan's deepening recession and credit crunch continued through the fourth quarter, and the Fund achieved gains from long yen positions.

In energy markets, demand for crude oil in the Middle East was affected by low oil prices early in the year, and trading resulted in losses. Initially buoyed on concerns about a U.S.-led military strike against Iraq, crude oil fell to a nine-year low, as the globally warm winter, the return of Iraq as a producer and the Asian economic crisis added to OPEC's supply glut problems. Despite production cuts initiated by OPEC at the end of March, world oil supplies remained excessive and oil prices stood at relatively low levels throughout the first half of 1998. Short heating oil positions in the third quarter proved profitable for the Fund as the market for heating oil prices dropped to its lowest level in more than a decade. In early December, oil and natural gas prices dropped sharply, causing continued problems for many emerging market countries that depend on commodity exports for economic growth and government financing. These price pressures were mainly due to excessive supply availability and near-term weather indications that inventories would remain at more than adequate levels even in the event of a cold Northern Hemisphere winter. Also, the December U.S. air attack on Iraq failed to cause any damage to oil pumping and shipping operations, and oil prices fell over 10%.

Trading results in stock index markets were also mixed in early 1998, despite a strong first-quarter performance by the U.S. equity market as several consecutive weekly gains were recorded with most market averages setting new highs. Second quarter results were profitable as the Asia-Pacific region's equity markets weakened across the board. In particular, Hong Kong's Hang Seng index trended downward during most of the second quarter and traded at a three-year low. As U.S. equity markets declined in July and August, the Fund profited from short positions in the S&P 500, most notably during August, when the index dropped 14.5%. Volatility in September made for a difficult trading environment in the stock index sector, and the Fund incurred modest losses, although results

remained profitable for the quarter and the year overall in these markets

Gold prices began the year drifting sideways, and continued to weaken following news in the second quarter of a European Central Bank consensus that ten to fifteen percent of reserves should be made up of gold bullion, which was at the low end of expectations. Gold was unable to extend third quarter rallies or to build any significant upside momentum, resulting in a trendless environment. This was also the case in the fourth quarter, as gold's cost of production declined. Also, silver markets remained range-bound, while also experiencing a significant selloff in November, and aluminum traded at its lowest levels since 1994, with many aluminum smelters operating at a loss.

In agricultural commodity markets, 1998 began with strong gains as live cattle and hog prices trended downward throughout the first quarter. In the second quarter, although the U.S. soybean crop got off to a good start which contributed to higher yield expectations and a more burdensome supply outlook, soybean prices traded in a volatile pattern. Sugar futures maintained mostly a downtrend, as no major buyers emerged to support the market. Similarly, coffee prices trended downward, as good weather conditions in Central America and Mexico increased the prospects of more output from these countries. The third quarter resulted in losses as the U.S. soybean crop increased relative to the USDA's production estimate as a result of timely rains, which contributed to lower prices. These losses continued into the fourth quarter as the Fund was caught on the short side of the soybean complex, as the soybean supply surplus became more manageable following the November 10th USDA reports, causing prices to gain upward momentum.

Despite a year of unprecedented volatility in key global markets, we were pleased with the Fund's ability to generate a profit by trading both the long and short side of a variety of markets, demonstrating its value as an element of diversification in an investor's portfolio. We look forward to 1999 and the opportunities it may present.

Sincerely,  
John R. Frawley, Jr.  
President  
Merrill Lynch Investment Partners Inc.  
(General Partner)

FUTURES TRADING IS SPECULATIVE AND INVOLVES A HIGH DEGREE OF RISK. PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS.

THE SECTOR STRATEGY FUND(SM) VI L.P.  
(A Delaware Limited Partnership)  
-----

TABLE OF CONTENTS  
-----

	Page
	----
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	1
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998, 1997 AND 1996:	
Statements of Financial Condition	2
Statements of Income	3
Statements of Changes in Partners' Capital	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5-15

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT  
-----

To the Partners of  
The SECTOR Strategy Fund(SM) VI L.P.:

We have audited the accompanying statements of financial condition of The SECTOR Strategy Fund(SM) VI L.P. (the "Partnership") as of December 31, 1998 and 1997 and the related statements of income and of changes in partners' capital for

each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1998. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The SECTOR Strategy Fund(SM) VI L.P. as of December 31, 1998 and 1997 and the results of its operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1998 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New York, New York  
February 4, 1999

