#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

## FORM TA-1/A

Application for registration as a transfer agent filed pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 [amend]

> Filing Date: 2007-08-21 SEC Accession No. 0000944496-07-000007

(HTML Version on secdatabase.com)

#### **FILER**

#### **MELLON INVESTOR SERVICES LLC /TA**

CIK:944496| IRS No.: 223367522 | State of Incorp.:NJ | Fiscal Year End: 1231 Type: TA-1/A | Act: 34 | File No.: 084-05579 | Film No.: 071075807

Mailing Address 480 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD JERSEY CITY NJ 07310

**Business Address** NEWPORT OFFICE CENTER NEWPORT OFFICE CENTER 480 WASHINGTON **BOULEVARD** JERSEY CITY NJ 07310 201-680-4000

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

OMB A <sub>l</sub>	pproval
--------------------	---------

OMB Number: 3235-0084 Expires: June 30, 2009 Estimated average burden hours per response: ... 2.00

#### **FORM TA-1**

# UNIFORM FOR REGISTRATION AS A TRANSFER AGENT AND FOR AMENDMENT TO REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 17A OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Form TA-1 is to be used to register or amend registration as a transfer agent with the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Read all instructions before completing this form. Please print or type all

Form Version 1.0.0

**3(c)(i).** Address 1

Newport Office Center VII **3(c)(ii).** Address 2

Read all instructions before completing this form. Please print or type all responses.

1(a). Filer CIK: 0000944496 1(c). Live/Test Filing? ✓ Live 1(e). Is this filing an amendment to	Test o a previous filing? ✓ Yes
<b>1(e)(i).</b> File Number: 084-05579	
2. Appropriate regulatory agency (check  ✓ Securities and Exchange Commission  Board of Governors of the Federal R  Federal Deposit Insurance Corporatio  Comptroller of the Currency  3(a). Full Name of Registrant:  MELLON INVESTOR SERVICES LLC  3(a)(i). Previous name, if being	eserve System
<b>3(b).</b> Financial Industry Number Standard (FINS) number:	956664
3(c) Address of principal office w	where transfer agent activities are or will be performed:

480 Washington Boulevard		
<b>3(c)(iii).</b> City  Jersey City		
<b>3(c)(iv).</b> State or Country		
NJNEW JERSEY		
3(c)(v). Postal Code		
07310		
<b>3(d).</b> Is Mailing address different from response to Question 3c? If "yes," provide address(es):	Yes	No ✓
<b>3(e).</b> Telephone Number (Include Area Code)		
201-680-4000		
<b>4.</b> Does registrant conduct, or will it conduct, transfer agent activities at any location other than that given in question 3c above? If "yes," provide address(es):	Yes ✓	No
<b>4(a)(i).</b> Address 1		
Mellon Client Service Center		
<b>4(a)(ii).</b> Address 2		
500 Ross Street		
<b>4(a)(iii).</b> City		
Pittsburgh		
4(a)(iv). State or Country PAPENNSYLVANIA		
<b>4(a)(v).</b> Postal Code 15262		
<b>5.</b> Does registrant act, or will it act, as a transfer agent solely for its own securities and/or securities of an affiliate(s)?	Yes	No ✓
<b>6.</b> Has registrant, as a named transfer agent, engaged, or will it engage, a service company to perform any transfer agent functions?	Yes	No ✓
7. Has registrant been engaged, or will it be engaged, as a service company	Yes	No
by a named transfer agent to perform transfer agent functions?	<b>√</b>	
If "yes," provide the name(s) and File Number(s) of the named transfer ager has been engaged, or will be engaged, as a service company to perform transfer.	. ,	_
<b>7(a).</b> Name		
Mellon Bank, N.A.		
<b>7(b).</b> File Number: 085-10089		
<b>7(c)(i).</b> Address 1		
One Mellon Bank Center		
7(c)(ii).Address 2		
500 Grant Street		
7(c)(iii).City		
Pittsburgh 7(c)(iv).State or Country		
A (C)(14): State of Country		

PA

7(c)(v).Postal Code 15258 **7(a).** Name **Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas 7(b).** File Number: 085-05212 **7(c)(i).** Address 1 60 Wall Street 7(c)(ii).Address 2 27th Floor 7(c)(iii).City **New York** 7(c)(iv).State or Country NY 7(c)(v).Postal Code 10005 **7(a).** Name JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. 7(b). File Number: 085-11399 **7(c)(i).** Address 1 4 New York Plaza 7(c)(ii).Address 2 13th Floor 7(c)(iii).City **New York** 7(c)(iv). State or Country NY 7(c)(v).Postal Code 10004 **7(a).** Name LaSalle Bank N.A. 7(b). File Number: 085-10189 **7(c)(i).** Address 1 135 S. LaSalle Street 7(c)(ii).Address 2 7(c)(iii).City Chicago 7(c)(iv).State or Country 7(c)(v).Postal Code 60603 **7(a).** Name

**7(c)(i).** Address 1 320 Bay Street 7(c)(ii).Address 2

7(c)(iii).City

#### **Toronto**

7(c)(iv).State or Country

AF

7(c)(v).Postal Code

M5H 4A6

Completion of Question 8 on this form is required by all independent, non-issuer registrants whose appropriate regulatory authority is the Securities and Exchange Commission. Those registrants who are not required to complete Question 8 should select "Not Applicable".

#### 8. Is registrant a: Other Limited Liability Company

Section for Initial Registration and for Amendments Reporting Additional Persons.

	-
8(a)(i). Full Name	Gretchen Marie Mohen
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	2005-03-30
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	President and Chief Exe
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	
8(a)(i). Full Name	James Matthew Balsan
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	1989-04-03
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	Managing Director, Bus
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date 2007-07-01	
8(a)(i). Full Name	David Leigh Becker
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	2005-08-08
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	Chief Compliance Office
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	
8(a)(i). Full Name	Robert Michael Carney,
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	1980-04-28
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	SVP, Shareholder Serv
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	
8(a)(i). Full Name	Declan Peter Thomas D
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	1991-11-07
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	Managing Director, Bus
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	
8(a)(i). Full Name	Stephen Joseph Dolma
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	1995-08-14

0( )(**) T:1	T <sub>2</sub>
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	General Counsel & Sec
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	
8(a)(i). Full Name	Barton Hill
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	2003-04-07
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	Chief Marketing Officer
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date 2007-	-07-01
8(a)(i). Full Name	Frank Anthony Madonn
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	1999-06-14
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	Head of Service Deliver
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	İ
8(a)(i). Full Name	John Barry Power
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	2005-09-29
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	Chief Administrative Of
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA NA
	-07-04
(u)(v) 10 10 10 10 11 2 11 2 11 2 11 2 11 2 1	
8(a)(i). Full Name	John Thomas Scagnelli
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	1999-10-11
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	SVP, Client Relationshi
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA NA
	-07-04
(u)(·)· resums	<u> </u>
8(a)(i). Full Name	Kevin Michael Brennan
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	2007-07-01
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	Managing Director, RM
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	
o(a)(v). Totalonomp 2.na 2 are	
8(a)(i). Full Name	Kyle Cochran Kerbawy
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	2007-07-01
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	Managing Director, Dire
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	
o(a)(v). Relationship End Edic	
8(a)(i). Full Name	Mario Passudetti
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	2007-07-01
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	
8(a)(III). True of Status	Managing Director, Ne

8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	
	_
8(a)(i). Full Name	Jeffrey Edward Cohen
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	2007-07-01
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	VP, Employee Stock Pl
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	
8(a)(i). Full Name	Peter Anthony Ward
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	2007-07-01
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	VP, Chief Administrative
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	
8(a)(i). Full Name	Marc Lawrence Librizzi
8(a)(ii). Relationship Start Date	2007-07-01
8(a)(iii). Title or Status	First VP, Chief Informat
8(a)(iv). Description of Authority	NA
8(a)(v). Relationship End Date	
. Does any person or entity not named in the answer to Question 8:	
(a) directly or indirectly, through agreement or otherwise evercise or have	
ne power to exercise control over the management or policies of applicant; or .	
O(a)(i) Evert name of each neuron or entity	
<b>9(a)(i).</b> Exact name of each person or entity  The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation	
<b>9(a)(ii).</b> Description of the Agreement or other basis	
100% Indirect Owner	
100 % Indirect Owner	
(b). wholly or partially finance the business of applicant, directly or indirectly,	
n any manner other than by a public offering of securities made pursuant to Yes No	
he Securities Act of 1933 or by credit extended in the ordinary course of $\ arphi$	
usiness by suppliers, banks and others ?	
Q(h)(i) Exact name of each person or ontity	
9(b)(i). Exact name of each person or entity	