THE SECTOR STRATEGY FUND(SM) VI L.P.  
(A Delaware Limited Partnership)

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>  
STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION  
DECEMBER 31, 1998 AND 1997

	1998	1997
	-----	-----
ASSETS		
<S>	<C>	<C>
Equity in commodity futures trading accounts:		
Cash and option premiums (Note 1)	\$ -	\$ 10,274,262
Net unrealized profit on open contracts (Note 1)	-	112,024
Accrued interest (Note 2)	-	46,180
Investments (Note 6)	19,571,183	16,692,504
Receivable from investments (Note 6)	438,365	273,940
	-----	-----
TOTAL	\$ 20,009,548	\$ 27,398,910
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL		
LIABILITIES		
Brokerage commissions payable (Note 2)	\$ -	\$ 38,199
Administrative fees payable (Note 2)	-	1,080
Redemptions payable	438,365	441,150
	-----	-----
Total liabilities	438,365	480,429
	-----	-----
PARTNERS' CAPITAL		
General Partner (1,993 Units and 6,971 Units)	244,832	820,070
Limited Partners (157,330 Units and 221,850 Units)	19,326,351	26,098,411
	-----	-----
Total partners' capital	19,571,183	26,918,481
	-----	-----
TOTAL	\$ 20,009,548	\$ 27,398,910
	=====	=====
NET ASSET VALUE PER UNIT		
(Based on 159,323 and 228,821 Units outstanding)	\$ 122.84	\$ 117.64
	=====	=====

</TABLE>  
See notes to financial statements.

THE SECTOR STRATEGY FUND(SM) VI L.P.  
(A Delaware Limited Partnership)

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>  
STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998, 1997 AND 1996

	1998	1997	1996
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>
REVENUES			
Trading (loss) profits:			
Realized (Note 1)	\$ (267,771)	\$ 222,536	\$ 4,174,847
Change in unrealized (Note 1)	(112,024)	51,814	(2,454,976)
Total trading results	(379,795)	274,350	1,719,871
Interest income (Note 2)	289,431	790,813	1,661,887
Total revenues	(90,364)	1,065,163	3,381,758
EXPENSES:			
Brokerage commissions (Note 2)	166,505	519,637	2,243,462
Profit Shares (Note 3)	-	2,914	434,053
Administrative fees (Note 2)	4,757	14,688	57,524
Total expenses	171,262	537,239	2,735,039
INCOME FROM INVESTMENTS (Note 6)	1,036,655	1,679,221	984,327
NET INCOME	\$ 775,029	\$ 2,207,145	\$ 1,631,046
NET INCOME PER UNIT:			
Weighted average number of General Partner and Limited Partner Units outstanding (Note 4)	191,611	256,535	362,917
Net income per weighted average General Partner and Limited Partner Unit	\$ 4.04	\$ 8.60	\$ 4.49

</TABLE>

See notes to financial statements.

-3-

THE SECTOR STRATEGY FUND(SM) VI L.P.  
(A Delaware Limited Partnership)

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>  
STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PARTNERS' CAPITAL  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998, 1997 AND 1996

	Units	Limited Partners	General Partner	Total
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
PARTNERS' CAPITAL				
DECEMBER 31, 1995	484,717	49,707,772	723,241	50,431,013
Net income	-	1,595,507	35,539	1,631,046

Redemptions	(200,404)	(21,115,152)	-	(21,115,152)
PARTNERS' CAPITAL DECEMBER 31, 1996	284,313	30,188,127	758,780	30,946,907
Net income	-	2,145,855	61,290	2,207,145
Redemptions	(55,492)	(6,235,571)	-	(6,235,571)
PARTNERS' CAPITAL DECEMBER 31, 1997	228,821	26,098,411	820,070	26,918,481
Net income	-	778,792	(3,763)	775,029
Redemptions	(69,498)	(7,550,852)	(571,475)	(8,122,327)
PARTNERS' CAPITAL, DECEMBER 31, 1998	159,323	19,326,351	244,832	19,571,183

</TABLE>

See notes to financial statements.

-4-

THE SECTOR STRATEGY FUND(SM) VI L.P.  
(A Delaware Limited Partnership)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization

The SECTOR Strategy Fund(SM) VI L.P. (the "Partnership") was organized under the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act on April 23, 1993 and commenced trading activities on September 10, 1993. The Partnership engages (currently, through an investment in a limited liability company (see below)) in the speculative trading of futures, options on futures, forwards and options on forward contracts on a wide range of commodities. Merrill Lynch Investment Partners Inc. ("MLIP" or the "General Partner"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Merrill Lynch Group Inc., which, in turn, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. ("Merrill Lynch"), is the general partner of the Partnership. Merrill Lynch Futures Inc. ("MLF"), a Merrill Lynch affiliate, is the Partnership's commodity broker. A portion of the Partnership's assets is held by a commodity broker, other than MLF, to facilitate the trading of a certain independent advisor, subject to an arrangement recognized by the General Partner. The General Partner has agreed to maintain a general partnership interest in the Partnership of at least 1% of the total capital of the Partnership. The General Partner and each Limited Partner share in the profits and losses of the Partnership in proportion to their respective interests in it.

Many of the multi-advisor funds (the "Multi-Advisor Funds") sponsored by MLIP allocate their assets to a number of the same independent advisors (the "Advisors" or the "Trading Advisors"). However, because different Multi-Advisor Funds had historically allocated assets to slightly different Advisor groups, the Multi-Advisor Funds often were required to open and maintain individual trading accounts with each Advisor. MLIP consolidated the trading accounts of nine of its Multi-Advisor Funds (including the Partnership) as of June 1, 1998. The consolidation was achieved by having these Multi-Advisor Funds close their existing trading accounts and invest in a limited liability company, ML Multi-Manager Portfolio L.L.C. ("MM LLC"), a Delaware limited liability company, which opened a single account with each Advisor selected. MM LLC is managed by MLIP, has no investors other than the Multi-Advisor Funds and serves solely as the vehicle through which the assets of such Multi-Advisor Funds are combined in order to be managed through single rather than multiple accounts. The placement of assets into MM LLC did not change the operations or fee structure of the Partnership; therefore, the following notes relate to the operation of the Partnership through its investment in MM LLC.

The administrative authority over the Partnership remains with MLIP. MLIP, on an ongoing basis, may change the number of Multi-Advisor Funds investing in MM LLC.

MLIP selects the Advisors to manage MM LLC's assets, and allocates and reallocates such trading assets among existing, replacement and additional Advisors.

MLIP determines what percentage of the Partnership's total capital to invest in MM LLC from time to time, attempting to balance the desirability of reducing the opportunity costs of the Partnership's "principal protection" structure by investing 100% of the Partnership's assets in MM LLC against the necessity of preventing Merrill Lynch from ever being required to make any payments to the Partnership under the Merrill Lynch guarantee (See Note 5).

-5-

#### Estimates

-----

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Revenue Recognition

-----

Commodity futures, options on futures, forwards and options on forward contracts are recorded on the trade date, and open contracts are reflected in net unrealized profit on open contracts in the Statements of Financial Condition at the difference between the original contract value and the market value (for those commodity interests for which market quotations are readily available) or at fair value. The change in unrealized (loss) profit on open contracts from one period to the next is reflected in change in unrealized in the Statements of Income. (As a result of the investment in MM LLC, there were no open contracts as of December 31, 1998.)

#### Foreign Currency Transactions

-----

The Partnership's functional currency is the U.S. dollar; however, it transacts business in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar are translated into U.S. dollars at the rates in effect at the dates of the Statements of Financial Condition. Income and expense items denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar are translated into U.S. dollars at the rates in effect during the period. Gains and losses resulting from the translation to U.S. dollars are reported in total trading results currently.

#### Operating Expenses

-----

The General Partner pays for all routine operating costs (including legal, accounting, printing, postage and similar administrative expenses) of the Partnership. The General Partner receives an administrative fee as well as a portion of the brokerage commissions paid to MLF by the Partnership.

#### Income Taxes

-----

No provision for income taxes has been made in the accompanying financial statements as each Partner is individually responsible for reporting income or loss based on such Partner's respective share of the Partnership's income and expenses as reported for income tax purposes.