Mellon Investor Services Holdings LLC

9(b)(ii). Description of the Agreement or other basis

100% Owner

### 10. Applicant and Control Affiliate Disciplinary History:

The following definitions apply for purposes of answering this Question 10

- An individual or firm that directly or indirectly controls, is under			
	common control with, or is controlled by applicant. Included are any		
Control affiliate employees identified in 8(a), 8(b), 8(c) of this form as exercising			
Control annuate	control. Excluded are any employees who perform solely clerical,		
administrative support of similar functions, or who, regardless of title, perform no executive duties or have no senior policy making authority			
Investment or	estate (including, but not limited to, acting as or being associated with a		
investment related broker-dealer, investment company, investment adviser, futures			
sponsor, bank, or savings and loan association).			
	- Doing an act of aiding, abetting, counseling, commanding, inducing,		
Involved	conspiring with or failing reasonably to supervise another in doing an		
	act.		

**10(a).** In the past ten years has the applicant or a control affiliate been convicted of or plead guilty or nolo contender ("no contest") to:

<b>10(a)(1).</b> a felony or misdemeanor involving: investments or an investment-related business, fraud, false statements or omissions, wrongful taking of property, or bribery, forgery, counterfeiting or extortion?	Yes No
<b>10(a)(2).</b> any other felony?	Yes No

**10(b).** Has any court in the past ten years:

<b>10(b)(1).</b> enjoined the applicant or a control affiliate in connection with any	Yes No_
investment-related activity?	

10(b)(1)(i). The individuals named in the Action

**CIBC Mellon Trust Company** 

10(b)(1)(ii). Title of Action
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission v. CIBC Mellon Trust Company

10(b)(1)(iii). Date of Action
2005-01-14

10(b)(1)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (?SEC?)

10(b)(1)(v). Description of the Action

CIBC Mellon Trust Company (?CMTC?), a transfer agent that is an affiliate of Registrant by virtue of Mellon Canada Holding Company?s 50 percent interest in the joint venture, submitted a Consent to Entry of Judgment (the ?Consent?) which was presented by the SEC in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia (the ?Court?) on February 16, 2005. In the Consent, and solely for the purpose of the injunctive action and any other proceedings arising out of the SEC?s investigation brought by or on behalf of the SEC or to which the SEC is a party, CMTC consented to the entry of Final Judgment as to Defendant CMTC (the ?Final Judgment?) without admitting or denying the matters set forth therein (other than those relating to the jurisdiction of the Court and the subject matter of the action). The Final Judgment, entered on February 24, 2005, resolved the allegations that CMTC had failed to register as a transfer agent with the SEC, that it had issued ?legend free? stock certificates of a company whose shares were not registered with the SEC, that one of its managers had accepted payments of stock from that company?s officers to issue the certificates, and that it had acted as an unregistered broker or dealer in connection with its stock plan administration business. CMTC was permanently enjoined from prescribed violations of Securities Act Section 5, Exchange Act Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5, Exchange Act Section 15(a), Exchange Act Section 17A(c)(1), and from aiding and

abetting future violations of Exchange Act Section 10(b) or Rule 10b-5. CMTC also agreed to pay a civil monetary penalty of \$5 million and disgorgement of \$889,773 and prejudgment interest of \$140,270. Payment was made on March 4, 2005. CMTC also consented, without admitting or denying the SEC complaint?s allegations, to the entry of an SEC administrative order based on the Final Judgment on March 2, 2005 (the ?Order?). Pursuant to the Order, CMTC was censured and agreed to an undertaking to engage an independent consultant to review its relevant businesses and procedures. This matter responds to items 10(b)(1) and 10(c)(2).

10(b)(1)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding

Entry of Judgment on consent. See item (v) above for detail.

<b>10(b)(2).</b> found that the applicant or a control affiliate was involved in a violation	Yes	No
of investment-related statutes or regulations?		

**10(c).** Has the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ever:

<b>10(c)(1).</b> found the applicant or a control affiliate to have made a false statement	Yes No_
or omission?	

10(c)(1)(i). The individuals named in the Action

The Dreyfus Corporation and Michael L. Schonberg

10(c)(1)(ii). Title of Action In the Matter of The Dreyfus Corporation and Michael L. Schonberg	Action 2000-05-10
---	-------------------

10(c)(1)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (?SEC?)

10(c)(1)(v). Description of the Action

The SEC issued an Order Instituting Proceedings, Making Findings, Imposing Remedial Sanctions and Ordering Respondents to Cease and Desist (the ?Order?). In the Order, the SEC contended that: Dreyfus?s allocation of IPOs to the Dreyfus Aggressive Growth Fund had the effect of favoring the fund over three other funds with the same portfolio manager. Drevfus inadequately disclosed the effect that the fund?s allocation of IPOs had on the fund?s first year performance, and Dreyfus?s personal securities trading policy did not adequately determine whether fund transactions by the fund?s portfolio manager gave rise to a potential conflict of interest. Dreyfus, without admitting or denying any liability and in settlement of the proceeding, consented to certain findings of violations of Section 206(2) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Section 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 17(i) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, and to the imposition of a cease and desist order relating to such findings, a nine month suspension of the fund?s former portfolio manager, a fine of \$950,000 to be paid by Dreyfus, a fine of \$50,000 to paid by the former portfolio manager and the retention by Dreyfus of an independent consultant to conduct a comprehensive review of Dreyfus?s policies and procedures relating to IPOs, performance advertising and personal securities transactions.

10(c)(1)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding

Order issued on consent. See item (v) above for detail.

10(c)(1)(i). The individuals named in the Action

Founders Asset Management LLC and Bjorn K. Borgen

HUCCH DOID. Title Of Action	<b>0(c)(1)(iii).</b> Date of Action
-----------------------------	-------------------------------------

n the Matter of Founders Asset Management LLC (?FAM?) and Bjorn K.	
Borgen	

2000-02-10

10(c)(1)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (?SEC?)

10(c)(1)(v). Description of the Action

The SEC alleged that from 1992 to mid-1995, FAM?s predecessor company (?Old Founders?) used brokerage commissions generated from certain small privately managed accounts to compensate an unaffiliated registered representative for client referrals without making adequate disclosure in its Form ADV or otherwise, and that Old Founders failed to adequately disclose the effect of a change in its trade allocation policy which resulted in delayed execution of trades in these small, privately managed accounts. FAM consented to the entry of an administrative order by the SEC relating to Old Founders? brokerage practices from 1992 to mid-1995 for certain small private accounts. FAM consented to the SEC order without admitting or denying the SEC?s findings and under the terms of the settlement, FAM, as successor to Old Founders, agreed to a censure, cease and desist order and a \$50,000 penalty. During the relevant time period, Old Founders was under the ownership and direction of Bjorn K. Borgen. Borgen also entered into a settlement with the SEC related to this matter. Borgen agreed to a cease and desist order, a 180-day suspension, disgorgement of \$590,000 (with credit for \$85,000 previously paid by Old Founders to clients, for a net disgorgement amount of \$505,000) and a penalty of \$150,000. Borgen has not been affiliated with FAM since April 01, 1998. This matter responds to items 10(c)(1), 10(c)(2) and 10(c)(4).

**10(c)(1)(vi).** The disposition of the proceeding Order issued on consent. See item (v) above for detail.

1	.0(c)(2	). found	the appli	cant of	r a con	trol	affiliat	e to	have	e been	inv	olve	ed ir	n a
v	iolation	of its res	gulations	or stat	utes?									

Yes	No
<b>✓</b>	

10(c)(2)(i). The individuals named in the Action

The Dreyfus Corporation

10(c)(2)(ii). Title of Action	<b>10(c)(2)(iii).</b> Date of
In the Matter of The Dreyfus Corporation, Respondent	Action
in the Matter of the Dreylus Corporation, Respondent	2006-09-07

10(c)(2)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (?SEC?)

10(c)(2)(v). Description of the Action

The SEC issued an ?Order Instituting Public Administrative and Cease-and-Desist Proceedings, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions and a Cease-and-Desist Order Pursuant to Sections 203(e) and 203(k) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940.? In the Order, the SEC alleged that from July 2000 through June 2004, The Dreyfus Corporation (?Dreyfus?) collected compensation from Dreyfus Premier Enterprise Fund and Dreyfus Premier Nextech Fund (each, a ?Fund,? together, the ?Funds?) in violation of Section 205 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Specifically, the SEC alleged that during the relevant period, Dreyfus charged performance-based compensation that was calculated for each of the two Funds by applying its total fee rate (comprised of a fulcrumfee rate as adjusted by a performance-adjustment rate) against each Fund?s daily net asset value, and, thus, Dreyfus did not calculate its total fee consistent with either Rule 205-2(b) or Rule 205-2(c). Upon notification by the SEC that Dreyfus was charging a total fee based on a method that did not comply with Section 205 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940,