#### Distributions

-----

The Unitholders are entitled to receive, equally per Unit, any distribution which may be made by the Partnership. No such distributions had been made as of December 31, 1998.

#### Redemptions

-----

A Limited Partner may require the Partnership to redeem some or all of such Partner's Units at the Net Asset Value as of the close of business on the last business day of any month upon ten calendar days' notice.

Dissolution of the Partnership  
-----

The Partnership will terminate on December 31, 2023 or at an earlier date if certain conditions occur, as well as under certain other circumstances as set forth in the Limited Partnership Agreement.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements  
-----

In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting standard No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" (the "Statement"). Such Statement is effective for fiscal years commencing after June 15, 1999. The General Partner does not believe that the Statement will have a significant effect on the financial statements of the Partnership.

2. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The majority of the Partnership's U.S. dollar assets are maintained at MLF. On assets held in U.S. dollars, Merrill Lynch credits the Partnership with interest at the prevailing 91-day U.S. Treasury bill rate. The Partnership is credited with interest on any of its net gains actually held by Merrill Lynch in non-U.S. dollar currencies at a prevailing local rate received by Merrill Lynch. Merrill Lynch may derive certain economic benefit, in excess of the interest which Merrill Lynch pays to the Partnership, from possession of such assets.

Merrill Lynch charges the Partnership Merrill Lynch's cost of financing realized and unrealized losses on the Partnership's non-U.S. dollar-denominated positions.

The General Partner determined that there may have been a miscalculation in the interest credited to the Partnership for a period prior to November 1996 (such period may extend prior to that covered by these financial statements). Accordingly, the General Partner credited current and former investors who maintained a Merrill Lynch customer account in December 1997 with interest which was compounded. Former investors who do not maintain a Merrill Lynch customer account have been credited as their response forms are processed. The total amount of the adjustment was approximately \$1,302,000. Since this amount was paid directly to investors by the General Partner, it is not reflected in these financial statements. The General Partner determined that interest was calculated appropriately since November 1996.

Prior to January 1, 1996, the Partnership paid brokerage commissions to MLF, at a flat monthly rate equal to .833 of 1% (a 10% annual rate) of the Partnership's month-end assets allocated to trading. Effective January 1, 1996, the percentage was reduced to .813 of 1% (a 9.75% annual rate), and the Partnership began to pay MLIP a monthly administrative fee of .021 of 1% (a .25% annual rate) of the Partnership's month-end assets allocated to trading (this recharacterization had no economic effect on the Partnership). Effective February 1, 1997, the Partnership's brokerage commission percentage was reduced to .729 of 1% (an 8.75% annual rate) of the Partnership's month-end assets allocated to trading. Assets allocated to trading are not reduced, for purposes of calculating brokerage commissions and administrative fees, by any accrued brokerage commissions, administrative fees, Profit Shares or other fees or charges.

The General Partner estimates that the round-turn equivalent commission rate charged to the Partnership during the years ended December 31, 1998, 1997 and 1996 was approximately \$54, \$56 and \$86, respectively (not including, in calculating round-turn equivalents, forward contracts on a futures-equivalent basis).

MLF pays the Advisors annual Consulting Fees ranging up to 4% of the Partnership's average month-end assets allocated to them for management, after reduction for a portion of the brokerage commissions.

Many of the Partnership's currency trades are executed in the spot and forward foreign exchange markets (the "FX Markets") where there are no direct execution costs. Instead, the participants, banks and dealers, including Merrill Lynch International Bank ("MLIB"), in the FX Markets take a "spread" between the prices at which they are prepared to buy and sell a particular currency and such spreads are built into the pricing of the spot or forward contracts with the Partnership. The General Partner anticipates that some of

the Partnership's foreign currency trades will be executed through MLIB, an affiliate of the General Partner. MLIB has discontinued the operation of the foreign exchange service desk, which included seeking multiple quotes from counterparties unrelated to MLIB for a service fee and trade execution.

In its exchange of futures for physical ("EFP") trading, the Partnership acquires cash currency positions through banks and dealers, including Merrill Lynch. The Partnership pays a spread when it exchanges these positions for futures. This spread reflects, in part, the different settlement dates of the cash and the futures contracts, as well as prevailing interest rates, but also includes a pricing spread in favor of the banks and dealers, which may include a Merrill Lynch entity.