Dreyfus discontinued the method and subsequently reimbursed the Funds plus interest of \$273,830, for a total payment of \$3,270,025. In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Dreyfus submitted an Offer of Settlement which the SEC determined to accept. The SEC ordered the sanctions agreed to in the Offer of Settlement? namely, that Dreyfus is censured and that Dreyfus cease and desist from committing or causing any future violations of Section 205(a) of the Advisers Act. In determining to accept the Offer of Settlement, the SEC considered remedial acts promptly undertaken by Dreyfus and the cooperation afforded the Commission staff.

**10(c)(2)(vi).** The disposition of the proceeding Order issued on consent. See item (v) above for detail.

10(c)(2)(i). The individuals named in the Action

The Boston Company

10(c)(2)(ii). Title of Action
SEC File No. 3-7974

10(c)(2)(iii). Date of Action
1993-02-04

10(c)(2)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (?SEC?)

10(c)(2)(v). Description of the Action

The Boston Company (?TBC?) was found by the SEC to have materially overstated its income figures for the first three quarters of 1988. Based on these findings, the SEC concluded that in 1988 TBC caused violations of section 13(A) and 13(B)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act and rules 12 (B)-20, 13A-13 and 13B2-1 promulgated thereunder. Accordingly, TBC is required to permanently cease and desist from committing or causing any future violations of such provisions of the securities laws. The Commission accepted TBC?s offer of settlement with respect to public administrative proceedings instituted by the SEC pursuant to section 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Without admitting or denying the matters set forth in the SEC?s order instituting proceedings, TBC consented to the issuance of the order and to the entry of the findings contained therein. The order contains no findings which could suggest any misapplication or diversion of client assets.

10(c)(2)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding

Order issued on consent. See item (v) above for detail.

10(c)(2)(i). The individuals named in the Action

Boston Asset Management, Inc.

10(c)(2)(ii). Title of Action
SEC File No. 3-5068

10(c)(2)(iii). Date of Action
1976-08-24

10(c)(2)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (?SEC?)

10(c)(2)(v). Description of the Action

Boston Asset Management, Inc. (?BAM?) was alleged to have violated certain provisions of the securities laws in connection with the sale of certain securities by BAM.

10(c)(2)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding

The SEC found certain violations of the securities laws and imposed administrative censures.

10(c)(2)(i). The individuals named in the Action

The Dreyfus Corporation

**10(c)(2)(iii).** Date of 10(c)(2)(ii). Title of Action Action Not available. 1976-01-01 10(c)(2)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (?SEC?) 10(c)(2)(v). Description of the Action SEC named The Dreyfus Corporation (?Dreyfus?) a respondent in administrative proceedings which had previously been instituted concerning an unaffiliated securities analyst and several unaffiliated investment advisory firms with respect to allegations of misuse of material nonpublic information in connection with trading in securities of Equity Funding Corporation of America ("Equity Funding"). The allegations against Dreyfus, which did not include material monetary damages, involved a transaction in March 1973 when the Dreyfus Special Income Fund, Inc. (currently, The Dreyfus Convertible Securities Fund, Inc.), which is managed by Dreyfus, sold \$500,000 face amount of convertible subordinated debentures of Equity Funding for proceeds of \$353,759 (cost \$380,000). An SEC administrative law judge rendered a decision in which, among other matters, he found that Dreyfus had sold securities on the basis of material nonpublic information concerning Equity Funding without making full disclosure to the buyer, but that in this case, the imposition of a sanction was not deemed necessary. 10(c)(2)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding SEC Administrative Law Judge decision. See item (v) above for detail. 10(c)(2)(i). The individuals named in the Action **CIBC Mellon Trust Company 10(c)(2)(iii).** Date of 10(c)(2)(ii). Title of Action Action U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission v. CIBC Mellon Trust Company 2005-01-14 10(c)(2)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location see item 10(b)(1) above 10(c)(2)(v). Description of the Action see item 10(b)(1) above 10(c)(2)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding see item 10(b)(1) above 10(c)(2)(i). The individuals named in the Action Founders Asset Management LLC and Bjorn K. Borgen 10(c)(2)(ii). Title of Action **10(c)(2)(iii).** Date of In the Matter of Founders Asset Management LLC ("FAM") and Biorn K. Action 2000-02-10 Borgen 10(c)(2)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location see item 10 (c)(1) above 10(c)(2)(v). Description of the Action see item 10 (c)(1) above 10(c)(2)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding see item 10 (c)(1) above **10(c)(3).** found the applicant or a control affiliate to have been a cause of an investment-related business having its authorization to do business denied, 

0(c)(4)(i). The individuals named in the Action ounders Asset Management LLC and Bjorn K. Borgen	
0(c)(4)(ii). Title of Action	<b>10(c)(4)(iii).</b> Date of
the Matter of Founders Asset Management LLC ("FAM") and Bjorn K.	Action
orgen	2000-02-10
0(c)(4)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location	
ee item 10(c)(1) above	
0(c)(4)(v). Description of the Action	
ee item 10(c)(1) above	
0(c)(4)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding	
ee item 10(c)(1) above	
(d) Has any other Fodors!	
(d). Has any other Federal regulatory agency or any state regulatory	
(d)(1). ever found the applicant or a control affiliate to have made	
ement or omission or to have been dishonest, unfair, or unethical?	
(d)(2). ever found the applicant or a control affiliate to have been in	
lation of investment-related regulations or statutes?	
and a second control of the second control o	
(d)(3). ever found the applicant or a control affiliate to have been a	a cause of an Yes No
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den	a cause of an Yes No ied,
	a cause of an Yes No ied,
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	a cause of an Yes No ied,
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	a cause of an Yes No ied,
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	a cause of an ied, Yes No
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	a cause of an ied, Yes No ✓ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	a cause of an ied,  Yes No  O  O  O  O  Action
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	a cause of an ied, Yes No ✓ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐ ✓ ☐
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	a cause of an ied,  Yes No  O  O  O  O  Action
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	a cause of an ied,  Yes No  O  O  O  O  Action
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	a cause of an ied,  Yes No  ✓  10(d)(3)(iii). Date of  Action 1995-04-25
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	a cause of an ied,  Yes No  10(d)(3)(iii). Date of Action 1995-04-25
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	10(d)(3)(iii). Date of Action 1995-04-25  g against The at TBCA failed to
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	10(d)(3)(iii). Date of Action 1995-04-25  g against The at TBCA failed to
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	10(d)(3)(iii). Date of Action 1995-04-25  g against The at TBCA failed to
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	10(d)(3)(iii). Date of Action 1995-04-25  g against The at TBCA failed to exercise the convestment
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?	a cause of an ied,  Yes No  10(d)(3)(iii). Date of Action 1995-04-25  g against The at TBCA failed to exert and the and the sand
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?  O(d)(3)(i). The individuals named in the Action he Boston Company Advisors, Inc.  O(d)(3)(ii). Title of Action hocket/Case Number: 95-027-8  O(d)(3)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location he State of Vermont Securities Division  O(d)(3)(v). Description of the Action he State of Vermont Securities Division initiated an Administrative hearing oston Company Advisors, Inc. ("TBCA"). The State of Vermont alleged the maintain an effective securities registration in Vermont for a non-affiliated in company administered by TBCA.  O(d)(3)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding BCA executed a consent order without admitting or denying any allegation on sented to pay Vermont an administrative penalty of \$250 and costs of \$100.	a cause of an ied,  Yes No  10(d)(3)(iii). Date of Action 1995-04-25  g against The at TBCA failed to exert and the and the sand
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?  O(d)(3)(i). The individuals named in the Action he Boston Company Advisors, Inc.  O(d)(3)(ii). Title of Action locket/Case Number: 95-027-8  O(d)(3)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location he State of Vermont Securities Division  O(d)(3)(v). Description of the Action he State of Vermont Securities Division initiated an Administrative hearing loston Company Advisors, Inc. ("TBCA"). The State of Vermont alleged the maintain an effective securities registration in Vermont for a non-affiliated in lompany administered by TBCA.  O(d)(3)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding BCA executed a consent order without admitting or denying any allegation lonsented to pay Vermont an administrative penalty of \$250 and costs of \$0(d)(3)(i). The individuals named in the Action	a cause of an ied,  Yes No  10(d)(3)(iii). Date of Action 1995-04-25  g against The at TBCA failed to exert and the and the sand
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?  (0(d)(3)(i). The individuals named in the Action he Boston Company Advisors, Inc.  (0(d)(3)(ii). Title of Action locket/Case Number: 95-027-8  (0(d)(3)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location he State of Vermont Securities Division  (0(d)(3)(v). Description of the Action he State of Vermont Securities Division initiated an Administrative hearing loston Company Advisors, Inc. ("TBCA"). The State of Vermont alleged the maintain an effective securities registration in Vermont for a non-affiliated in company administered by TBCA.  (0(d)(3)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding BCA executed a consent order without admitting or denying any allegation consented to pay Vermont an administrative penalty of \$250 and costs of \$0(d)(3)(i). The individuals named in the Action lellon Financial Markets, LLC	a cause of an ied,  10(d)(3)(iii). Date of Action 1995-04-25  g against The at TBCA failed to exert and investment  as and into the arms.
estment-related business having its authorization to do business den pended, revoked, or restricted?  O(d)(3)(i). The individuals named in the Action he Boston Company Advisors, Inc.  O(d)(3)(ii). Title of Action locket/Case Number: 95-027-8  O(d)(3)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location he State of Vermont Securities Division  O(d)(3)(v). Description of the Action he State of Vermont Securities Division initiated an Administrative hearing loston Company Advisors, Inc. ("TBCA"). The State of Vermont alleged the maintain an effective securities registration in Vermont for a non-affiliated in lompany administered by TBCA.  O(d)(3)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding BCA executed a consent order without admitting or denying any allegation lonsented to pay Vermont an administrative penalty of \$250 and costs of \$0(d)(3)(i). The individuals named in the Action	a cause of an ied,  Yes No  10(d)(3)(iii). Date of Action 1995-04-25  g against The at TBCA failed to exert and the and the sand