### 3. AGREEMENTS

Pursuant to the Advisory Agreements among the Advisors, the Partnership and MLIP, the Advisors determined the commodity futures, options on futures, forwards and options on forward contracts traded on behalf of the Partnership, subject to certain rights reserved by the General Partner. The Advisory Agreements generally terminate one year after they are entered into, subject to certain renewal rights exercisable by the Partnership.

In the case of the Trading LLCs, as defined in Note 6, the Trading LLCs entered into the Advisory Agreements with the Advisors.

In the case of MM LLC, as defined in Note 1, MM LLC entered into the current Advisory Agreements with the Advisors.

Profit Shares, generally ranging from 15% to 25% of any New Trading Profit, as defined, recognized by each Advisor considered individually irrespective of the overall performance of the Partnership, either as of the end of each calendar quarter or year and upon the net reallocation of assets away from an Advisor, were paid by the Partnership or the Trading LLCs and are currently paid by MM LLC to each Advisor. Profit Shares are also paid out in respect of Units redeemed as of the end of interim months, to the extent of the applicable percentage of any such New Trading Profit attributable to such Units.

### 4. WEIGHTED AVERAGE UNITS

The weighted average number of Units outstanding was computed for purposes of disclosing net income per weighted average Unit. The weighted average number of Units outstanding at December 31, 1998, 1997 and 1996 equals the Units outstanding as of such date, adjusted proportionately for Units redeemed based on the respective length of time each was outstanding during the year.

-8-

### 5. MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC. GUARANTEE

Merrill Lynch has guaranteed to the Partnership that it will have sufficient Net Assets as of the Principal Assurance Date, that the Net Asset Value per Unit as of such Principal Assurance Date will equal, after adjustment for all liabilities to third parties, not less than \$100. Effective January 1, 1999, the Partnership restarted its trading program for an additional Time Horizon of two years' duration and a new Principal Assurance Date of December 31, 2000, with a minimum assured Net Asset Value per Unit of \$98.27.

### 6. INVESTMENTS

Prior to investing in MM LLC, the Partnership placed assets under the management of certain of the Advisors by investing in private limited liability companies ("Trading LLCs") formed by the General Partner. The only members of the Trading LLCs were commodity pools sponsored by the General Partner. Each Trading LLC traded under the management of a single Advisor pursuant to a single strategy and at a uniform degree of leverage. Placing assets with an Advisor through a Trading LLC rather than a managed account had no economic effect on the Partnership, except to the extent that the Partnership benefited from the Advisor not having to allocate trades among a number of different accounts (rather than acquiring a single position for the Trading LLC as a whole).

The investments in Trading LLCs and MM LLC are reflected in the financial statements at fair value based upon the Partnership's interest in each Trading LLC and MM LLC. Fair value is equal to the market value of the net assets of the Trading LLCs and of MM LLC. The resulting difference between cost and fair value is reflected on the Statements of Income as income from investments.

As of December 31, 1998, the Partnership had an investment in MM LLC and as of December 31, 1997, the Partnership had investments in the ML JWH Financial



and Metals Portfolio L.L.C. ("JWH LLC"), ML Chesapeake Diversified L.L.C. ("Chesapeake LLC"), and ML Sjo Prospect L.L.C ("SJO LLC") as follows:

	1998	1997
JWH LLC	\$ -	\$ 6,115,739
Chesapeake LLC	-	5,354,159
SJO LLC	-	5,222,606
MM LLC	19,571,183	-
Total	\$ 19,571,183	\$ 16,692,504

During the second quarter of 1998, the Partnership withdrew its investments in JWH LLC, Chesapeake LLC, and SJO LLC.

-9-

Total revenues and fees with respect to such investments are set forth as follows:

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>

For the year ended December 31, 1998	Total Revenues	Brokerage Commissions	Administrative Fees	Profit Shares	(Loss) Income from Investments
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
JWH LLC	\$ (613,096)	\$ 191,892	\$ 5,483	\$ --	\$ (810,471)
Chesapeake LLC	636,803	195,824	5,595	87,023	348,361
SJO LLC	392,486	186,551	5,330	22,479	178,126
MM LLC	2,685,824	929,533	26,558	409,094	1,320,639
Total	\$ 3,102,017	\$ 1,503,800	\$ 42,966	\$ 518,596	\$ 1,036,655

For the year ended December 31, 1997	Total Revenues	Brokerage Commissions	Administrative Fees	Profit Shares	Income from Investments
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
JWH LLC	\$ 1,516,818	\$ 422,946	\$ 12,000	\$ 127,410	\$ 954,462
Chesapeake LLC	976,710	453,884	12,850	103,240	406,736
SJO LLC	731,357	365,509	10,374	37,451	318,023
Total	\$ 3,224,885	\$ 1,242,339	\$ 35,224	\$ 268,101	\$ 1,679,221

For the year ended December 31, 1996	Total Revenues	Brokerage Commissions	Administrative Fees	Profit Shares	Income from Investments
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
JWH LLC	\$ 1,205,291	\$ 119,551	\$ 3,065	\$ 154,480	\$ 928,195
Chesapeake LLC	146,512	84,100	2,156	4,124	56,132
Total	\$ 1,351,803	\$ 203,651	\$ 5,221	\$ 158,604	\$ 984,327

</TABLE>

-10-

Condensed statements of financial condition and statements of income for MM LLC, JWH LLC, Chesapeake LLC, and SJO LLC are set forth as follows:

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>

	MM LLC	JWH LLC	Chesapeake LLC	SJO LLC
	December 31, 1998	December 31, 1997	December 31, 1997	December 31, 1997
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Assets	\$125,332,558	\$ 65,048,564	\$ 17,195,182	\$ 21,240,207
Liabilities	\$ 4,949,082	\$ 3,689,658	\$ 704,681	\$ 2,058,617

Members' Capital	120,383,476	61,358,906	16,490,501	19,181,590
Total	\$125,332,558	\$ 65,048,564	\$ 17,195,182	\$ 21,240,207
	For the period from June 1, 1998 to December 31, 1998	For the year ended December 31, 1997	For the year ended December 31, 1997	For the period from January 2, 1997 to December 31, 1997
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Revenues	\$ 19,255,343	\$ 15,279,401	\$ 3,480,491	\$ 3,903,268
Expenses	9,491,842	6,714,041	2,055,126	2,144,078
Net Income	\$ 9,763,501	\$ 8,565,360	\$ 1,425,365	\$ 1,759,190

	JWH LLC	Chesapeake LLC
	For the period from October 1, 1996 to December 31, 1996	For the period from November 1, 1996 to December 31, 1996
<S>	<C>	<C>
Revenues	\$ 19,365,949	\$ 608,594
Expenses	4,426,261	382,949
Net Income	\$ 14,939,688	\$ 225,645

</TABLE>

-11-

#### 7. FAIR VALUE AND OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISK

As of June 1, 1998, the Partnership invested all of its assets in MM LLC. Accordingly, the Partnership is invested indirectly in derivative instruments, but does not itself hold any derivative instrument positions. Consequently, no such positions subsequent to May 31, 1998 are reflected in these financial statements or in this Note 7.

The Partnership traded futures, options on futures, forwards and options on forward contracts in interest rates, stock indices, commodities, currencies, energy and metals. The Partnership's total trading results by reporting category for the period from January 1, 1998 to May 31, 1998 and for the years ended December 31, 1997 and 1996 (during 1998, 1997 and 1996, a portion of the Partnership's trading was done through Trading LLCs and is not, accordingly, reflected below) were as follows:

	Total Trading Results		
	1998	1997	1996
Interest Rates	\$ (39,575)	\$ (441)	\$ 1,150,787
Stock Indices	(208,161)	(39,146)	(410,783)
Commodities	(38,600)	(49,481)	(466,980)
Currencies	(103,404)	288,251	1,103,173
Energy	37,352	12,635	1,410,507
Metals	(27,407)	62,532	(1,066,833)
	\$ (379,795)	\$ 274,350	\$ 1,719,871

#### Market Risk

Derivative financial instruments involve varying degrees of off-balance sheet market risk, and changes in the level or volatility of interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates or the market values of the underlying financial instruments or commodities underlying such derivative instruments frequently resulted in changes in the Partnership's net unrealized (loss) profit on such derivative instruments as reflected in the Statements of Financial Condition or, with respect to Partnership assets invested in Trading

LLCs and in MM LLC, the net unrealized profit as reflected in the respective Statements of Financial Condition of the Trading LLCs and MM LLC. The Partnership's exposure to market risk is influenced by a number of factors, including the relationships among the derivative instruments held by the Partnership, the Trading LLCs and currently MM LLC, as well as the volatility and liquidity of such markets in which such derivative instruments are traded.