Copyright © 2012 <a href="www.secdatabase.com">www.secdatabase.com</a>. All Rights Reserved. Please Consider the Environment Before Printing This Document

The State of Alabama initiated an administrative proceeding against Mellon Financial Markets, LLC (formerly, Mellon Investment Products Company (MIPC)) which, upon requesting registration in Alabama, disclosed it had executed one mutual fund transaction. Firm was found to have violated Section 8.6.3(a) Code of Alabama 1975. Alabama issued a cease and desist order against firm and associated individuals.

10(d)(3)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding

MIPC signed a written consent order, paid an administrative assessment of \$1000, investigative costs of \$300 and agreed that no sales to Alabama residents would be made until registered (note: the firm was granted registration 5/3/95).

#### 10(d)(3)(i). The individuals named in the Action

Founders Asset Management Company, LLC

10(d)(3)(ii). Title of Action	<b>10(d)(3)(iii).</b> Date of
CRD 4-4645	Action
OND 4-4043	1994-06-07

10(d)(3)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location

Alabama Securities Commission

10(d)(3)(v). Description of the Action

The Alabama Securities Commission initiated an administrative proceeding against Founders Asset Management Company, LLC, whose predecessor, Founders Asset Management, Inc., and Founders Funds, Inc. were alleged to have sold unregistered securities, to have failed to properly supervise the activities of their agents and/or employees and to have failed to file sales reports. The matter was resolved with an Administrative Consent Order in which Respondent agreed to implement procedures to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Alabama Securities Act to pay an administrative assessment in the amount of \$2500 and to pay \$1250 as a partial reimbursement for the Alabama Securities Commissions costs in investigating this matter.

10(d)(3)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding

Administrative Consent Order. See item (v) above for details.

#### 10(d)(3)(i). The individuals named in the Action

Founders Asset Management, Inc. and Dreyfus Founders Funds, Inc. (formerly Founders Funds, Inc.)

10(d)(3)(ii). Title of Action	<b>10(d)(3)(iii).</b> Date of
Not available.	Action
inot available.	1994-03-07

10(d)(3)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location

Colorado Division of Securities

10(d)(3)(v). Description of the Action

The Colorado Division of Securities initiated an Administrative proceeding against Founders Asset Management, Inc and Founders Funds, Inc. ("Respondents"), alleging that Respondents failed to file exemption forms and pay requisite filing fees.

10(d)(3)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding

Administrative Consent Order in which Respondents agreed to implement procedures to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Colorado Securities Act.