The General Partner has procedures in place intended to control market risk exposure, although there can be no assurance that they will, in fact, succeed in doing so. These procedures focus primarily on monitoring the trading of the Advisors selected from time to time for the Partnership or MM LLC, adjusting the percentage of the Partnership's, the Trading LLC's or MM LLC's total assets allocated to trading, calculating the Net Asset Value of the Advisors' respective Partnership accounts and Trading LLC accounts or currently MM LLC accounts as of the close of business on each day and reviewing outstanding positions for over-concentrations both on an Advisor-by-Advisor and on an overall Partnership basis. While the General Partner does not itself intervene in the markets to hedge or diversify the Partnership's market exposure (although the General Partner does adjust the percentage of the Partnership's total assets allocated to trading), the General Partner may urge Advisors to reallocate positions, or itself reallocate Partnership assets among Advisors (although typically only as of the end of a month) in an attempt to avoid over-concentration. However, such interventions are unusual. Except in cases in which it appears that an Advisor has begun to deviate from past practice and trading policies or

-12-

to be trading erratically, the General Partner's basic risk control procedures consist simply of the ongoing process of Advisor monitoring and selection, with the market risk controls being applied by the Advisors themselves.

One important aspect of the General Partner's risk controls is its adjustments to the leverage at which the Partnership trades. By controlling the percentage of the Partnership's assets allocated to trading, the General Partner can directly affect the market exposure of the Partnership. Leverage control is the principal means by which the General Partner hopes to be able to ensure that Merrill Lynch is never required to make any payments under its guarantee that the Net Asset Value per Unit will equal no less than a specified minimum as of the Principal Assurance Date.

Fair Value  
-----

The derivative instruments traded by the Partnership were marked to market daily with the resulting net unrealized profit recorded in the Statements of Financial Condition and the related (loss) profit reflected in trading results in the Statements of Income.

The contract/notional values of open contracts as of December 31, 1997, all of which were exchange-traded, were as follows (there were no open contracts as of December 31, 1998):

	1997	
	Commitment to Purchase (Futures, Options & Forwards)	Commitment to Sell (Futures, Options & Forwards)
	-----	-----
Interest Rates	\$ 8,526,872	\$ 1,289,262
Stock Indices	1,833,153	58,102
Commodities	53,000	484,698
Currencies	723,600	5,212,058
Energy	--	895,458
Metals	--	117,150
	-----	-----
	\$11,136,625	\$ 8,056,728
	=====	=====

All of the Partnership's derivative instruments outstanding as of December 31, 1997 expired within one year.

-13-

The average fair values, based on contract/notional values, of the Partnership's derivative financial instruments positions which were open as of the end of each calendar month during the years ended December 31, 1998 and 1997 (during 1998 and 1997, a portion of the Partnership's trading was done through Trading LLCs and is not, accordingly, reflected below) were as follows:

<TABLE>  
<CAPTION>

	1998		1997	
	Commitment to Purchase (Futures, Options & Forwards)	Commitment to Sell (Futures, Options & Forwards)	Commitment to Purchase (Futures, Options & Forwards)	Commitment to Sell (Futures, Options & Forwards)
<S>	<C>	<C>	<C>	<C>
Interest Rates	\$ 7,410,063	\$ 6,542,413	\$16,385,163	\$ 9,028,153
Stock Indices	1,387,861	723,028	1,038,952	657,344
Commodities	128,905	166,504	847,261	467,905
Currencies	912,600	2,081,261	1,708,711	3,329,939
Energy	54,743	199,890	485,906	483,373
Metals	186,264	--	817,854	704,123
	\$10,080,436	\$ 9,713,096	\$21,283,847	\$14,670,837

</TABLE>

A portion of the amounts indicated as off-balance sheet risk is due to offsetting commitments to purchase and to sell the same derivative instrument on the same date in the future. These commitments are economically offsetting but are not, as a technical matter, offset in the forward markets until the settlement date.