#### 10(d)(3)(i). The individuals named in the Action

Founders Asset Management Company, LLC

10(d)(3)(ii). Title of Action	<b>10(d)(3)(iii).</b> Date of
CRD 4-4645	Action
CRD 4-4043	1994-02-24

10(d)(3)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location **New Mexico Securities Division** 10(d)(3)(v). Description of the Action The New Mexico Securities Division initiated an Administrative proceeding against Founders Asset Management Company, LLC, whose predecessor, Founders Asset Management, Inc. ("Respondent"), was alleged to have failed to renew the registrations of two portfolios of Founders Funds. Inc. and to have sold shares of the portfolios during a period during which no registration or exemption was in effect. 10(d)(3)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding The matter was resolved with an Administrative Consent order in which Respondent agreed it would pay a civil penalty of \$3520. **10(d)(4).** in the past ten years entered an order against the applicant or a control affiliate in connection with investment-related activity? . . . . . . . . . **10(d)(5).** ever denied, suspended, or revoked the applicant's or a control affiliate's registration or license, or prevented it from associating with an investment-related business, or otherwise disciplined it by restricting its activities? . . . . . . **10(d)(6).** ever revoked or suspended the applicant's or a control affiliate's license **10(e).** Has any self-regulatory organization or commodities exchange ever: **10(e)(1).** found the applicant or a control affiliate to have made a false statement Yes No **10(e)(2).** found the applicant or a control affiliate to have been involved in a Yes No violation of its rules? 10(e)(2)(i). The individuals named in the Action MBSC, LLC **10(e)(2)(iii).** Date of 10(e)(2)(ii). Title of Action Action Docket/Case Number: NASD 20042000083-01 2005-11-14 10(e)(2)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its location **NASD** 10(e)(2)(v). Description of the Action The NASD alleged that MBSC, LLC did not submit required information to OATS on 15 business days during the first quarter of 2003 and did not reasonably enforce its written supervisory procedures with respect to OATS compliance during that quarter. Without admitting or denying the alleged violations, MBSC, LLC accepted the NASD?s findings that it did not submit the required information to OATS on 15 business days during the first quarter of 2003 and did not reasonably enforce its written supervisory procedures with respect to OATS compliance during the quarter. MBSC, LLC consented to the imposition of a censure and a fine of \$10,000 (\$5,000 for the OATS violations and \$5,000 for the supervision violations). The NASD accepted MBSC?s acceptance and consent on November 14, 2005. 10(e)(2)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding Acceptance, Waiver and Consent. See item (v) above for detail. 10(e)(2)(i). The individuals named in the Action Mellon Financial Markets, LLC

10(e)(2)(ii). Title of Action	<b>10(e)(2)(iii).</b> Date of
Docket/Case Number: C9A000005	Action 2000 02 10
10(e)(2)(iv). The Court or body taking the Action and its lo NASD 10(e)(2)(v). Description of the Action During the period January 1998 through June 1999, Mellon Final (?Mellon Financial?) failed to file Municipal Securities Rulemakin G-36 (ARD) for sixteen municipal underwritings, within five busin the securities by the issuer to the underwriters in accordance wit MSRB Rule G-36. In addition, in three of these instances, Mellon maintain a record of sending the form G-36 (ARD) to the MSRB. violations of MSRB rule G-36 and MSRB Rule G-8. 10(e)(2)(vi). The disposition of the proceeding Acceptance, Waiver, and Consent; \$3,000 fine.	ncial Markets, LLC ng Board (?MSRB?) Form ness days after delivery of the filing procedures for n Financial failed to
<b>O(e)(3).</b> found the applicant or a control affiliate to have be expressed business losing its authorization to do business ( <b>e)(4).</b> disciplined the applicant or a control affiliate by expression of the expres	expelling or suspending with other members,  y, or exchange ever  Yes No
<b>O(g).</b> Is the applicant or a control affiliate now the subject could result in a yes answer to questions 10(a) - 10(F)?	of any proceeding that Yes No
<b>O(h).</b> Has a bonding company denied, paid out on, or revoke pplicant or a control affiliate?	ked a bond for the Yes_No_
<b>O(i).</b> Does the applicant or a control affiliate have any unsa ens against it?	
ATTENTION: INTENTIONAL MISSTATEMEN CONSTITUTE FEDERAL CRIMINAL VIOLATION 78ff(a)	
SIGNATURE: The registrant submitting this form, and as required, the SEC supplement and Schedules A-D, And the executing official hereby represent that all the information contained herein is true, correct and complete.	
10(a). Signature of Official responsible for Form:  David Leigh Becker	<b>10(b).</b> Telephone number: 201-680-2129
10(c). Title of Signing Officer: Chief Compliance Officer	10(d). Date signed (Month/Day/Year):