Credit Risk  
-----

The risks associated with exchange-traded contracts are typically perceived to be less than those associated with over-the-counter (non-exchange-traded) transactions, because exchanges typically (but not universally) provide clearinghouse arrangements in which the collective credit (in some cases limited in amount, in some cases not) of the members of the exchange is pledged to support the financial integrity of the exchange. In over-the-counter transactions, on the other hand, traders must rely solely on the credit of their respective individual counterparties. Margins, which may be subject to loss in the event of a default, are generally required in exchange trading, and counterparties may require margin in the over-the-counter markets.

The fair value amounts in the above tables represent the extent of the Partnership's market exposure in the particular class of derivative instrument listed, but not the credit risk associated with counterparty nonperformance. The credit risk associated with these instruments from counterparty nonperformance is the net unrealized profit included in the Statements of Financial Condition.

-14-

The gross unrealized profit and net unrealized profit (loss) on the Partnership's open derivative instrument positions as of December 31, 1997 (as of December 31, 1997, a portion of the Partnership trading was done through Trading LLCs and is not, accordingly, reflected below) were as follows (there were no open derivative instrument positions as of December 31, 1998):

	1997	
	Gross Unrealized Profit	Net Unrealized Profit (Loss)
Exchange-Traded	\$ 135,522	\$ 112,280
Non-Exchange-Traded	87	(256)
	\$ 135,609	\$ 112,024

The Partnership has credit risk in respect of its counterparties and brokers, but attempts to control this risk by dealing almost exclusively with

Merrill Lynch entities as counterparties and brokers.

The Partnership, in its normal course of business, enters into various contracts, with MLF acting as its commodity broker. Pursuant to the brokerage arrangement with MLF (which includes a netting arrangement), to the extent that such trading results in receivables from and payables to MLF, these receivables and payables were offset and reported as a net receivable or payable.

\* \* \* \* \*

To the best of the knowledge and belief of the undersigned, the information contained in this report is accurate and complete.

/s/ Jo Ann Di Dario

Jo Ann Di Dario  
Chief Financial Officer  
Merrill Lynch Investment Partners Inc.  
General Partner of  
The SECTOR Strategy Fund(SM) VI L.P.

-15-

<TABLE> <S> <C>

<ARTICLE> BD

<S>	<C>	<C>
<PERIOD-TYPE>	YEAR	YEAR
<FISCAL-YEAR-END>	DEC-31-1998	DEC-31-1997
<PERIOD-START>	JAN-01-1998	JAN-01-1997
<PERIOD-END>	DEC-31-1998	DEC-31-1997
<CASH>	0	0
<RECEIVABLES>	438,365	10,706,406
<SECURITIES-RESALE>	0	0
<SECURITIES-BORROWED>	0	0
<INSTRUMENTS-OWNED>	19,571,183	16,692,504
<PP&E>	0	0
<TOTAL-ASSETS>	20,009,548	27,398,910
<SHORT-TERM>	0	0
<PAYABLES>	438,365	480,429
<REPOS-SOLD>	0	0
<SECURITIES-LOANED>	0	0
<INSTRUMENTS-SOLD>	0	0
<LONG-TERM>	0	0
<PREFERRED-MANDATORY>	0	0
<PREFERRED>	0	0
<COMMON>	0	0
<OTHER-SE>	19,571,183	26,918,481
<TOTAL-LIABILITY-AND-EQUITY>	20,009,548	27,398,910
<TRADING-REVENUE>	(379,795)	274,350
<INTEREST-DIVIDENDS>	289,431	790,813
<COMMISSIONS>	166,505	519,637
<INVESTMENT-BANKING-REVENUES>	0	0
<FEE-REVENUE>	0	0
<INTEREST-EXPENSE>	0	0
<COMPENSATION>	0	0
<INCOME-PRETAX>	775,029	2,207,145
<INCOME-PRE-EXTRAORDINARY>	775,029	2,207,145
<EXTRAORDINARY>	0	0
<CHANGES>	0	0
<NET-INCOME>	775,029	2,207,145
<EPS-PRIMARY>	4.04	8.60
<EPS-DILUTED>	4.04	8.60

</TABLE>